#### Code:

# import re

```
def tokenize_cpp_code(code):
# Simple lexer to tokenize C++ code
token specification = [
('INLINE', r'inline'), # Inline keyword
('NUMBER', r'\d+'), # Integer numbers
('COMMA', r','), # Comma
('SEMICOLON', r';'), # Semicolon
('SKIP', r'[ \t\n]+'), # Skip over spaces, tabs, and newlines
('MISMATCH', r'.'), # Any other character
tok_regex = '|'.join('(?P<%s>%s)' % pair for pair in token_specification)
get_token = re.compile(tok_regex).match
line num = 1
line start = 0
mo = get_token(code)
while mo is not None:
kind = mo.lastgroup
value = mo.group(kind)
if kind == 'SKIP':
pass
elif kind == 'MISMATCH':
raise RuntimeError(f'{value!r} unexpected on line {line_num}')
else:
yield kind, value
mo = get_token(code, mo.end())
vield 'EOF', 'EOF'
def parse inline functions(tokens):
# Parse tokens to identify inline functions
inline functions = {}
token_iter = iter(tokens)
for kind, value in token iter:
```

```
if kind == 'INLINE':
# Parse the inline function declaration
ret type = next(token iter)[1]
func_name = <mark>next(token_iter)[</mark>1]
params = []
next(token iter) # Skip '('
while True:
param_type = <mark>next(</mark>token_iter)
if param_type[0] == 'PAREN' and param_type[1] == ')':
break
param name = next(token iter)[1]
params.append((param_type[1], param_name))
if next(token_iter)[0] == 'PAREN':
break
bodv = \Gamma
brace_count = 0
while True:
token = next(token iter)
body.append(token)
if token[0] == 'BRACE' and token[1] == '{':
brace count += 1
elif token[0] == 'BRACE' and token[1] == '}':
brace count -= 1
if brace count == 0:
break
inline_functions[func_name] = (params, body)
return inline functions
def expand_inline_functions(code, inline_functions):
# Replace inline function calls with their bodies
tokens = list(tokenize cpp code(code))
expanded_code = []
token iter = <mark>iter(</mark>tokens)
for kind, value in token iter:
if kind == '<mark>IDENTIFIER</mark>' and value in inline_functi<u>ons:</u>
func name = value
params, body = inline_functions[func_name]
next(token_iter) # Skip '('
args = []
```

```
while True:
arg = next(token iter)
if arg[0] == 'PAREN' and arg[1] == ')':
break
args.append(arg[1])
if next(token iter)[0] == 'PAREN':
break
# Replace parameters with arguments in the body
body str = ''.join(v for k, v in body)
for (param_type, param_name), arg in zip(params, args):
body_str = body_str.replace(param_name, arg)
expanded code.append(body str)
else:
expanded_code.<mark>append(</mark>value)
return ' '.join(expanded code)
def main():
input file = 'input.cpp'
output_file = 'output.cpp'
with open(input_file, 'r') as f:
code = f.read()
tokens = list(tokenize_cpp_code(code))
inline_functions = parse_inline_functions(tokens)
expanded_code = expand_inline_functions(code, inline_functions)
with open(output file, 'w') as f:
f.write(expanded code)
   name == ' main
main()
```

- 1. Tokenization (tokenize\_cpp\_code)
  - Strengths:

- It efficiently tokenizes typical C++ code, identifying keywords, identifiers, operators, braces, and so forth.
- The MISMATCH token helps catch unexpected characters, providing error handling for unexpected inputs.

## • Suggestions for Improvement:

- **Line Number Tracking**: The line\_num and line\_start variables are defined but not used in error messages. Including line numbers in error messages could help pinpoint issues in the code.
- Handling of Complex Tokens: For example, this code doesn't account for multicharacter operators (like +=, ==) or comments (//, /\* ... \*/), which are common in C++ code. If needed, support for these could be added to the token\_specification.

## 2. Parsing Inline Functions (parse\_inline\_functions)

### • Strengths:

- The code effectively parses an inline function, capturing return types, function names, parameters, and the function body.
- It correctly handles basic inline functions with a single parameter list and a straightforward body.

#### • Suggestions for Improvement:

- **Parameter Handling**: Currently, the code assumes each parameter consists of a single token for type and name (e.g., int a). However, C++ functions can have complex parameter types, such as pointers or references (e.g., const int &a). Extending the code to handle such cases would increase robustness.
- **Multiple Statement Support**: The body parsing assumes simple function bodies. For more complex inline functions (e.g., multiple statements, nested braces), additional logic would be required to handle and output each statement correctly.

# 3. Expanding Inline Functions (expand\_inline\_functions)

### Strengths:

- The code successfully expands inline function calls by replacing parameters with provided arguments.
- It accurately replaces function calls with inlined bodies, generating optimized code without function call overhead.

#### Suggestions for Improvement:

- **Argument Substitution**: The current substitution replaces parameter names without word boundaries, meaning partial matches could occur. Using regular expressions with word boundaries (e.g., \bparam\_name\b) would ensure only complete names are replaced, preventing unintended replacements.
- Handling of Nested Calls: Currently, the function does not handle cases where an
  inline function calls another inline function. Expanding nested calls requires
  additional logic to detect these cases and recursively inline them.

• **Preserve Formatting**: The output formatting (''.join(...)) removes whitespace, making the resulting code hard to read. Adjusting this to preserve the original formatting or adding proper indentation would improve readability.

# 4. Main Function and File Handling (main)

- Strengths:
  - The code structure is well-organized, with separate functions for each stage (tokenization, parsing, expansion).
  - It reads from an input file and writes the modified code to an output file, making it easy to work with different files.