

# Programming Tutorial

Khalid Hourani

University of Houston

October 9, 2020



# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
  - Correctness
  - Readability
  - Performance
  
- 2 Problem Solving
  - Problem: Array Division



# Table of Contents

## 1 Introduction

- Correctness
- Readability
- Performance

# Things to Consider

Good code depends on



# Things to Consider

Good code depends on

- Correctness



# Things to Consider

Good code depends on

- Correctness
- Readability



# Things to Consider

Good code depends on

- Correctness
- Readability
- Performance



# Correctness





# Correctness

- Code should be correct



# Correctness

- Code should be correct
- If code is incorrect then doesn't matter



# Correctness

- Code should be correct
- If code is incorrect then doesn't matter
  - how performant it is



# Correctness

- Code should be correct
- If code is incorrect then doesn't matter
  - how performant it is
  - how readable it is



# Correctness

- Code should be correct
- If code is incorrect then doesn't matter
  - how performant it is
  - how readable it is



# Correctness

- Code should be correct
- If code is incorrect then doesn't matter
  - how performant it is
  - how readable it is

## Boolean Satisfiability

```
1 def bool_sat(formula):  
2     return True
```



# Correctness

- Code should be correct
- If code is incorrect then doesn't matter
  - how performant it is
  - how readable it is

## Boolean Satisfiability

```
1 def bool_sat(formula):  
2     return True
```

- Above code is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  solution to an NP-Complete Problem



# Correctness

- Code should be correct
- If code is incorrect then doesn't matter
  - how performant it is
  - how readable it is

## Boolean Satisfiability

```
1 def bool_sat(formula):  
2     return True
```

- Above code is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  solution to an NP-Complete Problem
- But obviously not a correct solution





# Testing



# Testing

- You must test your code



# Testing

- You must test your code
- Easiest way to catch bugs



# Testing

- You must test your code
- Easiest way to catch bugs
- Example



# Testing

- You must test your code
- Easiest way to catch bugs
- Example



# Testing

- You must test your code
- Easiest way to catch bugs
- Example

## Primality Checker

```
1 def is_prime(n):  
2     if n in {0, 1}:  
3         return False  
4     for i in range(2, int(n ** 0.5)):  
5         if n % i == 0:  
6             return False  
7     return True
```



# Testing

- You must test your code
- Easiest way to catch bugs
- Example

## Primality Checker

```
1 def is_prime(n):  
2     if n in {0, 1}:  
3         return False  
4     for i in range(2, int(n ** 0.5)):  
5         if n % i == 0:  
6             return False  
7     return True
```

- What is wrong with above code?



# Testing

- You must test your code
- Easiest way to catch bugs
- Example

## Primality Checker

```
1 def is_prime(n):  
2     if n in {0, 1}:  
3         return False  
4     for i in range(2, int(n ** 0.5)):  
5         if n % i == 0:  
6             return False  
7     return True
```

- What is wrong with above code?
- Might not be obvious, but easy to see with testing





## Test is\_prime

```
1 for i in range(2, 11):  
2     print(i, is_prime(i))
```

## Test is\_prime

```
1 for i in range(2, 11):  
2     print(i, is_prime(i))
```

<i>i</i>	is_prime(i)	Correct?
2	True	✓
3	True	✓
4	True	✗
5	True	✓
6	True	✗
7	True	✓
8	True	✗
9	True	✗
10	False	✓

## Test is\_prime

```
1 for i in range(2, 11):  
2     print(i, is_prime(i))
```

<i>i</i>	is_prime(i)	Correct?
2	True	✓
3	True	✓
4	True	✗
5	True	✓
6	True	✗
7	True	✓
8	True	✗
9	True	✗
10	False	✓

• Incorrect for composite values 4, 6, 8, and 9

## Test is\_prime

```
1 for i in range(2, 11):
2     print(i, is_prime(i))
```

<i>i</i>	is_prime(i)	Correct?
2	True	✓
3	True	✓
4	True	✗
5	True	✓
6	True	✗
7	True	✓
8	True	✗
9	True	✗
10	False	✓

- Incorrect for composite values 4, 6, 8, and 9

- For these values

$$\text{int}(n ** 0.5) = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor = 2$$

## Test is\_prime

```
1 for i in range(2, 11):
2     print(i, is_prime(i))
```

<i>i</i>	is_prime(i)	Correct?
----------	-------------	----------

2	True	✓
---	------	---

3	True	✓
---	------	---

4	True	✗
---	------	---

5	True	✓
---	------	---

6	True	✗
---	------	---

7	True	✓
---	------	---

8	True	✗
---	------	---

9	True	✗
---	------	---

10	False	✓
----	-------	---

- Incorrect for composite values 4, 6, 8, and 9

- For these values

$$\text{int}(n ** 0.5) = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor = 2$$

- Off-by-one error – add 1 to range:  $\text{int}(n ** 0.5) + 1$

# Readability



# Readability

- Usually the most important thing after correctness



# Readability

- Usually the most important thing after correctness
- Code will be read far more often than it is written





# Readability

- Usually the most important thing after correctness
- Code will be read far more often than it is written
- Maintenance of code is often the highest expense



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style
- The style chosen is less important than that you follow a consistent style



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style
- The style chosen is less important than that you follow a consistent style



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style
- The style chosen is less important than that you follow a consistent style

## Ugly

```
1 c=(a+b)**0.5
2 L=[1,2,7]
```



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style
- The style chosen is less important than that you follow a consistent style

## Ugly

```
1 c=(a+b)**0.5
2 L=[1,2,7]
```

## Readable

```
1 c = (a + b) ** 0.5
2 L = [1, 2, 7]
```

- Easiest way to be consistent is to use a formatter





# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style
- The style chosen is less important than that you follow a consistent style

## Ugly

```
1 c=(a+b)**0.5
2 L=[1,2,7]
```

## Readable

```
1 c = (a + b) ** 0.5
2 L = [1, 2, 7]
```

- Easiest way to be consistent is to use a formatter
- Automatically formats your code according to some style guide



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style
- The style chosen is less important than that you follow a consistent style

## Ugly

```
1 c=(a+b)**0.5
2 L=[1,2,7]
```

## Readable

```
1 c = (a + b) ** 0.5
2 L = [1, 2, 7]
```

- Easiest way to be consistent is to use a formatter
- Automatically formats your code according to some style guide
  - Black, yapf, autopep8, etc., for Python



# Syntax

- Follow a set of best-practices for your language. For example
  - In Python, there is PEP 8
  - In C, there is the Linux Kernel Style
- The style chosen is less important than that you follow a consistent style

## Ugly

```
1 c=(a+b)**0.5
2 L=[1,2,7]
```

## Readable

```
1 c = (a + b) ** 0.5
2 L = [1, 2, 7]
```

- Easiest way to be consistent is to use a formatter
- Automatically formats your code according to some style guide
  - Black, yapf, autopep8, etc., for Python
  - clang-format for C/C++



# Structure

- Syntactic readability (style) is not enough



# Structure

- Syntactic readability (style) is not enough
- Code should be structured for readability



# Structure

- Syntactic readability (style) is not enough
- Code should be structured for readability
- Consider following problem



# Structure

- Syntactic readability (style) is not enough
- Code should be structured for readability
- Consider following problem



# Structure

- Syntactic readability (style) is not enough
- Code should be structured for readability
- Consider following problem

## Problem

Find the largest product of two 3-digit numbers that is a palindrome.





# Structure

- Syntactic readability (style) is not enough
- Code should be structured for readability
- Consider following problem

## Problem

Find the largest product of two 3-digit numbers that is a palindrome.

## Brute-Force Solution

Iterate through all  $10^6$  pairs of products and check if they are palindromic while keeping track of max.



# Example of Bad Structure



# Example of Bad Structure

## Poorly Structured

```
1 biggest = 0
2 for a in range(100, 1000):
3     for b in range(100, 1000):
4         prod = a * b
5         is_palindrome = True
6         s = str(prod)
7         n = len(s)
8         # check if number is palindrome
9         for i in range(n):
10             if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
11                 is_palindrome = False
12                 break
13         if not is_palindrome:
14             continue
15         else:
16             if prod > biggest:
17                 biggest = prod
18 print(biggest)
```



# Example of Bad Structure

## Poorly Structured

```
1 biggest = 0
2 for a in range(100, 1000):
3     for b in range(100, 1000):
4         prod = a * b
5         is_palindrome = True
6         s = str(prod)
7         n = len(s)
8         # check if number is palindrome
9         for i in range(n):
10             if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
11                 is_palindrome = False
12                 break
13         if not is_palindrome:
14             continue
15         else:
16             if prod > biggest:
17                 biggest = prod
18 print(biggest)
```

- code is hard to follow



# Example of Bad Structure

## Poorly Structured

```
1 biggest = 0
2 for a in range(100, 1000):
3     for b in range(100, 1000):
4         prod = a * b
5         is_palindrome = True
6         s = str(prod)
7         n = len(s)
8         # check if number is palindrome
9         for i in range(n):
10             if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
11                 is_palindrome = False
12                 break
13         if not is_palindrome:
14             continue
15         else:
16             if prod > biggest:
17                 biggest = prod
18 print(biggest)
```

- code is hard to follow
- unnecessarily complex — `is_palindrome` is essentially a sentinel value

# Example of Bad Structure

## Poorly Structured

```
1 biggest = 0
2 for a in range(100, 1000):
3     for b in range(100, 1000):
4         prod = a * b
5         is_palindrome = True
6         s = str(prod)
7         n = len(s)
8         # check if number is palindrome
9         for i in range(n):
10             if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
11                 is_palindrome = False
12                 break
13         if not is_palindrome:
14             continue
15         else:
16             if prod > biggest:
17                 biggest = prod
18 print(biggest)
```

- code is hard to follow
- unnecessarily complex — `is_palindrome` is essentially a sentinel value
- code is imperative, not declarative

# Example of Better Structure



# Example of Better Structure

## Better Structured

```
1 def is_palindrome(num):
2     s = str(num)
3     n = len(s)
4     for i in range(n):
5         if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
6             return False
7     return True
8
9
10 biggest = 0
11 for a in range(100, 1000):
12     for b in range(100, 1000):
13         if is_palindrome(a * b):
14             biggest = max(biggest, a * b)
15 print(biggest)
```





# Example of Better Structure

## Better Structured

```
1 def is_palindrome(num):
2     s = str(num)
3     n = len(s)
4     for i in range(n):
5         if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
6             return False
7     return True
8
9
10 biggest = 0
11 for a in range(100, 1000):
12     for b in range(100, 1000):
13         if is_palindrome(a * b):
14             biggest = max(biggest, a * b)
15 print(biggest)
```

- code is much easier to follow



# Example of Better Structure

## Better Structured

```
1 def is_palindrome(num):
2     s = str(num)
3     n = len(s)
4     for i in range(n):
5         if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
6             return False
7     return True
8
9
10 biggest = 0
11 for a in range(100, 1000):
12     for b in range(100, 1000):
13         if is_palindrome(a * b):
14             biggest = max(biggest, a * b)
15 print(biggest)
```

- code is much easier to follow
- function `is_palindrome` clearly conveys intent

# Example of Better Structure

## Better Structured

```
1 def is_palindrome(num):
2     s = str(num)
3     n = len(s)
4     for i in range(n):
5         if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
6             return False
7     return True
8
9
10 biggest = 0
11 for a in range(100, 1000):
12     for b in range(100, 1000):
13         if is_palindrome(a * b):
14             biggest = max(biggest, a * b)
15 print(biggest)
```

- code is much easier to follow
- function `is_palindrome` clearly conveys intent
- code is declarative



# Example of Good Structure



# Example of Good Structure

## Well Structured

```
1 def is_palindrome(num):
2     s = str(num)
3     n = len(s)
4     for i in range(n):
5         if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
6             return False
7     return True
8
9 def main():
10     biggest = 0
11     for a in range(100, 1000):
12         for b in range(100, 1000):
13             if is_palindrome(a * b):
14                 biggest = max(biggest, a * b)
15     print(biggest)
16
17 if __name__ == "__main__":
18     main()
```



# Example of Good Structure

## Well Structured

```
1 def is_palindrome(num):
2     s = str(num)
3     n = len(s)
4     for i in range(n):
5         if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
6             return False
7     return True
8
9 def main():
10     biggest = 0
11     for a in range(100, 1000):
12         for b in range(100, 1000):
13             if is_palindrome(a * b):
14                 biggest = max(biggest, a * b)
15     print(biggest)
16
17 if __name__ == "__main__":
18     main()
```

- basically same code



# Example of Good Structure

## Well Structured

```
1 def is_palindrome(num):
2     s = str(num)
3     n = len(s)
4     for i in range(n):
5         if s[i] != s[n - i - 1]:
6             return False
7     return True
8
9 def main():
10     biggest = 0
11     for a in range(100, 1000):
12         for b in range(100, 1000):
13             if is_palindrome(a * b):
14                 biggest = max(biggest, a * b)
15     print(biggest)
16
17 if __name__ == "__main__":
18     main()
```

- basically same code
- clearly describes what the program is doing **overall**

# Comments





# Comments

- Code should be commented



# Comments

- Code should be commented
- but not **over commented**



# Comments

- Code should be commented
- but not **over commented**



# Comments

- Code should be commented
- but not **over commented**

## Bad Comment

```
1 def i_sqrt(n):  
2     i = 0  
3     while i ** 2 < n:  
4         i += 1 # increment i  
5     return i
```

# Comments

- Code should be commented
- but not **over commented**

## Bad Comment

```
1 def i_sqrt(n):  
2     i = 0  
3     while i ** 2 < n:  
4         i += 1 # increment i  
5     return i
```

- it is clear that `i += 1` increments `i`



# Comments

- Code should be commented
- but not **over commented**

## Bad Comment

```
1 def i_sqrt(n):  
2     i = 0  
3     while i ** 2 < n:  
4         i += 1 # increment i  
5     return i
```

- it is clear that `i += 1` increments `i`
- comment is superfluous and **distracting**



# Good Comments



# Good Comments

- As a rule of thumb





# Good Comments

- As a rule of thumb
  - good **code** describes **what** and **how**



# Good Comments

- As a rule of thumb
  - good **code** describes **what** and **how**
  - good **comments** describe **why**



# Good Comments

- As a rule of thumb
  - good **code** describes **what** and **how**
  - good **comments** describe **why**



# Good Comments

- As a rule of thumb
  - good **code** describes **what** and **how**
  - good **comments** describe **why**

## Good Comment

```
1 def is_prime(n):
2     if n == 1:
3         return False
4     elif n in {2, 3}:
5         return True
6     elif n % 2 == 0:
7         return False
8     else:
9         # we need only check for odd factors
10        # up to sqrt(n)
11        for i in range(3, int(n ** 0.5) + 1, 2):
12            if n % i == 0:
13                return False
14        return True
```



# Good Comments

- As a rule of thumb
  - good **code** describes **what** and **how**
  - good **comments** describe **why**

## Good Comment

```
1 def is_prime(n):
2     if n == 1:
3         return False
4     elif n in {2, 3}:
5         return True
6     elif n % 2 == 0:
7         return False
8     else:
9         # we need only check for odd factors
10        # up to sqrt(n)
11        for i in range(3, int(n ** 0.5) + 1, 2):
12            if n % i == 0:
13                return False
14        return True
```

- this comment explains **why** the code is iterating from **1** to **`int(n ** 0.5) + 1`**



# Good Comments

- As a rule of thumb
  - good **code** describes **what** and **how**
  - good **comments** describe **why**

## Good Comment

```
1 def is_prime(n):
2     if n == 1:
3         return False
4     elif n in {2, 3}:
5         return True
6     elif n % 2 == 0:
7         return False
8     else:
9         # we need only check for odd factors
10        # up to sqrt(n)
11        for i in range(3, int(n ** 0.5) + 1, 2):
12            if n % i == 0:
13                return False
14        return True
```

- this comment explains **why** the code is iterating from **1** to **`int(n ** 0.5) + 1`**
- without this comment, reader would have to determine for themselves



# Good Code

- If your code is well-written, it will improve readability



# Good Code

- If your code is well-written, it will improve readability
- Not just in terms of structure, but things like variable and function names





# Good Code

- If your code is well-written, it will improve readability
- Not just in terms of structure, but things like variable and function names
- Well-written code often makes many comments **unnecessary**



# Good Code

- If your code is well-written, it will improve readability
- Not just in terms of structure, but things like variable and function names
- Well-written code often makes many comments **unnecessary**
- Often expressed as



# Good Code

- If your code is well-written, it will improve readability
- Not just in terms of structure, but things like variable and function names
- Well-written code often makes many comments **unnecessary**
- Often expressed as

Good Code is its Own Best Documentation



# Good Code

- If your code is well-written, it will improve readability
- Not just in terms of structure, but things like variable and function names
- Well-written code often makes many comments **unnecessary**
- Often expressed as

## Good Code is its Own Best Documentation

- Not an excuse to avoid comments



# Good Naming

## Bad Names

```
1 def qs(a):
2     if len(a) <= 1:
3         return a
4     else:
5         x, z = [], []
6         y = a[0]
7         for p in a:
8             if p < y:
9                 x.append(p)
10            else:
11                z.append(p)
12    return qs(x) + qs(z)
```

## Good Names

```
1 def quick_sort(array):
2     if len(array) <= 1:
3         return array
4     else:
5         left, right = [], []
6         pivot = array[0]
7         for ele in array:
8             if ele < pivot:
9                 left.append(ele)
10            else:
11                right.append(ele)
12    return quick_sort(left) + quick_sort(right)
```

- these names convey

# Good Naming

## Bad Names

```
1 def qs(a):
2     if len(a) <= 1:
3         return a
4     else:
5         x, z = [], []
6         y = a[0]
7         for p in a:
8             if p < y:
9                 x.append(p)
10            else:
11                z.append(p)
12    return qs(x) + qs(z)
```

## Good Names

```
1 def quick_sort(array):
2     if len(array) <= 1:
3         return array
4     else:
5         left, right = [], []
6         pivot = array[0]
7         for ele in array:
8             if ele < pivot:
9                 left.append(ele)
10            else:
11                right.append(ele)
12    return quick_sort(left) + quick_sort(right)
```

- these names convey
  - basically nothing

# Good Naming

## Bad Names

```
1 def qs(a):
2     if len(a) <= 1:
3         return a
4     else:
5         x, z = [], []
6         y = a[0]
7         for p in a:
8             if p < y:
9                 x.append(p)
10            else:
11                z.append(p)
12    return qs(x) + qs(z)
```

- these names convey
  - basically nothing

## Good Names

```
1 def quick_sort(array):
2     if len(array) <= 1:
3         return array
4     else:
5         left, right = [], []
6         pivot = array[0]
7         for ele in array:
8             if ele < pivot:
9                 left.append(ele)
10            else:
11                right.append(ele)
12    return quick_sort(left) + quick_sort(right)
```

- these names convey

# Good Naming

## Bad Names

```
1 def qs(a):
2     if len(a) <= 1:
3         return a
4     else:
5         x, z = [], []
6         y = a[0]
7         for p in a:
8             if p < y:
9                 x.append(p)
10            else:
11                z.append(p)
12    return qs(x) + qs(z)
```

- these names convey
  - basically nothing

## Good Names

```
1 def quick_sort(array):
2     if len(array) <= 1:
3         return array
4     else:
5         left, right = [], []
6         pivot = array[0]
7         for ele in array:
8             if ele < pivot:
9                 left.append(ele)
10            else:
11                right.append(ele)
12    return quick_sort(left) + quick_sort(right)
```

- these names convey
  - function is quicksort



# Good Naming

## Bad Names

```
1 def qs(a):
2     if len(a) <= 1:
3         return a
4     else:
5         x, z = [], []
6         y = a[0]
7         for p in a:
8             if p < y:
9                 x.append(p)
10            else:
11                z.append(p)
12    return qs(x) + qs(z)
```

- these names convey
  - basically nothing

## Good Names

```
1 def quick_sort(array):
2     if len(array) <= 1:
3         return array
4     else:
5         left, right = [], []
6         pivot = array[0]
7         for ele in array:
8             if ele < pivot:
9                 left.append(ele)
10            else:
11                right.append(ele)
12    return quick_sort(left) + quick_sort(right)
```

- these names convey
  - function is quicksort
  - input is an array

# Good Naming

## Bad Names

```
1 def qs(a):
2     if len(a) <= 1:
3         return a
4     else:
5         x, z = [], []
6         y = a[0]
7         for p in a:
8             if p < y:
9                 x.append(p)
10            else:
11                z.append(p)
12    return qs(x) + qs(z)
```

- these names convey
  - basically nothing

## Good Names

```
1 def quick_sort(array):
2     if len(array) <= 1:
3         return array
4     else:
5         left, right = [], []
6         pivot = array[0]
7         for ele in array:
8             if ele < pivot:
9                 left.append(ele)
10            else:
11                right.append(ele)
12    return quick_sort(left) + quick_sort(right)
```

- these names convey
  - function is quicksort
  - input is an array
  - left and right are partitions around pivot = array[0]

# Good Naming

## Bad Names

```
1 def qs(a):
2     if len(a) <= 1:
3         return a
4     else:
5         x, z = [], []
6         y = a[0]
7         for p in a:
8             if p < y:
9                 x.append(p)
10            else:
11                z.append(p)
12    return qs(x) + qs(z)
```

- these names convey
  - basically nothing

## Good Names

```
1 def quick_sort(array):
2     if len(array) <= 1:
3         return array
4     else:
5         left, right = [], []
6         pivot = array[0]
7         for ele in array:
8             if ele < pivot:
9                 left.append(ele)
10            else:
11                right.append(ele)
12    return quick_sort(left) + quick_sort(right)
```

- these names convey
  - function is quicksort
  - input is an array
  - left and right are partitions around pivot = array[0]
  - ele iterates through values of array

# Miscellaneous



# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices



# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices
  - and some practices are debateable



# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices
  - and some practices are debateable
- Good idea to look at resources like



# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices
  - and some practices are debateable
- Good idea to look at resources like
  - The Little Book of Python Anti-Patterns





# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices
  - and some practices are debateable
- Good idea to look at resources like
  - The Little Book of Python Anti-Patterns
  - The C++ Core Guidelines



# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices
  - and some practices are debateable
- Good idea to look at resources like
  - The Little Book of Python Anti-Patterns
  - The C++ Core Guidelines
- And to use a **linter** — a static code analysis tool to flag bugs, style errors, etc, such as



# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices
  - and some practices are debateable
- Good idea to look at resources like
  - The Little Book of Python Anti-Patterns
  - The C++ Core Guidelines
- And to use a **linter** — a static code analysis tool to flag bugs, style errors, etc, such as
  - Python – flake8



# Miscellaneous

- Impractical to create exhaustive list of best practices
  - and some practices are debateable
- Good idea to look at resources like
  - The Little Book of Python Anti-Patterns
  - The C++ Core Guidelines
- And to use a **linter** — a static code analysis tool to flag bugs, style errors, etc, such as
  - Python – flake8
  - C++ – clang-tidy



# Performance



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation





# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation
  - or may require more performant code



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation
  - or may require more performant code
    - e.g. real-time financial analysis



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation
  - or may require more performant code
    - e.g. real-time financial analysis
- Usually comes down to correct choice of algorithm and data structure



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation
  - or may require more performant code
    - e.g. real-time financial analysis
- Usually comes down to correct choice of algorithm and data structure
  - e.g., if checking existence of an element, an array gives  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  but a hash table gives  $\mathcal{O}(1)$



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation
  - or may require more performant code
    - e.g. real-time financial analysis
- Usually comes down to correct choice of algorithm and data structure
  - e.g., if checking existence of an element, an array gives  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  but a hash table gives  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 
    - which might make the difference between  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  overall



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation
  - or may require more performant code
    - e.g. real-time financial analysis
- Usually comes down to correct choice of algorithm and data structure
  - e.g., if checking existence of an element, an array gives  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  but a hash table gives  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 
    - which might make the difference between  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  overall
- While it does depend on use case, it is still usually best to focus on readability over performance



# Performance

- Highly case-by-case
  - specific use case may allow for less performant code
    - e.g. some one-time scientific calculation
  - or may require more performant code
    - e.g. real-time financial analysis
- Usually comes down to correct choice of algorithm and data structure
  - e.g., if checking existence of an element, an array gives  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  but a hash table gives  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 
    - which might make the difference between  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  overall
- While it does depend on use case, it is still usually best to focus on readability over performance
  - it's easier to make slow code fast than to make confusing code understandable



# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
  - Correctness
  - Readability
  - Performance
- 2 Problem Solving
  - Problem: Array Division





Problem: Array Division

# Problem



# Problem

## Problem

Given an array of integers `nums` and a positive integer  $k$ , find whether it is possible to divide `nums` into sets of  $k$  consecutive numbers.



# Where to Start



# Where to Start

- Look at examples



# Where to Start

- Look at examples

① `nums = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6]`,  $k = 4$



# Where to Start

- Look at examples
  - ①  $\text{nums} = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6], k = 4$ 
    - $[1, 2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5, 6]$



# Where to Start

- Look at examples

- ①  $\text{nums} = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6], k = 4$

- $[1, 2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5, 6]$

- ②  $\text{nums} = [3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11], k = 3$



# Where to Start

- Look at examples
  - ① `nums = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6]`,  $k = 4$ 
    - `[1, 2, 3, 4]`, `[3, 4, 5, 6]`
  - ② `nums = [3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11]`,  $k = 3$ 
    - `[1, 2, 3]`, `[2, 3, 4]`, `[3, 4, 5]`, `[9, 10, 11]`





# Where to Start

- Look at examples
  - ①  $\text{nums} = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6]$ ,  $k = 4$ 
    - $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ ,  $[3, 4, 5, 6]$
  - ②  $\text{nums} = [3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11]$ ,  $k = 3$ 
    - $[1, 2, 3]$ ,  $[2, 3, 4]$ ,  $[3, 4, 5]$ ,  $[9, 10, 11]$
- Hopefully notice a pattern – if  $x = \min(A)$



# Where to Start

- Look at examples
  - ① `nums` = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6],  $k = 4$ 
    - [1, 2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5, 6]
  - ② `nums` = [3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11],  $k = 3$ 
    - [1, 2, 3], [2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5], [9, 10, 11]
- Hopefully notice a pattern – if  $x = \min(A)$ 
  - then  $x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  form one subarray



# Where to Start

- Look at examples
  - ① `nums` = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6],  $k = 4$ 
    - [1, 2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5, 6]
  - ② `nums` = [3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11],  $k = 3$ 
    - [1, 2, 3], [2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5], [9, 10, 11]
- Hopefully notice a pattern – if  $x = \min(A)$ 
  - then  $x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  form one subarray
- Naturally lends itself to a recursive solution:



# Where to Start

- Look at examples
  - ① `nums` = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6],  $k = 4$ 
    - [1, 2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5, 6]
  - ② `nums` = [3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11],  $k = 3$ 
    - [1, 2, 3], [2, 3, 4], [3, 4, 5], [9, 10, 11]
- Hopefully notice a pattern – if  $x = \min(A)$ 
  - then  $x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  form one subarray
- Naturally lends itself to a recursive solution:
  - remove  $x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$ , then repeat



# Where to Start

- Look at examples
  - ①  $\text{nums} = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6]$ ,  $k = 4$ 
    - $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ ,  $[3, 4, 5, 6]$
  - ②  $\text{nums} = [3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11]$ ,  $k = 3$ 
    - $[1, 2, 3]$ ,  $[2, 3, 4]$ ,  $[3, 4, 5]$ ,  $[9, 10, 11]$
- Hopefully notice a pattern – if  $x = \min(A)$ 
  - then  $x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  form one subarray
- Naturally lends itself to a recursive solution:
  - remove  $x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$ , then repeat
  - if run out of elements before removing all  $k$ , then no solution



Problem: Array Division

# Writing a Solution



# Writing a Solution

- two observations



# Writing a Solution

- two observations
  - 1 we are removing the  $k$  smallest elements





# Writing a Solution

- two observations
  - 1 we are removing the  $k$  smallest elements
  - 2 if we know some element  $x$ , we know the next element is  $x + 1$



# Writing a Solution

- two observations
  - 1 we are removing the  $k$  smallest elements
  - 2 if we know some element  $x$ , we know the next element is  $x + 1$



# Writing a Solution

- two observations
  - ① we are removing the  $k$  smallest elements
  - ② if we know some element  $x$ , we know the next element is  $x + 1$
- ① suggests the use of a heap



# Writing a Solution

- two observations
  - ① we are removing the  $k$  smallest elements
  - ② if we know some element  $x$ , we know the next element is  $x + 1$
- ① suggests the use of a heap



# Writing a Solution

- two observations
  - ① we are removing the  $k$  smallest elements
  - ② if we know some element  $x$ , we know the next element is  $x + 1$
- ① suggests the use of a heap
- ② suggests the use of a dictionary



# Writing a Solution

- two observations
  - ① we are removing the  $k$  smallest elements
  - ② if we know some element  $x$ , we know the next element is  $x + 1$
- ① suggests the use of a heap
- ② suggests the use of a dictionary
- both solutions are valid



Problem: Array Division

# Heap Solution



Problem: Array Division

# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element





# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements



Problem: Array Division

# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements
- Thus, set up (value, count) pairs



# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements
- Thus, set up (value, count) pairs
- Then, pop heap — say smallest value is  $(x, c)$



# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements
- Thus, set up (value, count) pairs
- Then, pop heap — say smallest value is  $(x, c)$ 
  - effectively removing  $c$  copies of  $x$



# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements
- Thus, set up (value, count) pairs
- Then, pop heap — say smallest value is  $(x, c)$ 
  - effectively removing  $c$  copies of  $x$
  - then remove  $c$  copies of  $x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  if possible



# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements
- Thus, set up (value, count) pairs
- Then, pop heap — say smallest value is  $(x, c)$ 
  - effectively removing  $c$  copies of  $x$
  - then remove  $c$  copies of  $x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  if possible
  - if not possible, return **False**



# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements
- Thus, set up (value, count) pairs
- Then, pop heap — say smallest value is  $(x, c)$ 
  - effectively removing  $c$  copies of  $x$
  - then remove  $c$  copies of  $x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  if possible
  - if not possible, return **False**
- After popping, need to return remaining elements to heap



# Heap Solution

- Heap Pop removes the smallest element
- Need to account for removing the  $k$  smallest **distinct** elements
- Thus, set up (value, count) pairs
- Then, pop heap — say smallest value is  $(x, c)$ 
  - effectively removing  $c$  copies of  $x$
  - then remove  $c$  copies of  $x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1$  if possible
  - if not possible, return **False**
- After popping, need to return remaining elements to heap
- Keep track of remaining elements in array





Problem: Array Division

# Python Implementation



# Python Implementation

```
1 popped = [] # store popped heap values
2 prev, min_count = heappop(heap)
3 for i in range(k - 1):
4     if not heap: # ran out of elements
5         return False
6     else:
7         value, count = heappop(heap)
8         if value != prev + 1: # not consecutive
9             return False
10        else:
11            count -= min_count
12            prev = value
13            if count > 0:
14                popped.append((value, count))
15 for val in popped:
16     heappush(heap, val)
```



Problem: Array Division

# Dictionary Solution



Problem: Array Division

# Dictionary Solution

- Dictionary holds the count for each element



# Dictionary Solution

- Dictionary holds the count for each element
- if  $x$  is min and  $D[x] = \text{count}$



# Dictionary Solution

- Dictionary holds the count for each element
- if  $x$  is min and  $D[x] = \text{count}$ 
  - must have  $D[x + i] \geq \text{count}$  for  $i \in \{x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1\}$



# Dictionary Solution

- Dictionary holds the count for each element
- if  $x$  is min and  $D[x] = \text{count}$ 
  - must have  $D[x + i] \geq \text{count}$  for  $i \in \{x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1\}$
  - else return **False**



# Dictionary Solution

- Dictionary holds the count for each element
- if  $x$  is min and  $D[x] = \text{count}$ 
  - must have  $D[x + i] \geq \text{count}$  for  $i \in \{x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1\}$
  - else return **False**
- Decrease each count for  $i \in \{x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1\}$  by  $\text{count}$





# Dictionary Solution

- Dictionary holds the count for each element
- if  $x$  is min and  $D[x] = \text{count}$ 
  - must have  $D[x + i] \geq \text{count}$  for  $i \in \{x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1\}$
  - else return **False**
- Decrease each count for  $i \in \{x, x + 1, \dots, x + k - 1\}$  by count
- Remove entry if it becomes 0



Problem: Array Division

# Python Implementation



# Python Implementation

```
1 while counts: # dictionary to store counts
2     x = min(counts)
3     min_count = counts[x]
4     del counts[x] # remove smallest
5     for i in range(1, k):
6         if counts[x + i] < min_count:
7             return False
8         else:
9             counts[x + i] -= min_count
10            if counts[x + i] == 0:
11                del counts[x + i]
```

