94. P2 Ily) -> second spatial derivative of image

so ben Lablacian is positive it indicates that the pixel at A la surrounded by pixels of lower intensity which occurs when bright objects on darker backgrounds.

Lets consider a simple image f = (b, 10, 10, 100, 10, 10, 10) $f^2 + (1) = (0 - 2(10) + 10 = 0)$

√2 x (2) = 10 - 2(10) + 100 = \$0

42 I (3) = 10 - 5 (100) +10 = -180

 $\Delta_5 I(2) = 0$ $\Delta_5 I(4) = 10 - 5(10) + 1000 0 0$

12 I = [0,801-180,0,0,0]

Let d=001

we see that the contrast along the edges decreases and the value at edge $4n \pm viz$ 100 - 10 = 90 while in ± 18 (82-19) = 63 and thus contrast in ± 1 decreases as compared to ± 1 leading to blurring

10. The operation of
$$T'$$
 $T' = \begin{cases} 10 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \end{cases}$
 $T' \Rightarrow T' + d = \begin{cases} 10 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \end{cases}$
 $T' \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 10 \\ 19 \\ 19 \end{cases}$
 $T' \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 10 \\ 10 \end{cases}$
 T'

The see when we apply the operation the values between the pixel at the edges decreasis leading to blurring between the edge values, speculate that it we apply the same operation we may thus speculate it leads to blurring between the toralarge number of times it leads to blurring edges due to decrease in contrast.

c. Hose apply I(x14) = I(x17) - 4 \square to.

$$twe apply$$

of times

 $T'(x_1y) = T(x_1y) - dV^2 T(x_1y)$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 10$

see here that the contrast increases along the edges along the edges and value at the edge in I is (118-1)=117 and in I is 90.

Thus similarly in ... Inus similarly it we apply the same operation for a large no of its-it in large no. of iteration it causes the contrast at the edge to thus leading to image sharpening inclease

and some yet for me for one to prove the forms of