Analyzing Public Discussions for Product Insights

Mining Reddit and Tiki for product issues, sentiment, and trends

From noisy threads \rightarrow actionable product signals.

[Placeholder image: collage of anonymized comment snippets with callouts]

Problem & Value

- Which products break, why, and how often—using public discussions.
- Turn unstructured Reddit and Tiki text into actionable product signals.
- Output insights teams can ship on: issues, trends, severity, examples.

Objectives

- Which issues? How frequent? How trending? What severity?
- Where do issues cluster (by product/subreddit/time)?
- How reliable are methods vs baselines?
- Deliver a repeatable pipeline and clear, prioritized findings.

Why These Platforms?


- Reddit: rich, threaded discussions; public API; strong NLP support.
- Tiki (e-commerce): structured, purchase-verified reviews; VN market signal.
- Complementary: text-rich threads vs short reviews → broader coverage.
- [Placeholder image: two-column pros/cons cards + coverage map]

Data Collection

- Initial: PRAW (Python Reddit API Wrapper) for prototyping.
 - Limitation: 1,000 post cap, rate limits.
- Current (Hybrid):
 - Reddit historical archives (Academic Torrents) to bypass API caps.
 - Tiki review dumps for cross-source validation.
 - **Result:** Broader time windows, more volume.
- [Placeholder image: timeline ribbon PRAW \rightarrow Archives \rightarrow Tiki]
- [Placeholder image: flowchart with rate-limit icon on PRAW; time-filter lock]

How PRAW Traverses Comments

- Reddit returns comment trees with View more comments placeholders.
- We expand lazily, traverse, and serialize
 —no one-click "download".
- Captures full depth where needed; avoids rate-limit explosions.



Alternatives Considered

Pushshift.io (Pushshift API)

- More powerful than PRAW, can filter posts by time
- Requires moderator status
 - \rightarrow not feasible

Personal Archive


- Continuous collection over weeks
- Impractical: hardware/time, can't capture older posts



(Or building one's own archive over a long period of time like the OP mentioned in another comment, that works too – but it does take time. Though they could load it with data from these archives too if they were so inclined.)


Academic Torrents (Arctic Shift)

- Downloadable historical Reddit datasets
- Good for history + scale



Subreddits Chosen

- A diverse mix of tech and lifestyle communities:
- r/macbookpro, r/GamingLaptops
- r/iphone, r/AppleWatch, r/Monitors, r/ headphones, r/homelab, r/photography
- ...and several others covering home, audio, and PC building.
- total: 134121 posts, 1300190 comments, 2025-06-01 -> 2025-07-31.





EDA Highlights

- [Placeholder image: time series of comments/week by subreddit]
- [Placeholder image: length distribution (boxplot or histogram)]
- [Placeholder image: top product mentions (bar) via keyword/NER]
- Takeaways (placeholder): spikes follow launch X; r/homelab comments 2× longer.

Preprocessing Pipeline

Convert 32 JSONL \rightarrow 2 Parquet (Nushell + Polars); keeping as many meaningful columns as possible.

Before

Posts: 106 columns

Comments: 69 columns

After

Posts: 28 columns

Comments: 17 columns

• Cleaning:

- ▶ Removed URLs.
- ► Filtered for English-only content for initial analysis. (only 5 thousands comments)

- Randomized 100 posts/sub (seeded) to reduce virality bias. (placeholder)
- [Placeholder image: before/after text snippet (URLs/emojis removed)]
- [Placeholder image: language donut (kept vs dropped)]
- [Placeholder image: schema alignment diagram JSONL → typed Parquet]

Context Experiment (Per-Comment SA)

- Parent-context vs per-comment classification compared on small labeled set.
- Result: per-comment avoids 512-token truncation and context pollution.
- [Placeholder image: ablation bar chart (F1 with vs without parent context)]

Sentiment Analysis (SA)

- Approach: Pretrained transformers over simpler baselines (VADER, TextBlob).
- Model: lxyuan/distilbert-base-multilingual-cased-sentiments-student
 - Why: Good multilingual support, lightweight, strong zero-shot performance.
- Execution: Rented GPU on vast.ai for large-scale inference.
- **Next Step:** Fine-tune on a domain-specific labeled dataset.
- [Placeholder image: model compare bar (VADER/TextBlob/DistilBERT) on labeled set]
- [Placeholder image: throughput/cost bar CPU vs GPU on vast.ai]
- [Placeholder image: confusion matrix or calibration curve]
- [Placeholder panel: 2–3 qualitative examples with predicted labels]

Topic Modeling (Planned)

- Goal: Discover key themes and issues per subreddit.
- **Method:** Evaluate BERTopic vs. traditional methods (LDA/NMF).
- **Process:** Preprocess with domain stopwords, lemmatization.
- Output: Top topics, representative comments, and trend lines.
- Target: coherence c_v threshold and stable topics across subsamples. (placeholder)
- [Placeholder image: intertopic distance map (BERTopic mock)]
- [Placeholder image: top-words table for 2 sample topics]

CLI Tool (Planned)

- A pipeline for repeatable analysis:
- ingest: Raw data to Parquet.
- clean: Filter and normalize data.
- sentiment: Run batch sentiment analysis.
- topics: Train and apply topic models.
- report: Aggregate results and export.

Risks & Mitigations

- Bias: Sampled randomly across multiple, diverse subreddits.
- **Time Drift:** Included time slices to compare cohorts.
- Reproducibility: Pinned environments, config-driven runs, and stored seeds.
- Ethics: Used public data, followed platform ToS, aggregated results.

Next Steps

- Finalize EDA visualizations.
- Run sentiment analysis at scale.
- Pilot and select a topic modeling approach.
- Build and demo the core CLI workflow.
- Begin labeling for fine-tuning sentiment model.

