Assignment-based Subjective Questions

1. What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

The optimal value of alpha for ridge is 1 and lasso regression is 10

After double the value of of alpha for both models, the changes of RMSE and r-squared has changed slightly different, which are as follow:

| | Before double alpha After double alpha | | |
|-------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Ridge | RMSE: | RMSE: | |
| | Train set: 24640.845515845547 | Train set: 24855.405107769282 | |
| | Test set: 27789.05534365291 | Test set: 27736.71541290967 | |
| | R-squared: | R-squared: | |
| | Train set: 0.8757553693463557 | Train set: 0.8735822346088256 | |
| | Test set: 0.8457228669687125 | Test set: 0.8463034732522918 | |
| | | | |
| Lasso | RMSE: | RMSE: | |
| | Train set: 24503.03524410003 | Train set: 24597.685987879842 | |
| | Test set: 27876.98857653622 | Test set: 27804.16497557916 | |
| | R-squared: | R-squared: | |
| | Train set: 0.8771412232680478 | Train set: 0.8761902280788325 | |
| | Test set: 0.844744960338683 | Test set: 0.8455550523814387 | |

Ridge: RMSE is lower and r-squared is higher for test set after doubling alpha
Lasso: RMSE is lower and r-squared is higher for test set after doubling alpha
The most important predictors after the change stay the same for ridge but slightly different for lasso:

| | Before change | change After change | |
|-------|---|--------------------------|--|
| Ridge | GrLivArea, OverallQual, | GrLivArea, OverallQual, | |
| | GarageArea, | GarageArea, | |
| | Neighborhood_NoRidge, Neighborhood_NoRidge, | | |
| | TotalBsmtSF TotalBsmtSF | | |
| Lasso | GrLivArea, OverallQual, | GrLivArea, OverallQual, | |
| | GarageArea, | GarageArea, TotalBsmtSF, | |
| | Neighborhood_NoRidge, | Neighborhood_NoRidge | |
| | TotalBsmtSF | | |
| | | | |

2. You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

The regression model of choice would be Ridge regression model with alpha value of 1. The reason is that the RMSE value is the lowest and the r-squared is the highest for the test set. Full information is as follow:

| Model | RMSE Train | RMSE Test | r2 Train | r2 Test |
|-------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| ridge | 24640.845516 | 27789.055344 | 0.875755 | 0.845723 |
| lasso | 24503.036471 | 27876.992890 | 0.877141 | 0.844745 |

3. After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictors variables now?

The five most important predictors after rebuilding lasso are:

| Top 5 features | Coef values |
|----------------------|--------------|
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 102057.5284 |
| GarageCars | 78459.63564 |
| 1stFlrSF | 75400.01429 |
| BsmtQual | 69072.05177 |
| Neighborhood_MeadowV | -54683.09122 |

4. How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

To ensure the model is robust and generalizable, there are some approaches:

- Cross validation and hold-out strategy to evaluate model performance on different subsets.
- Apply the regularisation on the regression model
- Different values of alpha for ridge or lasso technique
- Different scoring approach such as negative mean squared errors or others

The implications for the accuracy of the model would depends on the trade-off between bias and variance. High bias and low variance would result in underfitting and vice versa. Therefore these problems can be avoided by using the methods above to achieve a model with low bias and variance.