paxos-algorithm

Khanh Nguyen

January 2022

1 The Paxos Algorithm

In Paxos algorithm, there are two type of machines: *proposer* and *acceptor* where each *proposer* holds a *value* and the goal of Paxos algorithm is to reach a consensus in the set of *acceptor* on a single *value*.

Let assign a partition of natural numbers to each *proposer* (each partition is a disjoint subset of natural numbers).

The algorithm below describes the simple version of Paxos algorithm [1]

1.1 proposer

1.1.1 phase 1: prepare

input: a value the proposer intended to propose

- 1 choose a number from its partition, namely *proposal_number*
- **2** broadcast the prepare message to all *acceptor*

PREPARE_REQUEST {proposal_number}

3 if it receives responses from a majority of acceptor, do phase 2

1.1.2 phase 2: accept

4 receives

PREPARE_RESPONSE {{proposal_number, value}} or Null}

- **5** if all PREPARE_RESPONSE contain *Null*, pick the input *value* the *proposer* intended to propose. Else, pick the *value* corresponding to the highest *proposer_number*.
- ${f 6}$ broadcast the accept message to all acceptor

ACCEPT_REQUEST {proposal_number, value}

1.2 acceptor

an acceptor holds an accepted proposal $\{proposal_number, value\}$ in its stable storage.

1.2.1 on receiving prepare request

input: PREPARE_REQUEST {proposal_number}

1 if the accepted proposal is not set or $proposal_number$ is greater than the accepted proposal, reply with the accepted proposal, namely promise

PREPARE_RESPONSE $\{\{proposal_number, value\}\ or\ Null\}$

1.2.2 on receiving accept request

input: ACCEPT_REQUEST {proposal_number, value}

 ${f 1}$ if the accepted proposal is not set or $proposal_number$ is greater than the accepted proposal, set the accepted proposal with the input, namely accept

2 The Proof of Correctness

If the proposal $\{m, u\}$ is accepted by majority of *acceptor*, the algorithm reaches the consensus on value u.

Theorem 1 If the proposal $\{m, u\}$ is accepted by majority of acceptor, any issued proposal $\{n, v\}$ with m < n has v = u

Since all proposals accepted by majority of *acceptor* must be issued at some point, there cannot be any two proposals accepted by majority of *acceptor* with two different values. A straight forward corollary of theorem 1

Corollary 1 All proposals accepted by majority of acceptor has the same value.

By guaranteeing corollary 1, acceptor can notify the consensus to proposer by replying if they accept the accept request. If proposer receives accept responses from the majority of acceptor, it can confirm the consensus.

2.1 The proof the theorem 1

The theorem 1 can be proved by induction.

Lemma 1 (induction step) Let $\{m, u\}$ be the first proposal accepted by majority of acceptor. Let $\{n, v\}$ be an issued proposal with m < n. Suppose that, for all $k \in [m, n)$, the proposal with k has value u, then v = u

Let M_1 be the set of acceptor that accepted $\{m, u\}$, proposal $\{n, v\}$ is issued by some proposer p hence its prepare request must be replied from some majority set of acceptor, namely M_2 .

Let an acceptor $q \in M_1 \cap M_2$, since m < n, from step 1 of 1.2.1, we know that acceptor q must accept $\{m, u\}$ before receiving prepare request of n.

Furthermore, acceptor q returns to the prepare request with the proposal $\{k, u^*\}$ where it is the latest proposal acceptor q accepts.

We can establish the relation among m, k, and n. By step 1 of 1.2.2, $m \le k < n$, from the induction step assumption, we can conclude that $u^* = u$

From the perspective of $proposal\ p$, it receives responses from M_2 where there is at least one non-Null proposal. The maximum $proposal_number$ from the responses set is greater than or equal to k, one of the responses, namely k^* .

We can further establish the relation between k^* and n. Since, proposer p receives k^* from an acceptor that accepted a proposal with proposal_number k^* , from step 1 of 1.2.1, $k^* < n$

Therefore, $m \le k \le k^* < n$, that implies proposer p picks the value corresponding to the highest proposer_number k^* which is u. Or, v = u

References

[1] Leslie Lamport et al. Paxos made simple. ACM Sigact News, 32(4):18–25, 2001.