

## Experiment/ Model Number 1:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv3D
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	4
<b>Batch Size</b>	32
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(102,102)
<b>Activation Function</b>	Exponential Linear Unit (ELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	SGD
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (3e-4) Momentum 0.75
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	12,322,949
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum total loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	47
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	92.94%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	84.09%

### Observations:

- Model has good accuracy on training data however the validation accuracy is low as compared to training accuracy. (slight overfitting)
- We have used image size 102\*102; can experiment with different values.
- The model has a large number of parameters that can be reduced further in the next experiments.
- We have tried different batch sizes more than 32 but received the OOM error hence settled for 32.

## Experiment/ Model Number 2:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv3D
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	4 (feature maps: 32-64-128-256)
<b>Batch Size</b>	48
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(102,102)
<b>Activation Function</b>	Rectified Linear Unit (RELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-4)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	10,605,509
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	97.91%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	83.00%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt previous model
  - Increase batch size to 48
  - Used Adam instead of SGD
  - Changes done in Conv3d model wrt feature size
  - Activation function used RELU
- Model training accuracy went up to 97% however validation accuracy did not improve and remains at 83%.
- We have reduced the number of parameters from what we had before. We could reduce it further by using large stride and small image size.

## Experiment/ Model Number 3:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv3D
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	3 (feature maps: 32-64-128)
<b>Batch Size</b>	48
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(96,96)
<b>Activation Function</b>	Rectified Linear Unit (RELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-4)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	38,031,557
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	55.91%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	60.00%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt previous model
  - Reduced one Conv3D layer
  - Monitored on validation loss instead of loss
  - Introduced the dropout layers
  - Reduced image dimensions
  - All other things were kept similar
- Model training accuracy went down to 55% and validation accuracy received was 60%.
- This model is underfitting as we have introduced the dropout and reduced model layer at same time.

## Experiment/ Model Number 4:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv3D
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	4 (feature maps:16- 32-64-128) + 2 Dense
<b>Batch Size</b>	48
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(96,96) / Frame indexing also changed
<b>Activation Function</b>	Rectified Linear Unit (RELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-2)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	1,481,061
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	98.95%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	94.00%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt the previous model
  - We have done some changes in the generator this time.
    - Changed Indexing
    - Changed the normalization method. Now we have divided pixels with max value rather than 255.0
  - Model has also been changed significantly
    - We restored one more Conv3D layer and changed feature maps size
    - Reduced the number of parameters by reducing numbers of neurons in dense layer
    - Removed the drop-out layers in Conv3D for now.
- Model training accuracy went up to 99% and validation accuracy received was 94%.
- This model is looking perfect but it may be overfitting hence will introduce dropout layers in the next iterations.

## Experiment/ Model Number 5:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv3D
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	4 (feature maps:16- 32-64-128) + 2 Dense
<b>Batch Size</b>	48
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(96,96) / Frame indexing also changed
<b>Activation Function</b>	Rectified Linear Unit (RELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-2)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	1,431,509
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	93.19%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	68.00%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt the previous model
  - We kept the model same but this time we have reduced the filter size to 2\*2\*2
- Model training accuracy was 94% and validation accuracy received was 68%.
- Given the more epochs to train model might have converged better.
- This model is not looking great we might explore CNN+LSTM/GRU further or else model 4 has better matrices.

## Experiment/ Model Number 6:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv2D with LSTM
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	4 (feature maps:32- 64-128-128) + 2 Dense
<b>Batch Size</b>	32
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(84,84) / Frame indexing also changed
<b>Activation Function</b>	ELU
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	1,133,125
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	30
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	100%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	87.50%

### Observations:

- The accuracy of the training model reached 100%, while the validation accuracy received was 94%.
- The model is clearly overfitted, so we changed the optimizer from ADAM to SGD in our next model.

## Experiment/ Model Number 7:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv2D with LSTM
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	4 (feature maps:32- 64-256-512) + 2 Dense
<b>Batch Size</b>	32
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(84,84) / Frame indexing also changed
<b>Activation Function</b>	RELU
<b>Optimizer</b>	SGD
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	6,817,829
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	30
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	21.96%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	34.09%

### Observations:

- The model is under fitted. Therefore, we have taken 5 layers + 2 dense layers in the next model.
- Note that the results here are trained up to 30 epochs only and hence the accuracy is below par.
- We have kept all other hyperparameters constant as per the last model.

## Experiment/ Model Number 8:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv2D with LSTM
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	5 (feature maps:16-32-64-128-256) + 2 Dense
<b>Batch Size</b>	32
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(84,84) / Frame indexing also changed
<b>Activation Function</b>	RELU
<b>Optimizer</b>	ADAM
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	482,181
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	30
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	98.87%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	76.00%

### Observations:

- Overfitting is a significant problem with the Training Model. We will include a learning rate of 1e-2 in our next model and also introduce more dropout layers to deal with overfitting.



## Experiment/ Model Number 9:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv2D with LSTM
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	5 (feature maps:16- 32-64-128-256) + 2 Dense
<b>Batch Size</b>	32
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(84,84)
<b>Activation Function</b>	ELU
<b>Optimizer</b>	ADAM
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-2)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	482,181
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	91.55%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	75.00%

### Observations:

- We have change dropout in our next model from 0.25 to 0.5 as previous model was also overfitting.
- Here we tried the ELU activation to check if any changes are happening rest all the parameters are kept constant.
- The model didn't gain perfection and still overfitting hence further we will experiment with different parameters set

## Experiment/ Model Number 10:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	Conv2D with GRU
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	5 (feature maps:16- 32-64-128-256) + 2 Dense
<b>Batch Size</b>	48
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(96,96)
<b>Activation Function</b>	RELU
<b>Optimizer</b>	ADAM
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-2)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	461,829
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	91.76%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	85.67%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt the previous model
  - Changes done in hyperparameters
  - Batch size changed to 48 and image size also changed to 96\*96
  - LR is reduced to 0.01
- The model gave decent result on both training and validation.
- Next, we will explore transfer learning with LSTM/GRU.

## Experiment/ Model Number 11:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	ImageNet with LSTM With Train = False
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	-
<b>Batch Size</b>	48
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(96,96) / Frame indexing also changed
<b>Activation Function</b>	Rectified Linear Unit (RELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-2)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	285,317
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	98.08%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	85.00%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt the previous model
  - We have used the pre-trained weights of Imagenet model along with LSTM.
  - The weights are kept as non-trainable.
  - Batch size and image size are the same as previous models
- Model training accuracy was 98.08% and validation accuracy received was 85%.
- This model is looking great wrt training however the validation accuracy is still 85 hence model is underfitting.

## Experiment/ Model Number 12:

### Model Architecture

<b>Model Name</b>	ImageNet with GRU With Train = False
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	-
<b>Batch Size</b>	48
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(96,96) / Frame indexing also changed
<b>Activation Function</b>	Rectified Linear Unit (RELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-2)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	215,813
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	94.78%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	74.00%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt the previous model
  - We have used the pre-trained weights of Imagenet model along with GRU.
  - The weights are kept as non-trainable.
  - Batch size and image size are the same as previous models
- Model training accuracy was 94.08% and validation accuracy received was 74%.
- This model is looking great wrt training however the validation accuracy is still 85 hence model is underfitting.

We will try to keep the weights trainable now.

## Experiment/ Model Number 13:

### Model Architecture


<b>Model Name</b>	ImageNet with LSTM With Train = True
<b>Total Conv Layers</b>	Transfer learning is used
<b>Batch Size</b>	52
<b>Image Dimensions</b>	(96,96)
<b>Activation Function</b>	Rectified Linear Unit (RELU)
<b>Optimizer</b>	Adam
<b>Learning Rate</b>	Adaptive (1e-2)
<b>Total Trainable Parameter</b>	3,492,293
<b>Model Checkpoint</b>	Saved on minimum validation loss
<b>Trained Epochs</b>	50
<b>Loss Function</b>	categorical_crossentropy
<b>Training Accuracy</b>	95.02%
<b>Validation Accuracy</b>	93.00%

### Observations:

- We have done following changes wrt the previous model
  - We have used the pre-trained weights of Imagenet model along with GRU.
  - The weights are kept as trainable.
  - The batch size changed to 52 and the image size is the same as previous models
- Model training accuracy was 95.02% and validation accuracy received was 93%.
- This model is looking great wrt training and validation accuracy. The model is the best one till now wrt to training and validation results.



We now have model 4 and model 13 which are equally good and hence ready to submit.

NOTE: The model weight (h5) file for Model 13 cannot be submitted because we got an issue while submitting it. Hence we have compressed it further.



Upload your file & Save as draft

Upload

 File size cannot exceed 50MB 

Please reach out to [devashishpkhairnar@gmail.com](mailto:devashishpkhairnar@gmail.com) in case an h5 file is required.  
Due to size constraints submitting the second-best model.