Header:

Name : Devajya Khanna
 Submission Date : 3/18/2024

3. Information: Language used is python, file to run is mainSNW.py.

4. Assumption: I have only made a single assumption which is that the message sent to layer three, should not be counted in the counters. I make this assumption since the purpose of the counters seems to be that we are using them to compare loss, corruption and sender-receiver interaction. Adding counters reduces symmetry, making it harder and less useful to analyze the values reported by the counter.

Compilation:

- 1. If on a linux environment navigate to the folder with the files.
- 2. Run command "python3 mainSNW.py" to execute the file for Stop and wait or single bit protocol and "python3 mainGBN.py" for the go back n or sliding window protocol.

Execution:

- 1. Open the file simulator.py
- 2. Modify the variables present, to change the probabilities of loss, corruption, number of packets to send, rate of sending etc.
- 3. Follow the instructions under the compilation section.
- 4. On execution, the information is dumped onto the terminal

Description:

• Overview :

- O Phase 1 of this project concerns 4 major files, and their dependencies. SNW_Sender and SNW_Receiver emulate the sender and receiver sides of Stop and Wait packet transmission that is simulated by the file simulator.py. The execution of this program can be done through running mainSNW.py. Additionally there are files that will be mentioned later as relevant that provide useful utilities for enabling the required functionality of the system
- O Phase 2 of this project also concerns 4 files and their dependencies. GBN_Sender and GBN_Receiver simulate the sending and reception of packets through simulator.py. The execution of this program can be done through running mainGBN.py. Additionally there are files that will be mentioned later as relevant that provide useful utilities for enabling the required functionality of the system

- File Descriptions Phase 1:
 - SNW_Sender.py: This file implements the functionality required for enacting the "sending packets" behavior of the simulation. It initializes the sender with some global variables and has functions to handle sending a message from an upper layer to a lower layer, receiving an ack from a lower layer and handling time-outs.
 - init (): Responsible for initializing global variables for the Sender.
 - State Holds current state, which is either WAIT_LAYER5 or WAIT_ACK. The former state indicates that the sender is waiting for a message from the application layer, and the latter that it is waiting to receive an acknowledgement for a previously sent packet.
 - Seq current sequence number (0 or 1),
 - Estimated_rtt hypothesized round trip time after which the timer should elapse,
 - Entity a demarcator of calling entity for the simulator's purpose (the string "S").
 - lastTransmit Contains the last transmitted message, useful for retransmission.
 - S_output(message): This function encapsulates and sends the data received as a packet to the layer below itself. The function works as follows:
 - Check if the sender is waiting for a message. If it is, the call to output is valid and so create a packet with the data passed through the message parameter. Note, the packet.py file contains useful abstractions for packets. Update counters to keep track of what happens to the packets and then send the packet (using the provided function to_layer_three()) and start a timer to keep track of the corresponding expected ack.
 - If the sender is not in a state to receive data from the application, print a message indicating that it is waiting for an ack and the current message has been ignored/dropped.
 - S_input(received_packet): This function is called when the sender receives an acknowledgement. This is how the function works
 - Check if the sender is waiting for an ack. If it isn't, drop the ack and return.
 - If the sender is waiting for an ack, check that the packet received hasn't been corrupted, using checksum, and that it has the correct sequence number (corresponding to the last sent packet's seq number). If either of these things are true, update the necessary counters, and return
 - Otherwise, remove the timer, since the ack has been received, change the state of the sender, and invert the sequence number of the packet to send.
 - S_handle_timer(): This function handles the elapsing of timers set for receiving acks to sent packets. Its workings are pretty simple. It checks if the sender is waiting for an ack. If it is, and the function has been triggered then the timer has elapsed. Then, it uses the utilities in event_list.py and other files to retransmit the last sent packet, update counters, and restart the timer.

- SNW_Receiver.py :
 - __init__() : Responsible for initializing useful global variables for the receiver instance
 - Seqnum The sequence number the receiver is expecting for the next packet.
 - Entity A string demarcating the calling entity for the simulator ("R")
 - R_input(received_packet): This function handles the reception of a packet of data from the sender. The following steps detail its workings
 - If the packet has an unexpected sequence number resend the ack for the previous packet (1-seqnum) and update the relevant counters, or if the packet is corrupted (as determined by checksum) simply update counters and return.
 - Otherwise, pass the payload data to layer5 using the provided function (to_layer_five), send an acknowledgement to the sender, update the sequence number to anticipate the next packet and update the counters.
 Then return
- The other two files involved in Phase 1 are mainSNW.py and simulation.py which agave not been modified
- File Description Phase 2
 - GBN_Sender.py: This file implements the functionality required for enacting the "sending packets" behavior of the simulation when using the GBN protocol. It initializes the sender with some global variables and has functions to handle sending a message from an upper layer to a lower layer, receiving an ack from a lower layer and handling time-outs.
 - init (): Responsible for initializing global variables for the Sender.
 - Seq the sequence number for the next packet to be sent.
 - Estimated_rtt hypothesized round trip time after which the timer should elapse.
 - Entity a demarcator of calling entity for the simulator's purpose (the string "S").
 - C_b the circular buffer used to implement the packet buffer for the simulation.
 - Window_size The number of un-ack'd messages allowed to exist in the buffer at any one given time.
 - Send_base the base pointer or position of oldest unacknowledged message.
 - S_output(message): This function encapsulates and sends the data received as a packet to the layer below itself. The function works as follows:
 - Check if the buffer is full. If it is, then simply drop the received message. Otherwise, check if the packet attempting to be sent, is within the permissible range of base + window size. Note, the packet.py file contains useful abstractions for packets. If it is, allow the packet to be sent and update the sequence num variable accordingly. Update counters to keep track of what happens to the packets and then send the packet

(using the provided function to_layer_three()) and start a timer to keep track of the corresponding expected ack if it is the base packet. Otherwise no timer is needed, as the oldest unacknowledged packet already has a timer on it.

- If the sequence number is not within range, drop the message.
- S_input(received_packet): This function is called when the sender receives an acknowledgement. This is how the function works
 - Check if the packet is corrupted and simply return if it is. Otherwise,
 - If the acknowledgement number of the received_packet is greater than or equal to the base pointer, delete all packets from before that acknowledgement number from the buffer. This is because the buffer would only send an ACK with a higher number if all previous packets had been received. Also update the base pointer to point to the next packet that hasn't been ACK'd.
 - Note if the ack received was from the base pointer, then remove it's timer. Otherwise simply restart the timer. This is so we can keep a timer on the oldest un-ACK'd message sent.
- S_handle_timer(): This function handles the elapsing of timers set for receiving acks to sent packets. Its workings are pretty simple. When called, it starters a timer, to ensure it can be called again if needed, and resends all of the packets in the buffer(since they are all un-ack'd)

GBN_Receiver.py:

- __init__(): Responsible for initializing useful global variables for the receiver instance
 - Seqnum The sequence number the receiver is expecting for the next packet.
 - Entity A string demarcating the calling entity for the simulator ("R")
 - seqOfLastCorrectlyReceived This variable keeps track of the sequence number of the last received message. This is so that if a packet fails to pass through the if statements, an ack with an older acknowledgement can be sent.
- R_input(received_packet): This function handles the reception of a packet of data from the sender. The following steps detail its workings
 - If the packet has an unexpected sequence number resend the ack for the
 previous packet (seOfLastCorrectlyReceived) and update the relevant
 counters, or if the packet is corrupted (as determined by checksum)
 simply update counters and return.
 - Otherwise, pass the payload data to layer5 using the provided function (to_layer_five), send an acknowledgement to the sender, update the sequence number to anticipate the next packet and update the counters.
 Then return

• Evaluation Phase - 1:

• Test Case 1 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0, corruptprob = 0, Lambda = 1000 :

```
aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: ccccccccccccccc
            ddddddddddddddddd
data received:
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received: ggggggggggggggggggggg
data received:
             hhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
data received:
            kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received: 1111111111111111111
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received:
data received: qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
data received: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received:
             SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS
data received: ttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received:
            vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.wwwwwwwwww
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: ууууууууууууууууу
data received:
             ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
simulation complete
     ====STATISTICS====
 Total Number of Messages Sent
 Total Number of Retransmissions
                                          0
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
 Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
                                          0
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                       -> 0
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
Final Simulation Time
                                       -> 30006.030682399498
        =STATISTICS=
```

■ Justification: This outcome is relatively simple to explain. Since there is no loss or corruption, no packets are required to be retransmitted so 60 total messages are sent, 30 "messages" and 30 acks. The simulation time can be taken as a baseline for future cases.

• Test Case 2 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0, corruptprob = 0, Lambda = 100 :

```
aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
            data received:
data received:
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received:
            eeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received:
            data received:
            ggggggggggggggggg
data received:
            hhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received:
            data received:
            data received:
            11111111111111111111111
data received:
            nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received:
            0000000000000000000
data received: qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
data received:
            rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received:
            55555555555555555
data received: ttttttttttttttttt
data received:
            data received:
            data received: www.wwwwwwwwwwwww
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: ууууууууууууууууу
data received:
            ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
simulation complete
   ----STATISTICS-----
Total Number of Messages Sent
                                        60
Total Number of Retransmissions
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
Total Number of Dropped Packets
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
Total Number of Corrupted Packets
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                        0
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
Final Simulation Time
                                         3008.057050966907
        =STATISTICS=
```

■ Justification: Everything here remains the same except for lambda which is decreased by a factor of 10. This is reflected in the final simulation time being decreased by a factor of 10 which makes sense. The time between transmitting messages has been reduced and so the total time has been reduced by the same factor.

• Test Case 3 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.2, corruptprob = 0, Lambda = 1000:

```
data received:
            aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
            data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received:
            data received: gggggggggggggggggggggg
data received: hhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh
data received: 1111111111111111111111
data received: ooooooooooooooooo
data received:
data received: sssssssssssssssssss
data received: vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.wwwwwwwww
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
           data received:
data received:
            ccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
        =STATISTICS==
 Total Number of Messages Sent
                                     -> 83
 Total Number of Retransmissions
                                        23
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
                                     ->
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
 Total Number of Lost Packets
                                        15
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
                                     ->
                                        8
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                        0
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                        ø
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
Final Simulation Time
                                        30014.364788564646
        =STATISTICS:
```

■ Justification: This is the first case where we start to see loss of packets. The first thing to notice is that the number of packets lost is 15 which is close to 12, the expected number (20% of 60). Since there is now retransmission of data, we also start to see data being dropped for being received out of sequence, late acks etc. Also notice that 83-23 = 60 which is the expected number of packets without retransmission.

• Test Case 4 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0, corruptprob = 0.3, Lambda = 1000 :

```
data received:
            aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
            data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received:
            data received: ggggggggggggggggggggggg
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received: 11111111111111111111
data received: ooooooooooooooooo
data received: sssssssssssssssssss
data received: tttttttttttttttttt
data received:
            uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received:
            data received: www.wwwwwwwwwwwww
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
            ccccccccccccccc
data received: dddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
----STATISTICS-----
Total Number of Messages Sent
                                    -> 87
Total Number of Retransmissions
                                    -> 27
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets -> 18
Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs -> 9
Total Number of Lost Packets
                                    -> 0
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
                                    -> 0
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
Total Number of Dropped Packets
                                       27
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
                                    -> 18
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                    -> 18
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                    -> 9
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                       9
                                       30011.872619951377
Final Simulation Time
       ==STATISTICS=
```

■ Justification: We now have a similar situation as test case 3, with 0 loss probability but a 0.3 corruption probability. We see that every corrupted packet is counted as dropped, as per the announcement. Additionally, for each corrupted packet we have a corrupted ack, both of which are dropped and counted as such. Also, 87-27=60 which is expected.

• Test Case 5 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.2, corruptprob = 0.3, Lambda = 1000 :

```
data received:
             aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: gggggggggggggggggggggg
data received: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: ooooooooooooooooo
data received: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received: ssssssssssssssssss
data received:
            ttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received: vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: уууууууууууууууууу
data received: zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
   ----STATISTICS----
                                      -> 126
 Total Number of Messages Sent
 Total Number of Retransmissions
                                      -> 66
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets ->
                                         44
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
                                         22
 Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
                                      -> 10
 Total Number of Lost ACKs
Total Number of Dropped Packets
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
                                      -> 49
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
                                      -> 34
 Total Number of Dropped ACKs
Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                      -> 15
                                         27
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                        12
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                      -> 15
 Final Simulation Time
                                         30068.82577842121
      ====STATISTICS=
```

■ Justification: The first thing to notice here is that the total number of packets sent has shot up drastically. This can be explained by the introduction of both corruption and loss probabilities (126-66=60, which still checks out). Notice that the number of corrupted data packets, and the number of lost data packets remains close to the required probabilities. The total number of retransmissions is the addition of corrupted plus lost, which are higher numbers since they account for lost/corrupted acks as well. The time seems to remain roughly the same, but I expect this will change as the number of packets to send scales upward.

• Test Case 6 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.8, corruptprob = 0.8, Lambda = 1000:

```
waiting for ack, new message dropped: dddddddddddddddddddddd
waiting for ack, new message dropped: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
waiting for ack, new message dropped: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
waiting for ack, new message dropped: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: ooooooooooooooooo
waiting for ack, new message dropped: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
waiting for ack, new message dropped: sssssssssssssssssssssss
data received: qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
waiting for ack, new message dropped: ttttttttttttttttt
waiting for ack, new message dropped: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received: vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
waiting for ack, new message dropped: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
waiting for ack, new message dropped: yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy
waiting for ack, new message dropped: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
waiting for ack, new message dropped: ccccccccccccccccc
waiting for ack, new message dropped: ddddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
       =STATISTICS=
Total Number of Messages Sent
                                 -> 1196
Total Number of Retransmissions
                                 -> 1186
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets -> 1029
Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs -> 157
otal Number of Lost Packets -> 968
Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
                                -> 839
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
                                 -> 129
-> 243
Total Number of Lost ACKs
Total Number of Dropped Packets
Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
                                -> 215
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
                                 -> 28
                                 -> 61
-> 33
Total Number of Corrupted Packets
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                 -> 28
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
Final Simulation Time
                                 -> 34615.4308402123
       =STATISTICS
```

■ Justification: We now start to see some interesting things. For one, we seem to be dropping packets, out of order as can be seen in the output. This can be explained by the high loss/corruption probabilities. It is likely that the ack for the initially sent packet containing 'a' was lost en route, and so a retransmit started. In the meantime, the sender received b but since the sender is not in the appropriate state, it was forced to drop the packet. Similar scenarios occur throughout the simulation. This also contributes to the total number of messages sent, and the high retransmission rate. Some quick math shows that. One more thing to note here is that 1196-1186 = 10, which tracks since only 5 packets(and so 5 acks) were received, and the rest were dropped.

• Test Case 7 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.8, corruptprob = 0.8, Lambda = 100000:

```
data received:
             aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
             bbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbb
data received:
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received:
             gggggggggggggggg
data received: hhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh
data received: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
data received: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received: qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
data received:
             rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received: ssssssssssssssssssss
data received: tttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received:
             data received: www.www.wwwwwwwww
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: ууууууууууууууууу
data received:
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received:
             ddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
   =====STATISTICS====
                                       -> 5170
 Total Number of Messages Sent
 Total Number of Retransmissions
                                       -> 5110
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets -> 4381
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
                                       -> 729
 Total Number of Lost Packets
                                       -> 4122
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
                                       -> 3531
                                       -> 591
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
otal Number of Dropped Packets
Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
                                       -> 988
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
                                          138
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                       -> 259
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                       -> 121
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                           138
Final Simulation Time
                                           3006699.4074638668
        ==STATISTICS=
```

■ Justification: This test overcomes the packet loss from the previous case, at the cost of larger run time. The primary issue with the last case was that the time between getting packets from the application was low, with large loss rates, causing packets to be lost. By increasing lambda, we ensure that each packet has time to receive an ack, and that the sender can be reset to its "WAIT_LAYER5" state, so all packets go through to the receiver, instead of being dropped at the sender. This however, does result in a larger runtime by a factor 100, which is what the lambda is increased by.

• Evaluation Phase - 2:

- Note: The output wasn't fitting on my screen so I catted it to a separate file and am reporting it from there using python3 mainGBN.py >> temp.txt
- Test Case 1 nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0, corruptprob = 0, Lambda = 1000 :

```
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
             bbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbb
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received:
             gggggggggggggggg
data received: hhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received: 1111111111111111111
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: 0000000000000000000
data received:
             ррррррррррррррррррр
data received: qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
data received: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received: sssssssssssssssssss
data received:
             ttttttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received: vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.www.www
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received:
             уууууууууууууууууу
data received: zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
             cccccccccccccc
data received: dddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
      ====STATISTICS=
Total Number of Messages Sent
Total Number of Retransmissions
                                           0
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
Total Number of Dropped Packets
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
Total Number of Corrupted Packets
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                            0
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
Final Simulation Time
                                            30010.544137707333
      ====STATISTICS==
```

■ Justification: Again, similar to the SNW example, this outcome is relatively simple to explain. Since there is no loss or corruption, no packets are required to be retransmitted so 60 total messages are sent, 30 "messages" and 30 acks. The simulation time can be taken as a baseline for future cases.

• Test Case 2 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0, corruptprob = 0, Lambda = 100 :

```
data received:
            aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: ccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received:
            eeeeeeeeeeeee
data received: ggggggggggggggggggggg
data received: hhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh
            data received:
data received:
            data received: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received: 1111111111111111111
nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received:
data received:
data received: qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
data received: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received: ssssssssssssssssssss
data received:
            ttttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received: vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.www.wwwww
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received:
            уууууууууууууууууу
data received: zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
simulation complete
     ---STATISTICS--
Total Number of Messages Sent
                                      -> 60
 Total Number of Retransmissions
                                         0
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
                                         0
Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
                                        0
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                         0
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                        0
Final Simulation Time
                                         3013.936103022875
   ----STATISTICS-----
```

■ Justification: Again, similar to SNW, everything here remains the same except for lambda which is decreased by a factor of 10. This is reflected in the final simulation time being decreased by a factor of 10 which makes sense. The time between transmitting messages has been reduced and so the total time has been reduced by the same factor.

• Test Case 3 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.2, corruptprob = 0, Lambda = 1000 :

```
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
             cccccccccccccc
data received: dddddddddddddddddddd
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received: ggggggggggggggggggggg
data received:
             hhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
data received: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received:
             1111111111111111111111
data received:
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: ooooooooooooooooo
data received:
             qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
data received: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received: sssssssssssssssssss
data received: ttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received:
             vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.www.www
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy
data received: ccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
 ======STATISTICS====
 Total Number of Messages Sent
 Total Number of Retransmissions
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
 Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
                                           4
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                          0
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                           0
                                           30007.97533104624
 Final Simulation Time
   =====STATISTICS=====
```

■ Justification: This is the first case where we start to see loss of packets. The first thing to notice is that the number of packets lost is 11 which is very close to 12, the expected number (20% of 60). Since there is now retransmission of data, we also start to see data being dropped for being received out of sequence, late acks etc. Also notice that 75-15 = 60 which is the expected number of packets without retransmission.

• Test Case 4 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0, corruptprob = 0.3, Lambda = 1000:

```
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
data received: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received: llllllllllllllllllllll
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: oooooooooooooooo
data received: sssssssssssssssssss
data received: ttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received:
             vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.www.www.www
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
   ======STATISTICS=====
                                          -> 101
-> 41
 Total Number of Messages Sent
 Total Number of Retransmissions
                                            30
11
   Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
   Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
 Total Number of Lost Packets
   Total Number of Lost Data Packets
   Total Number of Lost ACKs
                                            41
30
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
   Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets

Total Number of C
   Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                             19
   Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                             11
                                             30216.976318131176
 Final Simulation Time
----STATISTICS-----
```

■ Justification: We now have a similar situation as test case 3, with 0 loss probability but a 0.3 corruption probability. We see that every corrupted packet is counted as dropped, as per the announcement for the previous assignment. Additionally, for each corrupted packet we have a corrupted ack, both of which are dropped and counted as such. Also, 101-41=60 which is expected (There is a larger number of packets and acks going back and forth, especially due to retransmission without buffering implemented so the behavior is expected as compared to SNW).

• Test Case 5 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.2, corruptprob = 0.3, Lambda = 1000:

```
data received:
            aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received:
            ccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
data received: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: oooooooooooooooo
data received:
            data received: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received: ssssssssssssssssssss
data received: ttttttttttttttttt
data received:
            uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received:
            vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.www.www.www
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received:
            dddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
   ----STATISTICS-----
                                     -> 131
 Total Number of Messages Sent
 Total Number of Retransmissions
  Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
                                       51
20
                                        51
  Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
 Total Number of Lost Packets
  Total Number of Lost Data Packets
                                        15
  Total Number of Lost ACKs
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
                                        46
  Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
                                     ->
                                        36
  Total Number of Dropped ACKs
                                        10
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                        26
  Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
  Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                     ->
                                        10
 Final Simulation Time
                                        30132.398394927954
    =====STATISTICS======
```

■ Justification: This is where we see our first real deviation from behavior from SNW. The first thing to note is 131-71 = 60 which is the expected number of packets+acks to be sent. We also notice that the number of corrupted data packets and lost data packets (15 and 16 respectively) are reasonably close to their boundaries of 12 and 15. Beyond that the individual trackers all count as expected, with corrupted acks being double counted as corrupted and lost.

• Test Case 6 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.8, corruptprob = 0.8, Lambda = 1000:

```
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: cccccccccccccccc
window full, new message is dropped: ddddddddddddddddddddd
window full, new message is dropped: eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
window full, new message is dropped: ggggggggggggggggggggg
window full, new message is dropped: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
window full, new message is dropped: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
window full, new message is dropped: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
window full, new message is dropped: llllllllllllllllllllll
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: 0000000000000000000
window full, new message is dropped: qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
window full, new message is dropped: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
window full, new message is dropped: sssssssssssssssssss
window full, new message is dropped: ttttttttttttttttt
window full, new message is dropped: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
window full, new message is dropped: vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
window full, new message is dropped: www.www.wwwwwwwwww
window full, new message is dropped: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
window full, new message is dropped: yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy
window full, new message is dropped: cccccccccccccccc
window full, new message is dropped: dddddddddddddddddddd
simulation complete
-----STATISTICS-----
  otal Number of Messages Sent -> 3733
otal Number of Retransmissions -> 3715
Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets -> 3576
Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs -> 139
otal Number of Lost Packets -> 2955
Total Number of Lost Data Packets -> 2833
 Total Number of Messages Sent
 Total Number of Retransmissions
   tal Number of Lost Packets
Total Number of Lost Data Packets
 Total Number of Lost Packets
                                            -> 122
-> 787
-> 764
   Total Number of Lost ACKs
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
   Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
 Total Number of Orrupted Packets
   Total Number of Dropped ACKs
                                            -> 23
                                            -> 627
   Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
   Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                                23
                                            -> 40438.179139338405
Final Simulation Time
----STATISTICS-----
```

■ Justification: We now start to see some interesting things. For one, we seem to be dropping packets, meaning that the loss probabilities are so high, the buffer cannot free itself up in time, leading to lost packets from the application layer. We also see a massive increase in the total number of messages transmitted. This is probably to do with the number of retransmits for acks, since there is significant corruption and loss. It's likely that after the first batch populated the buffer,(namely a, b, c since window size is 3), multiple retransmits occurred until finally space was made for m, n and o. One more thing to note here is that 3733-3715 = 18, which tracks since only 9 packets(and so 9 acks) were received, and the rest were dropped.

• Test Case 7 - nsimmax = 30, lossprob = 0.8, corruptprob = 0.8, Lambda = 100000:

```
data received:
              aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
data received: cccccccccccccccc
data received: ddddddddddddddddddd
data received: iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
data received: jjjjjjjjjjjjjjjj
data received: kkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk
data received: lllllllllllllllllllll
data received: mmmmmmmmm
data received: nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
data received: rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
data received: sssssssssssssssssss
data received:
              tttttttttttttttttttt
data received: uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu
data received: vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
data received: www.www.www.www
data received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
data received: aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
simulation complete
   ----STATISTICS--
 Total Number of Messages Sent
                                          -> 16664
                                          -> 16664

-> 16604

-> 15976

-> 628

-> 13367

-> 12834

-> 533

-> 3237

-> 3142

-> 95
 Total Number of Retransmissions
   Total Number of Retransmitted Data Packets
   Total Number of Retransmitted ACKs
 Total Number of Lost Packets
   tal Number of Lost ruekt
Total Number of Lost Data Packets
   Total Number of Lost ACKs
 Total Number of Dropped Packets
  Total Number of Dropped Packets
Total Number of Dropped Data Packets
   Total Number of Dropped ACKs
 Total Number of Corrupted Packets
                                              2609
   Total Number of Corrupted Data Packets
                                          -> 2514
   Total Number of Corrupted ACKs
                                              95
 Final Simulation Time
                                              3004240.7569898223
 ----STATISTICS-----
```

■ Justification: This test overcomes the packet loss from the previous case, at the cost of significantly larger run time and also number of packets sent. The primary issue with the last case was that the time between getting packets from the application was low, with large loss rates, causing packets to be lost. By increasing lambda, we ensure that each packet has time to receive an ack and so the buffer can free its slots before receiving a message it is forced to drop because its window is full. We can see that all 30 packets(and all 30 acks) are received via 16664 - 16604 = 60.