**1. Pre-existing Expertise, Pedagogy, and Scholarship:**

* **Evidence**: A robust record of scholarship in education and teaching innovations recognized by prestigious awards, including the University of Dayton Alumni Award for Teaching. This background underscores a deep engagement with pedagogical development well before the association with Techno Evolution.
* **Pedagogical Contributions**: The integration of established pedagogical frameworks (e.g., Bloom's taxonomy) into the AI learning platform, drawn from seminal research sources. These contributions, predating the current project, are based on publicly available educational research, underscoring their independence from proprietary development within Techno Evolution.

**2. Lack of Proprietary IP in Current Project:**

* **Evidence**: The project leverages OpenAI's public API, suggesting that the technological underpinning is not unique to Techno Evolution. The backend's design concept, distinguishable from its detailed implementation, indicates that the work is rooted in publicly accessible tools rather than novel IP.

**3. Non-Profit Initiative in a Separate Domain:**

* **Mission Differentiation**: The shift to a non-profit model focused on educational empowerment through technology diverges significantly from Techno Evolution's commercial objectives, situating the new initiative in a domain that emphasizes public good over profit.

**4. Potential Unfairness of the NDA:**

* **Context from NDA**: Given the NDA's terms aimed at protecting proprietary information and fostering a collaborative environment, the founder's subsequent unilateral claims about ownership and capability diverge significantly from the spirit of mutual benefit and shared contributions.
* **Specific Claims and Unfairness**: The founder's assertion of 100% ownership and the ability to solo-manage engineering tasks starkly contrasts with the collaborative input and significant contributions you were led to believe would be valued. This discrepancy suggests an imbalance in the partnership, potentially rendering the NDA unfair.
* **Legal Implications**: This perceived unfairness, especially when one party brings substantial expertise and resources to the venture, may question the NDA's enforceability. The disparity between what was contributed and how the partnership is represented by the founder could provide grounds to challenge the NDA, especially if it is deemed to be based on misrepresentations or to impose unreasonable restrictions on your professional autonomy and pre-existing expertise.