

Exercise Sheet 2: Protocol verification



Brandenburgische
Technische Universität
Cottbus - Senftenberg

~~Harpreet Kaur Oberoi~~

Siddique Reza Khan

~~Kwang Kit Shing~~

~~Abdulkadir Babarudeen Lawak~~

~~Baty Khanatov~~

Kerberos Protocol:

1. First-Order Goals

- A believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$
- B believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$

2. Second-Order Goals:

- A believes B believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$
- B believes A believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$

Step 1: Specify an idealized protocol variant

1. $S \rightarrow A: \{(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B, \{(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)\}_{K_{BS}})\}_{K_{AS}}$
2. $A \rightarrow B: (\{(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)\}_{K_{BS}}, \{(T_A, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)\}_{K_{AB}})$
3. $B \rightarrow A: \{(T_A, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)\}_{K_{AB}}$

Step 2: specify necessary assumptions:

- A1: A believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AS}} S$
- A2: B believes $B \xleftrightarrow{K_{BS}} S$
- A3: S believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$
- A4: S believes $B \xleftrightarrow{K_{BS}} S$
- A5: S believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$
- A6: A believes (S controls $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$)
- A7: B believes (S controls $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$)
- A8: A believes fresh(T_s)
- A9: B believes fresh(T_s)
- A10: B believes fresh(T_A)
- A11: A believes fresh(T_A)

Deduction Rules:

$$R_1: \frac{P \text{ believes } Q \xrightarrow{K} P, P \text{ sees } \{X\}_K}{P \text{ believes } Q \text{ said } X}$$

$$R_2: \frac{P \text{ believes fresh } X}{P \text{ believes fresh } (X, Y)}$$

$$R_3: \frac{P \text{ believes fresh } X, P \text{ believes } Q \text{ said } X}{P \text{ believes } Q \text{ believes } X}$$

$$R_4: \frac{P \text{ believes } Q \text{ believes } (X, Y)}{P \text{ believes } Q \text{ believes } Y}$$

$$R_5: \frac{P \text{ believes } Q \text{ controls } X, P \text{ believes } Q \text{ believes } X}{P \text{ believes } X}$$

$$R_6: \frac{P \text{ sees } (X, Y)}{P \text{ sees } X}$$

$$R_7: \frac{P \text{ sees } (X, Y)}{P \text{ sees } Y}$$

Step 3: Proof for the first Protocol step

1.) A see $\{(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B, \{(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)\}_{K_{BS}}\}_{K_{AS}}$

A believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AS}} S$ (A1)

with R1,

A believes S said $(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B, \{(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)\}_{K_{BS}})$

A believes fresh T_s (A8)

With R3, freshness nonce verification rule, before apply R2

A believes S believes $(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B, \{(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)\}_{K_{BS}})$

\Rightarrow decompose with R4

A believes S believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$

A believes S believes $(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)$

A believes S controls $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$ (A6)

A believes S controls $(T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B)$ (A6)

\Rightarrow with R5 jurisdiction rule

A believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$

Step 4: Proof of the Second Protocol step

2.) $A \rightarrow B : (\{T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}_{K_{BS}}, \{T_A, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}_{K_{AB}})$

With R6,

B sees $\{T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}_{K_{BS}}$

B believes $B \xleftrightarrow{K_{BS}} S$ (A2)

With R1,

B believes S said $\{T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}$

B believes fresh T_s (A9)

With R2, before apply R3

B believes S believes $\{T_s, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}$

B believes S controls $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$ (A7)

With R4, before apply R5

B believes $A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B$

B sees $\{T_A, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}_{K_{AB}}$ — from the message after applying R7

With R1,

B believes A said $\{T_A, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}$

B believes fresh T_A (A10)

With R2, before apply R3

B believes A believes $\{T_A, A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}$

With R4,

B believes A believes $\{A \xleftrightarrow{K_{AB}} B\}$