

GOALS AND SUCCESS MEASURES FOR AI- ENABLED SYSTEMS

Eunsuk Kang

Required Readings: Hulten, Geoff. "[Building Intelligent Systems: A Guide to Machine Learning Engineering](#)" (2018),
Chapters 2 (Knowing when to use IS) and 4 (Defining the IS's Goals)

Suggested complementary reading: Ajay Agrawal, Joshua Gans, Avi Goldfarb. "[Prediction Machines: The Simple Economics of Artificial Intelligence](#)" 2018

LEARNING GOALS

- Judge when to apply ML for a problem in a system
- Define system goals and map them to goals for ML components
- Understand the key concepts and risks of measurement

TODAY'S CASE STUDY: SPOTIFY PERSONALIZED PLAYLISTS

The screenshot shows the Spotify interface for a user named Christian Löffler, with the session ID chk49. The main header bar includes the user's name and a search bar with the query "barwick".

Made For You

- Daily Wellness**: A mix of music and wellness to guide you through your entire day.
- MUSIC AND NEWS**: Your Daily Drive: A mix of news and music made for you.
- YOUR DAILY Podcasts**: Podcast episodes picked just for you. 247,252 FOLLOWERS

Uniquely yours

- On Repeat**: The songs you can't get enough of right now.
- Repeat Rewind**: Past songs that you couldn't get enough of.
- Your Top Songs 2019**: The songs you loved most this year, all wrapped up.
- Your Top Songs 2018**: The songs you loved most this year, all wrapped up.

Your Daily Mixes

- Your Daily Mix 1**: Piano Interrupted, Marika Takeuchi, Ian William Craig and more
- Daily Mix 2**: The National, Caroline Rose, Alice Glass and more
- Daily Mix 3**: Hammock, Tomorrow We Sail, Anoice and more
- Daily Mix 4**: Christian Löffler, HVOB, Man Parrish and more

At the bottom, the player shows the song "Farr" by Christian Löffler, currently at 0:15, with a total duration of 6:28.

WHEN TO USE MACHINE LEARNING?

WHEN TO USE MACHINE LEARNING?



WHEN NOT TO USE MACHINE LEARNING?

- Clear specifications are available
- Simple heuristics are *good enough*
- Cost of building and maintaining the system outweighs the benefits (see technical debt paper)
- Correctness is of utmost importance
- ML is used only for the hype, to attract funding

Examples?

Speaker notes

Heuristics: Filtering out profanity in languages

Tasks that are done infrequently or once in a while

Accounting systems, inventory tracking, physics simulations, safety railguards, fly-by-wire

CONSIDER NON-ML BASELINES

- Consider simple heuristics -- how far can you get?
- Consider semi-manual approaches -- cost and benefit?
- Consider the system without that feature
- **Discuss Examples**
 - Ranking apps, recommending products
 - Filtering spam or malicious advertisement
 - Creating subtitles for conference videos
 - Summarizing soccer games
 - Controlling a washing machine

WHEN TO USE MACHINE LEARNING

- Big problems: Many inputs, massive scale
- Open-ended problems: No single solution, incremental improvements, continue to grow
- Time-changing problems: Adapting to constant change, learn with users
- Intrinsically hard problems: Unclear rules, heuristics perform poorly

Examples?

see Hulten, Chapter 2

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR ML

- Partial solution is acceptable: Mistakes are acceptable or mitigable
- Data for continuous improvement is available
- Predictions can have an influence on system objectives: Does it actually contribute to organizational objectives?
- Cost effective: Cheaper than other approaches, or benefits clearly outweigh costs

Examples?

see Hulten, Chapter 2

SPOTIFY: USE OF ML?

Big problem? Open ended? Time changing? Hard? Partial solution acceptable? Data continuously available? Influence objectives? Cost effective?



RECIDIVISM: USE OF ML?

Big problem? Open ended? Time changing? Hard? Partial solution acceptable? Data continuously available? Influence objectives? Cost effective?



Photo art by Jay Stanley using images by jurvetson & Trevor Yannayon via Flickr

SYSTEM GOALS

LAYERS OF SUCCESS MEASURES

- Organizational objectives:
Innate/overall goals of the organization
- Leading indicators: Measures correlating with future success, from the business perspective
- User outcomes: How well the system is serving its users, from the user's perspective
- Model properties: Quality of the model used in a system, from the model's perspective

Ideally, these goals should be aligned with each other



ORGANIZATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Innate/overall goals of the organization

- Business
 - Current revenue, profit
 - Future revenue, profit
 - Reduce business risks
- Non-Profits
 - Lives saved, animal welfare increased
 - CO2 reduced, fires averted
 - Social justice improved, well-being elevated, fairness improved
- Often not directly measurable from system output; slow indicators

Accurate models themselves are not the ultimate goal!

AI may only very indirectly influence such organizational objectives; influence hard to quantify; lagging measures

LEADING INDICATORS

Measures correlating with future success, from the business perspective

- Customers sentiment: Do they like the product? (e.g., surveys, ratings)
- Customer engagement: How often do they use the product?
 - Regular use, time spent on site, messages posted
 - Growing user numbers, recommendations

Indirect proxy measures, lagging, bias

Can be misleading (more daily active users => higher profits?)

USER OUTCOMES

How well the system is serving its users, from the user's perspective

- Users receive meaningful recommendations, enjoying content
- Users making better decisions
- Users saving time due to system
- Users achieving their goals

Easier and more granular to measure, but only indirect relation to organization objectives


MODEL PROPERTIES

Quality of the model used in a system, from the model's perspective

- Model accuracy
- Rate and kinds of mistakes
- Successful user interactions
- Inference time
- Training cost

Not directly linked to business goals

SUCCESS MEASURES IN THE SPOTIFY SCENARIO?



Organizational objectives? Leading indicators? User outcomes? Model properties?

EXERCISE: AUTOMATING ADMISSION DECISIONS TO MASTER'S PROGRAM

Discuss in groups, breakout rooms

What are the *goals* behind automating admissions decisions?

Organizational objectives, leading indicators, user outcomes, model properties?

Report back in 10 min



MEASUREMENT

WHAT IS MEASUREMENT?

- *Measurement is the empirical, objective assignment of numbers, according to a rule derived from a model or theory, to attributes of objects or events with the intent of describing them.* – Craner, Bond, “Software Engineering Metrics: What Do They Measure and How Do We Know?”
- *A quantitatively expressed reduction of uncertainty based on one or more observations.* – Hubbard, “How to Measure Anything ...”

EVERYTHING IS MEASURABLE

EVERYTHING IS MEASURABLE

- If X is something we care about, then X, by definition, must be detectable.
 - How could we care about things like “quality,” “risk,” “security,” or “public image” if these things were totally undetectable, directly or indirectly?
 - If we have reason to care about some unknown quantity, it is because we think it corresponds to desirable or undesirable results in some way.

EVERYTHING IS MEASURABLE

- If X is something we care about, then X, by definition, must be detectable.
 - How could we care about things like “quality,” “risk,” “security,” or “public image” if these things were totally undetectable, directly or indirectly?
 - If we have reason to care about some unknown quantity, it is because we think it corresponds to desirable or undesirable results in some way.
- If X is detectable, then it must be detectable in some amount.
 - If you can observe a thing at all, you can observe more of it or less of it

EVERYTHING IS MEASURABLE

- If X is something we care about, then X, by definition, must be detectable.
 - How could we care about things like “quality,” “risk,” “security,” or “public image” if these things were totally undetectable, directly or indirectly?
 - If we have reason to care about some unknown quantity, it is because we think it corresponds to desirable or undesirable results in some way.
- If X is detectable, then it must be detectable in some amount.
 - If you can observe a thing at all, you can observe more of it or less of it
- If we can observe it in some amount, then it must be measurable.

EVERYTHING IS MEASURABLE

- If X is something we care about, then X, by definition, must be detectable.
 - How could we care about things like “quality,” “risk,” “security,” or “public image” if these things were totally undetectable, directly or indirectly?
 - If we have reason to care about some unknown quantity, it is because we think it corresponds to desirable or undesirable results in some way.
- If X is detectable, then it must be detectable in some amount.
 - If you can observe a thing at all, you can observe more of it or less of it
- If we can observe it in some amount, then it must be measurable.

But: Not every measure is precise, not every measure is cost effective

ON TERMINOLOGY

- *Quantification* is turning observations into numbers
- *Metric* and *measure* refer a method or standard format for measuring something (e.g., number of mistakes per hour)
 - Metric and measure synonymous for our purposes (some distinguish metrics as derived from multiple measures, or metrics to be standardized measures)
- *Operationalization* is identifying and implementing a method to measure some factor (e.g., identifying mistakes from telemetry log file)

MEASUREMENT IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

- Which project to fund?
- Need more system testing?
- Need more training?
- Fast enough? Secure enough?
- Code quality sufficient?
- Which features to focus on?
- Developer bonus?
- Time and cost estimation?
Predictions reliable?

MEASUREMENT IN DATA SCIENCE

- Which model is more accurate?
- Does my model generalize or overfit?
- How noisy is my training data?
- Is my model fair?
- Is my model robust?

MEASUREMENT SCALES

- Scale: Type of data being measured; dictates what analysis/arithmetic is meaningful

MEASUREMENT SCALES

- Scale: Type of data being measured; dictates what analysis/arithmetic is meaningful
- Nominal: Categories ($=$, \neq , frequency, mode, ...)
 - e.g., biological species, film genre, nationality

MEASUREMENT SCALES

- Scale: Type of data being measured; dictates what analysis/arithmetic is meaningful
- Nominal: Categories ($=$, \neq , frequency, mode, ...)
 - e.g., biological species, film genre, nationality
- Ordinal: Order, but no meaningful magnitude ($<$, $>$, median, rank correlation, ...)
 - Difference between two values is not meaningful
 - Even if numbers are used, they do not represent magnitude!
 - e.g., weather severity, complexity classes in algorithms

MEASUREMENT SCALES

- Scale: Type of data being measured; dictates what analysis/arithmetic is meaningful
- Nominal: Categories ($=$, \neq , frequency, mode, ...)
 - e.g., biological species, film genre, nationality
- Ordinal: Order, but no meaningful magnitude ($<$, $>$, median, rank correlation, ...)
 - Difference between two values is not meaningful
 - Even if numbers are used, they do not represent magnitude!
 - e.g., weather severity, complexity classes in algorithms
- Interval: Order, magnitude, but no definition of zero ($+$, $-$, mean, variance, ...)
 - 0 is an arbitrary point; does not represent absence of quantity
 - Ratio between values are not meaningful
 - e.g., temperature (C or F)

MEASUREMENT SCALES

- Scale: Type of data being measured; dictates what analysis/arithmetic is meaningful
- Nominal: Categories ($=, \neq$, frequency, mode, ...)
 - e.g., biological species, film genre, nationality
- Ordinal: Order, but no meaningful magnitude ($<, >$, median, rank correlation, ...)
 - Difference between two values is not meaningful
 - Even if numbers are used, they do not represent magnitude!
 - e.g., weather severity, complexity classes in algorithms
- Interval: Order, magnitude, but no definition of zero ($+, -, \text{mean}, \text{variance}, \dots$)
 - 0 is an arbitrary point; does not represent absence of quantity
 - Ratio between values are not meaningful
 - e.g., temperature (C or F)
- Ratio: Order, magnitude, and zero ($*, /, \log, \sqrt{}$, geometric mean)
 - e.g., mass, length, temperature (Kelvin)

MEASUREMENT SCALES

- Scale: Type of data being measured; dictates what analysis/arithmetic is meaningful
- Nominal: Categories ($=, \neq$, frequency, mode, ...)
 - e.g., biological species, film genre, nationality
- Ordinal: Order, but no meaningful magnitude ($<, >$, median, rank correlation, ...)
 - Difference between two values is not meaningful
 - Even if numbers are used, they do not represent magnitude!
 - e.g., weather severity, complexity classes in algorithms
- Interval: Order, magnitude, but no definition of zero ($+, -, \text{mean}, \text{variance}, \dots$)
 - 0 is an arbitrary point; does not represent absence of quantity
 - Ratio between values are not meaningful
 - e.g., temperature (C or F)
- Ratio: Order, magnitude, and zero ($*, /, \log, \sqrt{}$, geometric mean)
 - e.g., mass, length, temperature (Kelvin)
- Understand scales of features and use an appropriate encoding for learning algorithms!
 - e.g., One-hot encoding for nominal features

DECOMPOSITION OF MEASURES

Often higher-level measures are composed from lower level measures

Clear trace from specific low-level measurements to high-level metric



For design strategy, see [Goal-Question-Metric approach](#)

SPECIFYING METRICS

- Always be precise about metrics
 - "measure accuracy" -> "evaluate accuracy with MAPE"
 - "evaluate test quality" -> "measure branch coverage with Jacoco"
 - "measure execution time" -> "average and 90%-quantile response time for REST-API x under normal load"
 - "assess developer skills" -> "measure average lines of code produced per day and number of bugs reported on code produced by that developer"
 - "measure customer happiness" -> "report response rate and average customer rating on survey shown to 2% of all customers (randomly selected)"
- Ideally: An independent party should be able to independently set up infrastructure to measure outcomes

EXERCISE: SPECIFIC METRICS FOR SPOTIFY GOALS?

- Organization objectives?
- Leading indicators?
- User outcomes?
- Model properties?
- What are their scales?



RISKS WITH MEASUREMENTS

RISKS WITH MEASUREMENTS

- Bad statistics: A basic misunderstanding of measurement theory and what is being measured.

RISKS WITH MEASUREMENTS

- Bad statistics: A basic misunderstanding of measurement theory and what is being measured.
- Bad decisions: The incorrect use of measurement data, leading to unintended side effects.

RISKS WITH MEASUREMENTS

- Bad statistics: A basic misunderstanding of measurement theory and what is being measured.
- Bad decisions: The incorrect use of measurement data, leading to unintended side effects.
- Bad incentives: Disregard for the human factors, or how the cultural change of taking measurements will affect people.

MEASUREMENT VALIDITY

MEASUREMENT VALIDITY

- Construct: Are we measuring what we intended to measure?
 - Does the abstract concept match the specific scale/measurement used?
 - e.g., IQ: What is it actually measuring?
 - Other examples: Pain, language proficiency, personality...

MEASUREMENT VALIDITY

- Construct: Are we measuring what we intended to measure?
 - Does the abstract concept match the specific scale/measurement used?
 - e.g., IQ: What is it actually measuring?
 - Other examples: Pain, language proficiency, personality...
- Predictive: The extent to which the measurement can be used to explain some other characteristic of the entity being measured
 - e.g., Higher SAT scores => higher academic excellence?

MEASUREMENT VALIDITY

- Construct: Are we measuring what we intended to measure?
 - Does the abstract concept match the specific scale/measurement used?
 - e.g., IQ: What is it actually measuring?
 - Other examples: Pain, language proficiency, personality...
- Predictive: The extent to which the measurement can be used to explain some other characteristic of the entity being measured
 - e.g., Higher SAT scores => higher academic excellence?
- External validity: Concerns the generalization of the findings to contexts and environments, other than the one studied
 - e.g., Drug effectiveness on test group: Does it hold over the general public?

CORRELATION VS CAUSATION

<https://www.tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations>





CORRELATION VS CAUSATION

- In general, ML learns correlation, not causation
 - (exception: Bayesian networks, certain symbolic AI methods)
 - For more details: See [causal inference](#)
- Be careful about interpretation & intervention based on correlations
 - e.g., positive correlation between exercise and skin cancer
 - Exercise less => reduce chance of skin cancer?
- To establish causality:
 - Develop a theory ("X causes Y") based on domain knowledge & independent data
 - Identify relevant variables
 - Design a controlled experiment & show correlation
 - Demonstrate ability to predict new cases

CONFOUNDING VARIABLES



CONFOUNDING VARIABLES

- To identify spurious correlations between X and Y:
 - Identify potential confounding variables
 - Control for those variables during measurement
 - Randomize, fix, or measure + account for during analysis
 - e.g., control for "smoke", check whether "drink coffee" => "pancreatic cancer"
- Other examples
 - Degree from top-ranked schools => higher salary
 - Age => credit card default rate
 - Exercise => skin cancer
 - and many more...

STREETLIGHT EFFECT

- A type of *observational bias*
- People tend to look for something where it's easiest to do so
 - Use cheap proxy metrics that only poorly correlate with goal
 - e.g., number of daily active users as a measure of projected revenue



RISKS OF METRICS AS INCENTIVES

- Metrics-driven incentives can:
 - Extinguish intrinsic motivation
 - Diminish performance
 - Encourage cheating, shortcuts, and unethical behavior
 - Become addictive
 - Foster short-term thinking
- Often, different stakeholders have different incentives


Make sure data scientists and software engineers share goals and success measures

EXAMPLE: UNIVERSITY RANKINGS



- Originally: Opinion-based polls, but complaints by schools on subjectivity
- Data-driven model: Rank colleges in terms of "educational excellence"
- Input: SAT scores, student-teacher ratios, acceptance rates, retention rates, alumni donations, etc.,

EXAMPLE: UNIVERSITY RANKINGS



- Who are different stakeholders? What are their incentives? Can they be misused or cause unintended side effects?

For more, see Weapons of Math Destruction by Cathy O'Neil

Speaker notes

- Example 1
 - Schools optimize metrics for higher ranking (add new classrooms, nicer facilities)
 - Tuition increases, but is not part of the model!
 - Higher ranked schools become more expensive
 - Advantage to students from wealthy families
- Example 2
 - A university founded in early 2010's
 - Math department ranked by US News as top 10 worldwide
 - Top international faculty paid \$\$ as a visitor; asked to add affiliation
 - Increase in publication citations => skyrocket ranking!

SUCCESSFUL MEASUREMENT PROGRAM

- Set solid measurement objectives and plans
- Make measurement part of the process
- Gain a thorough understanding of measurement
- Focus on cultural issues
- Create a safe environment to collect and report true data
- Cultivate a predisposition to change
- Develop a complementary suite of measures

SUMMARY

- Be deliberate about when to use AI/ML
- Identify and break down system goals, define concrete measures
- Key concepts and challenges of measurement

