Connectionist Models

Consider humans:

- Neuron switching time ~ .001 second
- Number of neurons ~ 10^{10}
- Connections per neuron ~ 10^{4-5}
- Scene recognition time ~ .1 second
- 100 inference steps doesn't seem like enough

$\rightarrow$ much parallel computation

Properties of artificial neural nets (ANN's):

- Many neuron-like threshold switching units
- Many weighted interconnections among units
- Highly parallel, distributed process
- Emphasis on tuning weights automatically

ALVINN drives 70 mph on highways

When to Consider Neural Networks

- Input is high-dimensional discrete or real-valued (e.g. raw sensor input)
- Output is discrete or real valued
- Output is a vector of values
- Possibly noisy data
- Form of target function is unknown
- Human readability of result is unimportant

Examples:

- Speech phoneme recognition [Waibel]
- Image classification [Kanade, Baluja, Rowley]
- Financial prediction

Perceptron

$$o(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_n x_n > 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Sometimes we'll use simpler vector notation:

$$o(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \cdot \vec{x} > 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Decision Surface of a Perceptron

(a)   (b)

Represents some useful functions

- What weights represent
  $g(x_1, x_2) = AND(x_1, x_2)$?

But some functions not representable

- e.g., not linearly separable
- Therefore, we'll want networks of these...

Perceptron training rule

Can prove it will converge

- If training data is linearly separable
- and η sufficiently small

Perceptron training rule

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \Delta w_i$$

where

$$\Delta w_i = \eta(t - o)x_i$$

Where:

- $t = c(\vec{x})$ is target value
- o is perceptron output
- η is small constant (e.g., .1) called *learning rate*

Gradient Descent

To understand, consider simpler *linear unit*, where

$$o = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_n x_n$$

Let's learn w_i's that minimize the squared error

$$E[\vec{w}] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in D} (t_d - o_d)^2$$

Where D is set of training examples

Gradient Descent

Gradient
$$\nabla E[\vec{w}] \equiv \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_0}, \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_1}, \cdots \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_n}\right]$$

Training rule:
$$\Delta \vec{w} = -\eta \nabla E[\vec{w}]$$

i.e.,
$$\Delta w_i = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i}$$

Gradient Descent

Gradient-Descent($training\_examples, \eta$)

Each training example is a pair of the form $\langle \vec{x}, t \rangle$, where $\vec{x}$ is the vector of input values, and t is the target output value. η is the learning rate (e.g., .05).

- Initialize each w_i to some small random value
- Until the termination condition is met, Do
  - Initialize each Δw_i to zero.
  - For each $\langle \vec{x}, t \rangle$ in $training\_examples$, Do
    * Input the instance $\vec{x}$ to the unit and compute the output o
    * For each linear unit weight w_i, Do
      $$\Delta w_i \leftarrow \Delta w_i + \eta(t - o)x_i$$
  - For each linear unit weight w_i, Do
    $$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \Delta w_i$$

Gradient Descent

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \frac{1}{2} \sum_d (t_d - o_d)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_d \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} (t_d - o_d)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_d 2(t_d - o_d) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i}(t_d - o_d) \\
&= \sum_d (t_d - o_d) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i}(t_d - \vec{w} \cdot \vec{x_d}) \\
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} &= \sum_d (t_d - o_d)(-x_{i,d})
\end{aligned}
$$

Summary

Perceptron training rule guaranteed to succeed if
- Training examples are linearly separable
- Sufficiently small learning rate η

Linear unit training rule uses gradient descent
- Guaranteed to converge to hypothesis with minimum squared error
- Given sufficiently small learning rate η
- Even when training data contains noise
- Even when training data not separable by H

Incremental (Stochastic) Gradient Descent

Batch mode Gradient Descent:

Do until satisfied

1. Compute the gradient $\nabla E_D[\vec{w}]$

2. $\vec{w} \leftarrow \vec{w} - \eta \nabla E_D[\vec{w}]$

Incremental mode Gradient Descent:

Do until satisfied

- For each training example d in D

  1. Compute the gradient $\nabla E_d[\vec{w}]$

  2. $\vec{w} \leftarrow \vec{w} - \eta \nabla E_d[\vec{w}]$

$$E_D[\vec{w}] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in D} (t_d - o_d)^2$$

$$E_d[\vec{w}] \equiv \frac{1}{2} (t_d - o_d)^2$$

Incremental Gradient Descent can approximate *Batch Gradient Descent* arbitrarily closely if η made small enough

Sigmoid Unit

$\sigma(x)$ is the sigmoid function

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

Nice property: $\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$

We can derive gradient decent rules to train

- One sigmoid unit

- *Multilayer networks* of sigmoid units $\rightarrow$ Backpropagation

Multilayer Networks of Sigmoid Units

Error Gradient for a Sigmoid Unit

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in D} (t_d - o_d)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_d \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} (t_d - o_d)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_d 2(t_d - o_d) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} (t_d - o_d) \\
&= \sum_d (t_d - o_d) \left(-\frac{\partial o_d}{\partial w_i} \right) \\
&= -\sum_d (t_d - o_d) \frac{\partial o_d}{\partial net_d} \frac{\partial net_d}{\partial w_i}
\end{aligned}$$

But we know:

$$\frac{\partial o_d}{\partial net_d} = \frac{\partial \sigma(net_d)}{\partial net_d} = o_d(1 - o_d)$$

$$\frac{\partial net_d}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial (\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_d)}{\partial w_i} = x_{i,d}$$

So:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i} = -\sum_{d \in D} (t_d - o_d) o_d (1 - o_d) x_{i,d}$$

Backpropagation Algorithm

Initialize all weights to small random numbers.
Until satisfied, Do

- For each training example, Do

  1. Input the training example to the network and compute the network outputs

  2. For each output unit k
     $$\delta_k \leftarrow o_k(1 - o_k)(t_k - o_k)$$

  3. For each hidden unit h
     $$\delta_h \leftarrow o_h(1 - o_h) \sum_{k \in outputs} w_{h,k}\delta_k$$

  4. Update each network weight $w_{i,j}$
 $$w_{i,j} \leftarrow w_{i,j} + \Delta w_{i,j}$$

     where
     $$\Delta w_{i,j} = \eta \delta_j x_{i,j}$$


More on Backpropagation

- Gradient descent over entire *network* weight vector

- Easily generalized to arbitrary directed graphs

- Will find a local, not necessarily global error minimum

  - In practice, often works well (can run multiple times)

- Often include weight *momentum* α
  $$\Delta w_{i,j}(n) = \eta \delta_j x_{i,j} + \alpha \Delta w_{i,j}(n - 1)$$

- Minimizes error over *training* examples

  - Will it generalize well to subsequent examples?

- Training can take thousands of iterations $\rightarrow$ slow!

- Using network after training is very fast

Learning Hidden Layer Representations

A target function:

Input		Output
10000000	$\rightarrow$	10000000
01000000	$\rightarrow$	01000000
00100000	$\rightarrow$	00100000
00010000	$\rightarrow$	00010000
00001000	$\rightarrow$	00001000
00000100	$\rightarrow$	00000100
00000010	$\rightarrow$	00000010
00000001	$\rightarrow$	00000001

Can this be learned??

Learning Hidden Layer Representations

A network:

Learned hidden layer representation:

Input		Hidden Values				Output
10000000	$\rightarrow$	.89	.04	.08	$\rightarrow$	10000000
01000000	$\rightarrow$	.01	.11	.88	$\rightarrow$	01000000
00100000	$\rightarrow$	.01	.97	.27	$\rightarrow$	00100000
00010000	$\rightarrow$	.99	.97	.71	$\rightarrow$	00010000
00001000	$\rightarrow$	.03	.05	.02	$\rightarrow$	00001000
00000100	$\rightarrow$	.22	.99	.99	$\rightarrow$	00000100
00000010	$\rightarrow$	.80	.01	.98	$\rightarrow$	00000010
00000001	$\rightarrow$	.60	.94	.01	$\rightarrow$	00000001

Training

Sum of squared errors for each output unit

lecture slides for textbook *Machine Learning*, T. Mitchell, McGraw Hill, 1997

Training

Weights from inputs to one hidden unit

lecture slides for textbook *Machine Learning*, T. Mitchell, McGraw Hill, 1997

Training

Hidden unit encoding for input 01000000

lecture slides for textbook *Machine Learning*, T. Mitchell, McGraw Hill, 1997

Convergence of Backpropagation

Gradient descent to some local minimum

- Perhaps not global minimum...
- Add momentum
- Stochastic gradient descent
- Train multiple nets with different inital weights

Nature of convergence

- Initialize weights near zero
- Therefore, initial networks near-linear
- Increasingly non-linear functions possible as training progresses

lecture slides for textbook *Machine Learning*, T. Mitchell, McGraw Hill, 1997

Expressive Capabilities of ANNs

Boolean functions:

- Every boolean function can be represented by network with single hidden layer

- but might require exponential (in number of inputs) hidden units

Continuous functions:

- Every bounded continuous function can be approximated with arbitrarily small error, by network with one hidden layer [Cybenko 1989; Hornik et al. 1989]

- Any function can be approximated to arbitrary accuracy by a network with two hidden layers [Cybenko 1988].

Overfitting in ANNs

Neural Nets for Face Recognition


Typical input images

90% accurate learning head pose, and recognizing 1-of-20 faces

Learned Hidden Unit Weights

Typical input images

http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~tom/faces.html

Alternative Error Functions

Penalize large weights:

$$E(\vec{w}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{k \in outputs} (t_{kd} - o_{kd})^2 + \gamma \sum_{i,j} w_{ji}^2$$

Train on target slopes as well as values:

$$E(\vec{w}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{k \in outputs} \left[(t_{kd} - o_{kd})^2 + \mu \sum_{j \in inputs} \left(\frac{\partial t_{kd}}{\partial x_d^j} - \frac{\partial o_{kd}}{\partial x_d^j} \right)^2 \right]$$

Tie together weights:

- e.g., in phoneme recognition network

lecture slides for textbook *Machine Learning*, T. Mitchell, McGraw Hill, 1997

Recurrent Networks

(a) Feedforward network (b) Recurrent network

(c) Recurrent network
unfolded in time

lecture slides for textbook *Machine Learning*, T. Mitchell, McGraw Hill, 1997