

## Supporting Information

© Copyright Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, 2010

### **Prospective Validation of a Comprehensive In silico hERG Model and its Applications to Commercial Compound and Drug Databases**

Munikumar R. Doddareddy, Elisabeth C. Klaasse, Shagufta, Adriaan P. IJzerman, and  
Andreas Bender<sup>\*,[a]</sup>

cmdc\_201000024\_sm\_miscellaneous\_information.pdf

# Supporting information

## Contents

### Tables

|                   |   |
|-------------------|---|
| <b>Table S1a.</b> | Classification statistics of LDA and SVM models generated using ECFP_4 and FCFP_4 fingerprints  |
| <b>Table S1b.</b> | Classification statistics of LDA and SVM models generated using ECFP_6 and FCFP_6 fingerprints (Models from dataset 3 were highlighted) |
| <b>Table S2a.</b> | Classification statistics of individual RLB and PC SVM models using FCFP_6 fingerprints   |
| <b>Table S2b.</b> | Predictions of all the compounds of the dataset by mixed, RLB and PC models generated using SVM and FCFP_6 fingerprints                 |
| <b>Dataset</b>    | Excel file and individual smile files (zip file)  |

**Table S1a.** Classification statistics of LDA and SVM models generated using ECFP\_4 and FCFP\_4 fingerprints

| ECFP_4 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | FCFP_4 |      |      |      |      |      |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
|        | LDA1 | LDA2 | LDA3 | SVM1 | SVM2 | SVM3 |      | LDA1   | LDA2 | LDA3 | SVM1 | SVM2 | SVM3 |
| CV     | TP   | 476  | 638  | 788  | 488  | 657  | 814  | 514    | 669  | 824  | 502  | 669  | 823  |
|        | FP   | 129  | 165  | 199  | 84   | 123  | 144  | 146    | 170  | 207  | 81   | 129  | 149  |
|        | TN   | 1256 | 1220 | 1186 | 1301 | 1262 | 1241 | 1239   | 1215 | 1178 | 1304 | 1256 | 1236 |
|        | FN   | 176  | 197  | 216  | 164  | 178  | 190  | 138    | 166  | 180  | 150  | 166  | 181  |
|        | AUC  | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.91   | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
|        | C    | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.68   | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
|        | GHa  | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.78   | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.83 |
|        | GHi  | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.89   | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.88 |
|        | SE   | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.78   | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.82 |
|        | SP   | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.89   | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.89 |
|        | Q    | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86   | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.86 |
| NCV    | TP   | 528  | 681  | 828  | 591  | 776  | 939  | 549    | 722  | 871  | 602  | 773  | 940  |
|        | FP   | 77   | 106  | 138  | 20   | 33   | 47   | 97     | 125  | 149  | 26   | 42   | 64   |
|        | TN   | 1308 | 1279 | 1247 | 1365 | 1352 | 1338 | 1288   | 1260 | 1236 | 1359 | 1343 | 1321 |
|        | FN   | 124  | 154  | 176  | 61   | 59   | 65   | 103    | 113  | 133  | 50   | 62   | 64   |
|        | AUC  | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.96   | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
|        | C    | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.77   | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.89 |
|        | GHa  | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.84   | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
|        | GHi  | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.93   | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.95 |
|        | SE   | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.84   | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 |
|        | SP   | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.93   | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.95 |
|        | Q    | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.90   | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.94 |

CV: cross validated models(5-fold), NCV: Non cross validated models, TP: True positives, FP: False positives, TN: True negatives, FN: False negatives, AUC: area under curve of false positive rate vs true positive rate plot, C: Matthews correlation coefficient, GHa: GH score for actives(Blockers), GHi: GH scores for inactives(Non-blockers), SE: sensitivity, SP: specificity, Q: overall accuracy

Dataset 1: Blockers =  $IC_{50} < 3\mu M$ ; Dataset 2: Blockers =  $IC_{50} < 6\mu M$ ; Dataset 3: Blockers =  $IC_{50} < 10\mu M$

Non-blockers: FDA approved drugs and literature compounds with  $IC_{50} > 30\mu M$

**Table S1b.** Classification statistics of LDA and SVM models generated using ECFP\_6 and FCFP\_6 fingerprints (Models from dataset 3 were highlighted)

| ECFP_6 |     | LDA1 | LDA2 | LDA3        | SVM1 | SVM2 | SVM3        | FCFP_6 |      |             |      |      |             |
|--------|-----|------|------|-------------|------|------|-------------|--------|------|-------------|------|------|-------------|
|        |     |      |      |             |      |      |             | LDA1   | LDA2 | LDA3        | SVM1 | SVM2 | SVM3        |
| CV     | TP  | 481  | 637  | <b>793</b>  | 494  | 651  | <b>811</b>  | 475    | 641  | <b>805</b>  | 483  | 667  | <b>821</b>  |
|        | FP  | 143  | 174  | <b>201</b>  | 93   | 133  | <b>151</b>  | 151    | 184  | <b>212</b>  | 79   | 131  | <b>152</b>  |
|        | TN  | 1244 | 1213 | <b>1186</b> | 1294 | 1254 | <b>1236</b> | 1236   | 1203 | <b>1175</b> | 1308 | 1256 | <b>1235</b> |
|        | FN  | 171  | 198  | <b>211</b>  | 158  | 184  | <b>193</b>  | 177    | 194  | <b>199</b>  | 169  | 168  | <b>183</b>  |
|        | AUC | 0.90 | 0.90 | <b>0.89</b> | 0.93 | 0.93 | <b>0.93</b> | 0.91   | 0.90 | <b>0.90</b> | 0.94 | 0.93 | <b>0.93</b> |
|        | C   | 0.64 | 0.64 | <b>0.64</b> | 0.71 | 0.69 | <b>0.70</b> | 0.62   | 0.64 | <b>0.64</b> | 0.71 | 0.71 | <b>0.71</b> |
|        | GHa | 0.75 | 0.77 | <b>0.79</b> | 0.80 | 0.80 | <b>0.83</b> | 0.74   | 0.77 | <b>0.79</b> | 0.80 | 0.82 | <b>0.83</b> |
|        | GHi | 0.88 | 0.87 | <b>0.85</b> | 0.91 | 0.89 | <b>0.88</b> | 0.88   | 0.86 | <b>0.85</b> | 0.91 | 0.90 | <b>0.88</b> |
|        | SE  | 0.73 | 0.76 | <b>0.79</b> | 0.75 | 0.78 | <b>0.81</b> | 0.72   | 0.76 | <b>0.80</b> | 0.74 | 0.79 | <b>0.81</b> |
|        | SP  | 0.90 | 0.87 | <b>0.85</b> | 0.93 | 0.90 | <b>0.89</b> | 0.89   | 0.87 | <b>0.85</b> | 0.94 | 0.91 | <b>0.89</b> |
|        | Q   | 0.84 | 0.83 | <b>0.82</b> | 0.87 | 0.86 | <b>0.86</b> | 0.83   | 0.83 | <b>0.82</b> | 0.88 | 0.87 | <b>0.86</b> |
| NCV    | TP  | 532  | 677  | <b>827</b>  | 597  | 780  | <b>940</b>  | 534    | 696  | <b>862</b>  | 596  | 771  | <b>939</b>  |
|        | FP  | 83   | 114  | <b>141</b>  | 19   | 31   | <b>53</b>   | 100    | 127  | <b>156</b>  | 17   | 33   | <b>47</b>   |
|        | TN  | 1304 | 1273 | <b>1246</b> | 1368 | 1356 | <b>1334</b> | 1287   | 1260 | <b>1231</b> | 1370 | 1354 | <b>1340</b> |
|        | FN  | 120  | 158  | <b>177</b>  | 55   | 55   | <b>64</b>   | 118    | 139  | <b>142</b>  | 56   | 64   | <b>65</b>   |
|        | AUC | 0.96 | 0.95 | <b>0.94</b> | 0.99 | 0.99 | <b>0.99</b> | 0.96   | 0.95 | <b>0.95</b> | 0.99 | 0.99 | <b>0.99</b> |
|        | C   | 0.76 | 0.74 | <b>0.73</b> | 0.91 | 0.92 | <b>0.90</b> | 0.75   | 0.74 | <b>0.74</b> | 0.91 | 0.90 | <b>0.90</b> |
|        | GHa | 0.84 | 0.83 | <b>0.84</b> | 0.94 | 0.94 | <b>0.94</b> | 0.83   | 0.83 | <b>0.85</b> | 0.94 | 0.94 | <b>0.94</b> |
|        | GHi | 0.92 | 0.90 | <b>0.89</b> | 0.97 | 0.97 | <b>0.96</b> | 0.92   | 0.90 | <b>0.89</b> | 0.97 | 0.96 | <b>0.96</b> |
|        | SE  | 0.81 | 0.81 | <b>0.82</b> | 0.91 | 0.93 | <b>0.93</b> | 0.81   | 0.83 | <b>0.85</b> | 0.91 | 0.92 | <b>0.93</b> |
|        | SP  | 0.94 | 0.92 | <b>0.90</b> | 0.99 | 0.98 | <b>0.96</b> | 0.93   | 0.91 | <b>0.89</b> | 0.99 | 0.97 | <b>0.97</b> |
|        | Q   | 0.90 | 0.87 | <b>0.87</b> | 0.96 | 0.96 | <b>0.95</b> | 0.89   | 0.88 | <b>0.87</b> | 0.96 | 0.95 | <b>0.95</b> |

CV: cross validated models(5-fold), NCV: Non cross validated models, TP: True positives, FP: False positives, TN: True negatives, FN: False negatives, AUC: area under curve of false positive rate vs true positive rate plot, C: Matthews correlation coefficient, GHa: GH score for actives(Blockers), GHi: GH scores for inactives(Non-blockers), SE: sensitivity, SP: specificity, Q: overall accuracy

Dataset 1: Blockers =  $IC_{50} < 3\mu M$ ; Dataset 2: Blockers =  $IC_{50} < 6\mu M$ ; Dataset 3: Blockers =  $IC_{50} < 10\mu M$

Non-blockers: FDA approved drugs and literature compounds with  $IC_{50} > 30\mu M$

| <b>Table S2a.</b> Classification statistics of individual RLB and PC SVM models using FCFP_6 fingerprints.  |            |               |           |
|---|------------|---------------|-----------|
|   |            | <b>FCFP_6</b> |           |
|   |            | <b>RLB</b>    | <b>PC</b> |
| <b>CV</b>   | <b>TP</b>  | 517           | 215       |
|   | <b>FP</b>  | 47            | 46        |
|   | <b>TN</b>  | 1292          | 1261      |
|   | <b>FN</b>  | 88            | 197       |
|   | <b>AUC</b> | 0.97          | 0.88      |
|   | <b>C</b>   | 0.84          | 0.58      |
|   | <b>GHa</b> | 0.89          | 0.67      |
|   | <b>GHi</b> | 0.95          | 0.91      |
|   | <b>SE</b>  | 0.85          | 0.52      |
|   | <b>SP</b>  | 0.96          | 0.96      |
|   | <b>Q</b>   | 0.93          | 0.86      |
| <b>NCV</b>  | <b>TP</b>  | 569           | 334       |
|   | <b>FP</b>  | 16            | 14        |
|   | <b>TN</b>  | 1323          | 1293      |
|   | <b>FN</b>  | 36            | 78        |
|   | <b>AUC</b> | 0.99          | 0.98      |
|   | <b>C</b>   | 0.94          | 0.85      |
|   | <b>GHa</b> | 0.96          | 0.88      |
|   | <b>GHi</b> | 0.98          | 0.96      |
|   | <b>SE</b>  | 0.94          | 0.81      |
|   | <b>SP</b>  | 0.99          | 0.99      |
|   | <b>Q</b>   | 0.97          | 0.95      |
| <p>CV: cross-validated models(5-fold), NCV: Non cross-validated models, TP: True positives, FP: False positives, TN: True negatives, FN: False negatives, AUC: area under curve of false positive rate vs true positive rate plot, C: Matthews correlation coefficient, GHa: GH score for actives(Blockers), GHi: GH scores for inactives(Non-blockers), SE: sensitivity, SP: specificity, Q: overall accuracy; Dataset 3: Blockers = IC<sub>50</sub> &lt; 10μ?, Non-blockers: FDA-approved drugs and literature compounds with IC<sub>50</sub> &gt; 30μM.</p> <p>RLB blockers : 605; Non-blockers : 1339</p> <p>PC blockers : 412; Non-blockers 1307</p> |            |               |           |

**Table S2b.** Predictions of all the compounds of the dataset by mixed, RLB and PC models generated using SVM and FCFP\_6 fingerprints

|  | Predicted<br>active | %Predicted<br>active | Predicted<br>inactive | %Predicted<br>inactive | % Total |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Mixed model                                      | 1026                | 92%                  | 1468                  | 96%                    | 94%     |
| RLB model  | 701                 | 63%                  | 1495                  | 98%                    | 83%     |
| PC model   | 526                 | 47%                  | 1497                  | 98%                    | 76%     |
| Total Blockers : 1112; Total Non-blockers : 1532 |                     |                      |                       |                        |         |