

Solution 1:

We know that σ^2 is known and μ is unknown. We know that the sample are drawn from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We also know that $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$.

1. The posterior distribution of μ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mu|x) &\propto (\prod p(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2) * p(\mu)) \\ &\propto \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \right) \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \right\} \right) \\ &\propto \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right) \mu^2 + 2\mu \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 \sum x_i + \mu_0 \sigma^2}{2\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2} \right) \right\} \right) \\ &\propto \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right) \left[\mu^2 - 2\mu \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 \sum x_i + \mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) \right] \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2. We know that the posterior distribution for gaussian is $p(\mu|x)$. And from above calculation we know that

$$p(\mu|x) \propto \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right) \left[\mu^2 - 2\mu \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 \sum x_i + \mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) \right] \right\} \right)$$

$$p(\mu|x) \propto \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right) \left[\mu - \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 \sum x_i + \mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) \right]^2 \right\} \right)$$

$$p(\mu|x) \sim N \left(\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 \sum x_i + \mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right), \left(\frac{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

$$p(\mu|x) \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$$

3.

From above question we know,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2 &= \left(\frac{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right)^{-1} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} &= \left(\frac{n\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right) \\ \mu_n &= \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 \sum x_i + \mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) \\ \sum x_i &= n\bar{x} \\ \mu_n &= \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n\bar{x} + \mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) \\ \mu_n &= \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n\bar{x}}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} + \frac{\mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Or we can write the same as

$$\mu_n = \left(\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) * \bar{x} + \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) * \mu_0 \right)$$

4: from the above equation we can say that the weight average of prior mean $\mu_0 = \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right)$ and the weighted average of sample mean $\bar{x} = \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right)$

5. we can also write the weights as:

$$\text{weighted average for } \mu_0 = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{n\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2}} \right)$$

$$\text{weighted average for } \bar{x} = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n\sigma_0^2} + 1} \right)$$

Therefore we can say that the weights are inversely proportional to their variances.

6.

Summing up the weights from question 4:

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) + \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) = \frac{(\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2)}{(\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2)} = 1$$

7.

From question 5 equation we can notice that as the value of n increases $n \rightarrow \infty$, the value of $\frac{n\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{1}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n\sigma_0^2} + 1} \rightarrow 0$, and the value of $\mu_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $\bar{x} \rightarrow 1$ and vice versa.

Therefore, we can say that value of each weight ranges between 0 and 1.

8. we know from question 3 that:

$$\mu_n = \left(\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) * \bar{x} + \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right) * \mu_0 \right)$$

Also, we know that the value of $\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right)$ and $\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right)$ lies between [0,1]. Therefore, we can say that μ_n will also lie between [0,1]

9. If σ^2 is known, then for the new instance x^{new} . The posterior predictive is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x^{new}|X) &= \int p(x^{new}|\mu)p(\mu|X) d\mu \\ &= \int N(x^{new}|\mu, \sigma^2) N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma_n^2) d\mu \\ &= N(x^{new}|\mu_n, \sigma_n^2 + \sigma^2) \end{aligned}$$

An alternative proof to this is mentioned in reference:

<https://www.cs.ubc.ca/~murphyk/Papers/bayesGauss.pdf>

The proof says that:

$$\begin{aligned}x^{new} &= (x^{new} - \mu) + \mu \\ \rightarrow x^{new} - \mu &\sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\ \text{and } \mu &\sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)\end{aligned}$$

If X, Y are independent, we know $E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y]$ and $Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y]$.

$$\begin{aligned}E[x^{new}] &= E[(x^{new} - \mu) + \mu] = E[(x^{new} - \mu)] + E[\mu] = 0 + \mu_n = \mu_n \\ Var[x^{new}] &= Var[(x^{new} - \mu) + \mu] = Var[(x^{new} - \mu)] + Var[\mu] = \sigma^2 + \sigma_n^2 \\ \rightarrow x^{new} &\sim N(\mu_n, \sigma^2 + \sigma_n^2)\end{aligned}$$

10.

Given: $p(x) \sim N(6, 1.5^2)$ and $p(\mu) \sim N(4, 0.8^2)$

We know: $p(\mu|x) \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$

Where

$$\mu_n = \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2 n \bar{x}}{\sigma^2 + n \sigma_0^2} + \frac{\mu_0 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n \sigma_0^2} \right) = \left(\frac{0.8^2 * 20 * 6 + 4 * 1.5^2}{1.5^2 + 20 * 0.8^2} \right) = \frac{85.8}{15.5} = 5.701$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \left(\frac{n \sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{15.5}{1.44} \right)^{-1} = 0.092 = 0.3^2$$

$$p(\mu|x) \sim N(5.7, 0.092)$$

R Code to plot:

```
x <- seq(-10, 10, by = .1)
y <- dnorm(x, mean = 6, sd = 1.5)
y2 <- dnorm(x, mean = 4, sd = 0.8)
y3 <- dnorm(x, mean = 5.7, sd = 0.3)
plot(0,0,xlim = c(0,10),ylim = c(0,1))
lines(x,y,col='red')
lines(x,y2,col='blue')
lines(x,y3,col='green')
```

