Personal Reflections on Digital Transformation in Media

Mohamed Khalil Brik

December 18, 2025

Introduction

Before taking this course, I thought digital transformation was mostly about technology. I imagined it meant moving content online, using social media, or buying new software. After finishing the final projects and looking back at everything we covered, I realize I was wrong. Digital transformation touches everything inside a media organization, from how people work together to how they make money to what they believe their purpose is. It is not a single event but a constant process of adjustment.

The projects made me see that technology is usually the easy part. You can buy tools. You can set up platforms. The hard part is everything else. People resist change. Money runs out. Ethics get complicated. Audiences lose interest. These are the real problems, and they do not have simple solutions. I found myself thinking about these issues long after I finished the assignments.

In this reflection, I want to talk about five challenges that stood out to me. Some came from the readings and lectures, but most came from working on the projects and thinking honestly about what I observed. Writing this made me confront assumptions I did not even know I had.

Legacy Culture and Resistance to Change

The first challenge is that many media organizations are stuck in old ways of working. This is not because they are stupid or lazy. It is because they were built for a different world. Traditional newsrooms had clear roles. Editors edited. Writers wrote. Designers designed. Everyone knew their place, and things moved slowly but predictably.

Digital work does not fit this model. It requires people to collaborate constantly, to experiment, to respond quickly when something is not working. For someone who spent twenty years doing things one way, this can feel threatening. New tools like analytics dashboards or AI writing assistants can seem like attacks on professional judgment rather than helpful additions.

What I noticed in my project research is that resistance is often quiet. People adopt new systems on paper but keep working the old way in practice. The organization looks transformed on the surface, but nothing really changes underneath. I think successful transformation requires leaders who actively help people learn new skills and who treat mistakes as part of the process instead of failures to punish. Without that kind of support, people protect themselves by pretending to change while actually staying the same.

Monetization and the Value Gap

The second challenge is money. Digital platforms give media organizations access to huge audiences, but reach does not equal revenue. This was one of the most frustrating things I learned in this course.

Companies like Google and Meta take most of the digital advertising money even though they do not create original content. Media organizations do the expensive work of producing journalism and creative content, but they only get a small piece of the pie. This imbalance is sometimes called the value gap, and it puts enormous pressure on media companies to find new ways to survive.

Some try subscriptions. Some try paywalls. Some try sponsored content or donations. Each option has problems. Paywalls shrink your audience. Sponsored content can make

you look like you are selling out. Subscriptions only work if people trust you enough to pay.

What became clear to me is that digital transformation forces media companies to become data businesses. They need to understand user behavior, manage customer relationships, and make decisions based on numbers. Many organizations were never designed to do this, and learning on the fly is painful. Journalists became journalists to tell stories, not to analyze spreadsheets. But survival now requires both.

Data Privacy and Ethics

The third challenge is ethics, especially around data and algorithms. Modern media organizations collect enormous amounts of information about their users. They use this data to personalize content, recommend articles, and keep people engaged. This can improve the experience, but it also creates serious risks.

I became more aware of this throughout the course. Collecting data is not neutral. It creates responsibility. When you know what someone reads, when they read it, and how long they stay on a page, you have power over them. That power can be misused, even unintentionally. It is easy to tell yourself you are just optimizing for engagement when you are actually manipulating people.

Algorithms designed to maximize engagement can end up promoting extreme content or misinformation because that is what gets clicks. Legal compliance with regulations is important, but it is not enough. Organizations need to think about whether what they are doing is right, not just whether it is legal.

The scary thing is that ethical failures are often invisible until it is too late. Once people stop trusting you, it is very hard to earn that trust back. This made me realize that ethics is not separate from strategy. It is part of it. Organizations that cut corners on privacy or push misleading content might win in the short term, but they are building on sand.

The Attention Economy

The fourth challenge hit me on a personal level. Media organizations today are not just competing with each other. They are competing with everything. Social media, streaming services, video games, group chats, notifications. The list is endless.

While working on my project, I thought about my own media consumption. It is scattered and overwhelming. I rarely read anything long. I scroll through headlines. I watch videos at double speed. I am part of the problem, and I think most people my age are the same. We have been trained by the platforms to expect constant novelty and instant gratification.

This competition for attention pushes media organizations toward sensational headlines and short content designed to grab you quickly. It works in the short term, but it slowly damages quality and trust. The pressure to produce constantly leaves little room for depth or careful thinking.

What makes this worse is that clicks and views are easy to measure, but quality is not. Digital transformation encourages organizations to chase numbers because numbers are visible. But the things that matter most, like credibility and thoughtfulness, do not show up in dashboards. I wonder sometimes if we are training ourselves to value the wrong things just because they are countable.

Technological Pressure and Inequality

The fifth challenge is that digital transformation never stops. Unlike older technological changes, there is no finish line. Systems need constant updates. Platforms change their rules. Tools become outdated quickly. This creates ongoing costs that many organizations cannot afford.

During my project work, I saw how this hurts smaller media companies the most. Maintaining servers, analytics tools, security systems, and content platforms is expensive. Large global organizations can absorb these costs easily. Smaller outlets cannot. They fall behind, struggle to compete, and eventually some disappear entirely.

This is one of the darker sides of digital transformation. It promises innovation and access, but it can also reduce diversity. When only the biggest players can afford to keep up, we lose the variety of voices that makes media valuable. Local news especially suffers. The communities that need local journalism most are often the ones that cannot support it financially in a digital world.

Conclusion

This course changed how I think about digital transformation. I used to see it as a technical upgrade. Now I see it as something that reshapes organizations from the inside out. Technology is the trigger, but the real changes happen in culture, ethics, and economics. The human element matters more than I expected.

What stays with me most is that digital transformation is full of tradeoffs. Greater reach often means less control. Personalization improves relevance but threatens privacy. Efficiency goes up, but job security may go down. There are no easy answers, and anyone who claims otherwise is probably selling something.

I also realize that success depends less on the tools and more on how thoughtfully they are used. Organizations that ignore the human and ethical sides of transformation might survive technically, but they risk losing the trust that makes media meaningful in the first place. Technology without wisdom is just noise.

This reflection helped me see that understanding digital transformation is not just useful for a career in media. It is useful for understanding the world we live in now, where everything is connected and changing faster than we can keep up. I am glad I took this course. It gave me a vocabulary for things I had noticed but could not name, and it made me think harder about the media I consume every day.

References

[1] Kaufman, I., & Horton, C. (2020). *Digital Marketing: Integrating Strategy and Tactics with Values.* Routledge.

[2] Newman, N. (2023). *Journalism, Media, and Technology Trends and Predictions 2023.* Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism.

[3] Rogers, D. L. (2016). *The Digital Transformation Playbook: Rethink Your Business for the Digital Age.* Columbia Business School Publishing.

[4] Sivula, A., et al. (2021). Digital transformation challenges in the media industry. *Journal of Media Management and Entrepreneurship.*

[5] Verhoef, P. C., et al. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research,* 122, 889–901.