

# Mathematical Derivation: Softmax + CrossEntropy Gradients

## 1. Function Definitions

### Softmax Function

For input vector  $\mathbf{z} = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_K]$ , the softmax function produces:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{z})_i = \exp(z_i) / \sum_{j=1}^K \exp(z_j)$$

Where:

- $\sigma(\mathbf{z})_i$  = softmax output for class  $i$
- $\mathbf{z}_i$  = raw logit for class  $i$
- The denominator ensures all outputs sum to 1

### Cross-Entropy Loss Function

For true label  $\mathbf{y}$  (one-hot encoded) and predicted probabilities  $\mathbf{p}$ :

$$L = -\sum_i y_i \log(p_i)$$

For single sample with true class  $c$ :

$$L = -\log(p^c)$$

Where  $p^c$  is the predicted probability for the correct class.

## 2. Individual Derivatives

### 2.1 Cross-Entropy Derivative

$\partial L / \partial p_i$  = derivative of loss with respect to softmax output

For the correct class ( $i = c$ ):

$$\partial L / \partial p^c = \partial(-\log(p^c)) / \partial p^c = -1/p^c$$

For incorrect classes ( $i \neq c$ ):

$$\partial L / \partial p_i = \partial(-\log(p^c)) / \partial p_i = 0$$

In vector form:

$$\partial L / \partial \mathbf{p} = [-1/p^c, 0, 0, \dots, 0] \text{ (only non-zero at correct class position)}$$

## 2.2 Softmax Derivative (The Complex Part)

$\partial p_i / \partial z_j$  = derivative of softmax output  $i$  with respect to input  $j$

This is where it gets complex because changing one input affects ALL outputs (they must sum to 1).

### Case 1: $i = j$ (diagonal elements)

$$\partial p_i / \partial z_i = \partial / \partial z_i [\exp(z_i) / \sum_k \exp(z_k)]$$

Using quotient rule:

$$\begin{aligned} &= [\exp(z_i) \times \sum_k \exp(z_k) - \exp(z_i) \times \exp(z_i)] / (\sum_k \exp(z_k))^2 \\ &= \exp(z_i) / \sum_k \exp(z_k) \times [1 - \exp(z_i) / \sum_k \exp(z_k)] \\ &= p_i \times (1 - p_i) \end{aligned}$$

### Case 2: $i \neq j$ (off-diagonal elements)

$$\partial p_i / \partial z_j = \partial / \partial z_j [\exp(z_i) / \sum_k \exp(z_k)]$$

Since numerator doesn't depend on  $z_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\exp(z_i) \times \exp(z_j) / (\sum_k \exp(z_k))^2 \\ &= -p_i \times p_j \end{aligned}$$

### Jacobian Matrix:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} p_1(1-p_1) & -p_1p_2 & -p_1p_3 \\ -p_2p_1 & p_2(1-p_2) & -p_2p_3 \\ -p_3p_1 & -p_3p_2 & p_3(1-p_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

This is exactly what your complex softmax backward implements:

$$\text{jacobian\_matrix} = \text{np.diagflat(single\_output)} - \text{np.dot(single\_output, single\_output.T)}$$

## 3. Chain Rule Application (Separate Approach)

To get  $\partial L / \partial \mathbf{z}$ , we need:

$$\partial L / \partial z_i = \sum_j (\partial L / \partial p_j) \times (\partial p_j / \partial z_i)$$

**For correct class (j = c):**

$$\begin{aligned} \partial L / \partial z^c &= (\partial L / \partial p^c) \times (\partial p^c / \partial z^c) + \sum_{i \neq c} (\partial L / \partial p_i) \times (\partial p_i / \partial z^c) \\ &= (-1/p^c) \times p^c(1-p^c) + \sum_{i \neq c} (0) \times (-p_i p^c) \\ &= -(1-p^c) + 0 \\ &= p^c - 1 \end{aligned}$$

**For incorrect class (j ≠ c):**

$$\begin{aligned} \partial L / \partial z_i &= (\partial L / \partial p^c) \times (\partial p^c / \partial z_i) + \sum_{j \neq c} (\partial L / \partial p_j) \times (\partial p_j / \partial z_i) \\ &= (-1/p^c) \times (-p^c p_i) + 0 \\ &= p_i \end{aligned}$$

## 4. The Beautiful Simplification

**Final result:**

$$\partial L / \partial z_i = \begin{cases} p_i - 1, & \text{if } i = \text{correct class} \\ p_i, & \text{if } i \neq \text{correct class} \end{cases}$$

In vector form:

$$\partial L / \partial z = p - y_{\text{one\_hot}}$$

Where:

- **p** = softmax output [p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>, p<sub>3</sub>]
- **y\_one\_hot** = one-hot true label [0, 1, 0]

## 5. Code Implementation Explanation

```
def backward(self, dvalues, y_true):
    samples = len(dvalues)

    # Convert sparse labels to indices if needed
    if len(y_true.shape) == 2:
        y_true = np.argmax(y_true, axis=1)

    # Start with softmax output: p = [p1, p2, p3]
    self.dinputs = dvalues.copy() # dvalues = softmax output
```

```
# Subtract 1 from correct class: p - y_one_hot
self.dinputs[range(samples), y_true] -= 1
```

```
# Normalize by batch size
self.dinputs = self.dinputs / samples
```

## Step-by-Step Example:

### Given:

- Softmax output:  $p = [0.2, 0.7, 0.1]$
- True class: 1 (middle class)
- One-hot:  $y = [0, 1, 0]$

### Calculation:

1.  $dinputs = [0.2, 0.7, 0.1]$  (copy softmax output)
2.  $dinputs[1] -= 1 \rightarrow [0.2, 0.7-1, 0.1] = [0.2, -0.3, 0.1]$
3. Normalize by samples

### Interpretation:

- $[0.2, -0.3, 0.1]$  means:
  - Class 0: Reduce probability by 0.2
  - Class 1: Increase probability by 0.3 (negative gradient)
  - Class 2: Reduce probability by 0.1

## 6. Why the Jacobian Cancels Out

The key insight is that when you multiply the complex softmax Jacobian with the simple cross-entropy gradient, most terms cancel:

Complex Jacobian  $\times$  Simple Gradient = Simple Result

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_1(1-p_1) & -p_1p_2 & -p_1p_3 \\ -p_2p_1 & p_2(1-p_2) & -p_2p_3 \\ -p_3p_1 & -p_3p_2 & p_3(1-p_3) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} -1/p^c \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p^c - 1 \\ p^j \\ p^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \text{(for } j \neq c) \\ \text{(for } k \neq c) \end{matrix}$$

The beautiful cancellation happens because:

- Cross-entropy only cares about the correct class
- Softmax relationships are perfectly structured
- The mathematics "conspires" to give us the simple result

## 7. Computational Comparison

### **Separate Calculation:**

# For each sample:  
# - Create 3×3 Jacobian matrix (9 operations)  
# - Matrix multiplication (9 more operations)  
# - Loop over all samples  
# Total:  $O(n \times \text{classes}^2)$  operations

### **Combined Calculation:**

# For all samples:  
# - Copy array (1 operation)  
# - Subtract 1 at specific indices (1 operation)  
# - Divide by samples (1 operation)  
# Total:  $O(n)$  operations

## **8. Numerical Stability Benefits**

### **Separate approach:**

- Risk of division by very small probabilities
- Multiple floating-point operations compound errors
- Jacobian matrix calculations can be unstable

### **Combined approach:**

- No division by probabilities
- Fewer operations = less numerical error
- More robust to edge cases