## Classical case

Given 
$$f: X \rightarrow C$$
, suppcf) :=  $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ 

Def (Relative entropy). Let 
$$P$$
,  $Q$  be prob distributions on  $X$ .

$$D(P||Q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} & \text{if } supp(P) \leq supp(Q) \\ +\infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$= \underset{\text{XMQ}}{\mathbb{E}} \left( \underset{Q}{\stackrel{P}{\bigcirc}} (\log \underset{Q}{\stackrel{P}{\bigcirc}} ) \right)$$
why this is well defined supp (P)  $\subseteq$  supp (Q)  $\Longrightarrow \underset{QOS}{\stackrel{P}{\bigcirc}} (\text{could be } \underset{C}{\stackrel{C}{\bigcirc}} )$ 
or  $\underset{C_2}{\stackrel{C}{\bigcirc}} = 0$ , ologo=0

Not 
$$\frac{C_2}{O}$$
 (underfined)

· Pescribe How Well We can distinguish P from Q (Hypothesis Testing)

Example: 
$$P.Qe(0.1)$$
  $P(0)=\lambda$ ,  $P(1)=1-\lambda$ ,  $Q(0)=\frac{1}{2}$ .  $Q(2)=\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$D(P|Q) = \lambda \log \frac{\lambda}{0.5} + (1-\lambda) \log \frac{1-\lambda}{0.5} = \lambda \log \lambda + (1-\lambda) \log (1-\lambda) - 1$$

= 
$$|-h(\lambda)| \rightarrow 0$$
 if  $\lambda \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ 

Properties of D(·11·)

$$O$$
  $D(P11Q) \ge 0$ , with equality iff  $P=Q$ 

D(
$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} \sum_{j} ||\sum_{j} ||\sum_{j$$

$$Pf: DD(PIIQ) = F_Q(\frac{1}{Q}\log \frac{1}{Q}) \geqslant F_Q(\frac{1}{Q})\log F_Q(\frac{1}{Q}) \left(t \mapsto t \log t\right)$$

$$= 1 \log 1 = 0 \qquad F_Q(\frac{1}{Q} = \sum DX) = 1$$

$$= \sum DX = 1$$

2 Define an extension of D

$$\overline{D}(PIIQ) = \sum P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} + (Q(x) - P(x))$$

We show 
$$\widehat{D}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{X} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$$
 joint convex

$$\overline{D}(P||Q) = \sum_{X \in X} g(px) \cdot Q(x)) \qquad g(a.b) = \alpha \log \frac{q}{b} + (b-a)$$

Hessien 
$$\nabla^2 g(a,b) = \left[ \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} \right] > 0 = 9$$
 g is convex over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

From Relative entropy to Entropies

① For P over X, 
$$H(p) = \log |X| - D(P||\frac{1}{|X|})$$

$$D(P||_{\overline{|\mathcal{N}|}}) = \sum p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{y_{|\mathcal{N}|}} = \sum p(x) (\log p(x) + \log |x|)$$

$$= \log$$

3) For 
$$P_{XYZ}$$
 over  $X \times Y \times Z$ 

$$I(X=Y|Z) := H(XZ) + H(YZ) - H(XYZ) - H(Z)$$

$$= D(P_{XYZ} || \frac{1}{M} \times P_{YZ}) - D(P_{XZ} || \frac{1}{M} || OP_{Z})$$

$$= (log |x| - H(x | YZ) - (log |x| - H(x | Z))$$

$$= H(X|Z) - H(X|YZ)$$

$$= H(XYZ) - H(XYZ) + H(YZ)$$

Can we prove I(x= {12}) using relative entropy? Tes.

## Data processing inequality

A classical channel from 
$$X$$
 to  $Y$  is a linear map  $N: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ 

① Positive: 
$$f \ge 0 \Rightarrow Nf \ge 0$$

So 
$$N: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y)$$
 send p.d.f to p.d.f  $N: \mathbb{C}^X \to \mathbb{C}^Y$  is given by a matrix  $N(Y|X)$   $P \in \mathbb{C}^X \to Q(Y) = \sum_{x \in X} N(Y|X) P(X)$ 

$$\Im \Rightarrow \sum_{y} N(y|x) = 1$$

Such N(YIX) is called a stochastic matrix

Model for notify communication.

Example: (Forgetting Channel): 
$$\overline{\Phi}: \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$$
.



Data processing Inequality

For any channel N,

$$P(Np|Nq) \leq D(p|1q)$$

$$Pf: D(Np|Nq) = \sum_{X,Y} Np(Y) (og \frac{NP(Y)}{Nq(Y)})$$

$$= \sum_{X,Y} N(Y|X) P(X) (og \frac{NP(Y)}{Nq(Y)})$$

$$= \sum_{X,Y} P(X) (\sum_{X} N(Y|X) (og \frac{NP(Y)}{Nq(Y)})$$

$$= \sum_{X} P(X) (og exp ( \sum_{X} N(Y|X) (og \frac{NP(Y)}{Nq(Y)}))$$

$$D(p|1q) = \sum_{X} P(X) (og \frac{P(X)}{q(X)})$$

$$D(p|1q) - D(Np|Nq) = \sum_{X} P(X) (og \frac{P(X)}{q(X)})$$

$$= \sum_{X} P(X) \left[ log P(X) - (og \left( q(X) \sum_{X} N(Y|X) \frac{NP(Y)}{Nq(Y)}) \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{X} P(X) \left[ log P(X) - (og \left( q(X) \sum_{X} N(Y|X) \frac{NP(Y)}{Nq(Y)}) \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{X} P(X) (og \frac{P(X)}{q(X) \sum_{X} N(Y|X) \frac{NP(Y)}{Nq(Y)})}$$

Claim 
$$Y(x) = Q(x) \frac{1}{x} N(y|x) \frac{NP(y)}{NQ(y)}$$
 is a prob distribution

In dead  $Y = R$  NP

 $R = \mathbb{C}^{\frac{Y}{2}} \to \mathbb{C}^{\frac{X}{2}}$  is the channel

 $Ru(x) = \frac{1}{x} \frac{N(y|x)Q(x)}{NQ(y)}$   $U(y)$   $U(x) = \frac{N(y|x)Q(x)}{NQ(y)}$ 
 $\frac{X}{x} R(x|y) = \frac{1}{x} \frac{N(y|x)Q(x)}{NQ(y)} = \frac{NQ(y)}{NQ(y)} = 1$  Bayes Rule!

Thus 
$$D(p | q) - D(Np | l Nq) \ge D(p | l R Np) \ge 0$$
  
If  $D(p | q) = D(Np | l Nq) \implies p = RNP$   
 $Moreover$   $RNq = q$   
 $D(p | l q) = D(Np | l Nq)$  iff  $\exists R : C^{\times} \Rightarrow C^{\times}$ ,  
 $R \circ N(p) = P$   $R \circ N(q) = q$ .