## University of Houston

# Introduction to Computer Networks ${\rm COSC~6377}$

## Final Review

Author K.M. Hourani  $Based\ on\ Notes\ By$  Dr. Omprakash GNAWALI

## Contents

1	End-To-End Arguments in System Design	2
2	Dynamics of Random Early Detection	2
3	Revisiting IP Multicast	3
4	Reverse Traceroute	4
5	StreetSense: Effect of Bus Wi-Fi APs on Pedestrian Smartphone	5
6	Your Botnet is My Botnet: Analysis of a Botnet Takeover	5
7	Who's left behind? Measuring Adoption of Application Updates at Scale	5
8	BBR Congestion-Based Congestion Control	5
9	PREDATOR: Proactive Recognition and Elimination of Domain Abuse at Time-Of-Registration	5
10	Dissecting Apple's Meta-CDN during an iOS Update	5
11	Embedded Visible Light Communication:Link Measurements and Interpretation	5
12	Akamai DNS: Providing Authoritative Answers to the World's Queries	5

#### 1 End-To-End Arguments in System Design

- Design principles that help guide placement of functions among modules of distributed computer systems
- end-to-end argument
  - suggests that functions placed at low levels are redundant or of little value compared to cost
  - "can only be completely and correctly immplemented with knowledge and help of application standing at end points of communication"
- careful file transfer
  - move file from A to B without damage
  - can reinforce all steps by repetition
    - \* may be uneconomical
  - alternate approach to "check and retry"
    - \* send checksum
    - \* if failure probability low, will probably work on first try
  - in order to achieve, program must
    - \* supply file-transfer specific, end-to-end reliability guarantee
      - · checksum to detect failures
      - · retry/commit plan
  - thus, even if data communication system is reliable, burder on application is not reduced
- performance tradeoff
  - if too unreliable, performance suffers because of frequent retries
  - if internal reliability added, performance suffers because of redundant data (e.g. checksums)
  - "proper" tradeoff requires careful thought
- similar arguments for
  - delivery guarantees
  - secure transmission of data
  - duplicate message suppression
  - FIFO message delivery
  - transaction management
- must analyze the specific application requirements
- in the end, sort of an "Occam's razor"

#### 2 Dynamics of Random Early Detection

- RED gateway drops packs with dynamically computed probability
  - when average number of packets queued exceeds threshold minth
  - FCFS scheduling
  - percentage dropped from connection<sub>i</sub> with input rate  $\lambda_i$

$$\frac{\lambda_i p}{\sum \lambda_i p} = \frac{\lambda}{\sum \lambda_i}$$

- output rate

$$\frac{\lambda_i(1-p)}{\sum \lambda_i(1-p)} = \frac{\lambda}{\sum \lambda_i}$$

- RED drops packets in proportion to each connection's output usage
- if congestion is persistent, average queue length is above minth
  - non-zero minimu drop probability regardless of bandwidth useage
- unfair link sharing
  - 1. bias against fragile connections
  - 2. accepting packet from one connection causes higher drop probability for future packets from other connections, even if they consume less bandwidth
  - 3. non-adaptive connection can force RED to drop packets at high rate from all connections
- Flow Random Early Drop (FRED)
  - modified version of RED
  - behaves like RED with  $\min_q$  and  $\max_q$  goals
    - \* minimum and maximum number of packets each flow allowed to buffer
  - flows with fewer than avgcq packets queued are favored over flows with more
  - maintains count of buffered packets qlen for each flow
  - maintains variable strike for each flow
    - \* counts the number of times flow has failed to respond to congestion notification
    - \* penalizes flows with high strike values
- simulations
  - RED
    - \* does not provide fair bandwidth sharing
  - FRED
    - \* provides selective dropping based on per-active-flow buffer counts
    - \* compatible with existing FIFO queueing architectures
    - \* often fairer than RED when connections have different RTTs and window sizes
    - $\ast$  protects adaptive flows from non-adaptive flows by enforcing dynamic per-flow queueing limits

### 3 Revisiting IP Multicast

- New implementation of multicast called Free Riding Multicast (FRM)
  - avoids need of distributed multicast route computation by leveraging unicast routes
  - participation and use is effected via same channel as unicast, BGP (familiar framework)
- moves cost from protocol to router internals
- areas that would benefit from multicast
  - MMORPGs
  - internet TV
  - file-sharing, RSS, software updates, video conferencing, grids
- application layer solutions less constrained by concerns of ISPs
  - difficult to scale
- network layer solutions scale by augmenting existing global ecosystem

- appealing for general-purpose services
- Design
  - group membership discovery
    - \* group addresses encoded using bloom filter
      - · values are hashed, corresponding bits are set in filter
      - · membership checked by checking corresponding bits
      - · false positives but no false negatives
      - · can receive traffic not interested in
      - · either drop such traffic, or can inform upstream to stop forwarding such traffic
    - \* false positive rate of  $\min(1, f/(A-G))$ , where G is number of groups, A size of address space, and f is number of allowed filters
    - \* since false positive can only be triggered by one of A-G addresses
    - \* adds memory requirement
      - · marginal in terms of cost
  - multicast packet forwarding
    - \* forwarding at  $R_s$

.

- Evaluation

\*

#### 4 Reverse Traceroute

- traceroute measures sequence of routers from source to destination, with RTT at each hop
- attempt to build a reverse path tool equivalent to traceroute
- source requests a path from system, coordinates probes from source and set of distributed vantage points
  - issue traceroutes to source, yielding atlas of paths to it
  - use this limited view to bootstrap measurement of desired path
- once path from destination reaches hop in the atlas, use atlas to derive remainder of path
- identify reverse hops with IP options
  - $\operatorname{RR-Ping}(S \to D)$ 
    - \* S issues ICMP Echo Request to probe to D with RR option
    - st if RR slots remain on response, routers record some of route
    - $\ast$  allows limited measurement of reverse path as long as destination is fewer than 9 hops from S
  - TS-Query-Ping $(S \to D \mid D, R)$ 
    - \* S issues ICMP ping probe to D with timestamp query
    - \* R records timestamp only if encountered by probe after D has stamped packet
    - \* if S receives timestamp for R, then knows R appears on reverse path
- incrementally build paths

- 5 StreetSense: Effect of Bus Wi-Fi APs on Pedestrian Smartphone
- 6 Your Botnet is My Botnet: Analysis of a Botnet Takeover
- 7 Who's left behind? Measuring Adoption of Application Updates at Scale
- 8 BBR Congestion-Based Congestion Control
- 9 PREDATOR: Proactive Recognition and Elimination of Domain Abuse at Time-Of-Registration
- 10 Dissecting Apple's Meta-CDN during an iOS Update
- 11 Embedded Visible Light Communication:Link Measurements and Interpretation
- 12 Akamai DNS: Providing Authoritative Answers to the World's Queries