

Supplementary Information

Literature search

We located papers relating phenological data from trophic interactions to fitness and/or performance of the consumer and/or the resource by conducting keyword searches in ISI Web of Science published up to June 2017. Keywords included phenolog* AND mismatch* OR synchron* AND interact* AND (fitness* OR performance*). Our initial search netted 2906 papers so we further refined our search by excluding categories that included engineering and computer science. This resulted in 393 papers. From these, we focused on observational studies and excluded studies if they did not: (1) measure phenology directly (e.g. used derived measures of phenology, such as NDVI or spring temperature); (2) measure phenology of at least one of the species; (3) quantitatively link consumer fitness or performance to the relative timing between consumer and resource; and (4) explicitly state that the two species interacted (e.g. specifying type of interaction). Estimates of consumer fitness or performance (i.e., growth or development) had to be direct estimates (e.g. we did not include studies that used diet proportions to measure consumer performance) and included a range of proxies, for example, growth, survival, abundance. To ensure a reasonable sample size and to include studies across different major biomes, we included interactions that were resolved to the family-level and below. To reduce redundancy within systems, we only kept studies that were unique across pairs-location-year combinations. If more than one measure of phenology was included, we chose the one used by the authors to calculate mismatch and examine its impact on performance.

Our final review included 42 studies with 45 pair-wise species interactions (3 studies had 2 interactions). These studies encompassed terrestrial, marine and freshwater ecosystems as well as a large latitudinal gradient.

Disconnects between ecological theory and data in phenological mismatch research

Summary of studies and interactions

Based on the type of data collected for the consumer and resource, we classified studies as life history (i.e. one that collected data at the individual level) or one that collected data at the population or community (i.e., across species). To determine whether studies had the potential to define pre-climate change baselines, we measured the study's time span and years of data based on the years where phenology data was available for both the consumer and resource, and consumer performance data was available.

The majority of the studies (26/42) focused on: i) documenting how climate change is affecting the timing of a trophic interaction and how those changes have affected the consumer's performance; and ii) evaluating the roles of abiotic and biotic factors in influencing synchrony. The ultimate goal of these studies was to predict the impacts of climate change on pair-wise species interactions. Our database also included studies (16/42) whose aim was to understand the underlying processes related to timing (e.g., coevolution, life history trade offs, food web dynamics) that drive consumer or resource dynamics, independently of climate change. Another divide across studies was whether performance of the consumer was measured at the individual level (27/45 interactions) or at the population or community level (18/45 interactions; see Table S1).

Additional details for Box 2

Raw data for panel (a) was obtained from Figure 3 in Tikkanen and Julkunen-Tiitto (2003). The data come from two related experiments where the authors manipulated the number of days that neonates (i.e. early instar larvae) spent without food (first experiment) and the emergence times of larvae relative to budburst (second experiment). In the first experiment, there were six groups of 30 larvae that spent 0, 5.5, 11, 22, 27.5 and 33 degree-days without food. In the second experiment, there were four cohorts, each separated by intervals of 3-5

Disconnects between ecological theory and data in phenological mismatch research

days. All *O. brumata* eggs and larvae originated from laboratory stock originally from Turku, Finland whereas the foliage originated from trees near Banchory, NW Scotland. Consequently, data for the figure are from different populations.

Tables

Table S1. A comparison across studies of the type of performance data collected for consumer and resource. We define a life-history study as one that collected data at the individual level and a population/community study as one that collected data at the population or community (i.e., across species) level. Counts in the table are numbers of individual pair-wise interactions (n=45).

			Resource performance				
			Life-history		Population/Community		
			None	Individual	Population	Community	Totals
Consumer performance	Life-history	Individual	6	0	4	17	27
	Population/Community	Population	1	1	7	7	16
		Community	0	0	1	1	2
	Total		7	1	12	26	45

Disconnects between ecological theory and data in phenological mismatch research

Table S2. A comparison across studies of the type of performance data collected for the consumer across systems and taxonomic group. Counts in the table are numbers of individual pair-wise interactions (n=45).

		System		Taxonomic group			
		Aquatic	Terrestrial	Invertebrate	Vertebrate		
Level of consumer performance	Individual	5	22	1	2	19	5
	Population	14	2	9	4	2	1
	Community	1	1	2	0	0	0
	Sub-totals	20	25	12	6	21	6
Totals		45		45			

References for systematic literature review

- [1] Adrian, R., Wilhelm, S. and Gerten, D. *Life-history traits of lake plankton species may govern their phenological response to climate warming*. *Global change biology*, **2006**, Vol. 12(4), pp. 652-661.
- [2] Arula, T., Gröger, J., Ojaveer, H. and Simm, M. *Shifts in the spring herring (*Clupea harengus membras*) larvae and related environment in the Eastern Baltic Sea over the past 50 years*. *PloS one, Public Library of Science*, **2014**, Vol. 9(3), pp. e91304
- [3] Atkinson, A., Harmer, R. A., Widdicombe, C. E., McEvoy, A. J., Smyth, T. J., Cummings, D. G., Somerfield, P. J., Maud, J. L. and McConville, K. *Questioning the role of phenology shifts and trophic mismatching in a planktonic food web*. *Progress in Oceanography*, **2015**, Vol. 137, pp. 498-512.
- [4] Blackett, M., Lucas, C. H., Harmer, R. A. and Licandro, P. *Population ecology of *Muggiaea atlantica* (Cnidaria, Siphonophora) in the Western English Channel*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **2015**, Vol. 535, pp. 129-144
- [5] Borcherdig, J., Beeck, P., DeAngelis, D. L. and Scharf, W. R. *Match or mismatch: the influence of phenology on size-dependent life history and divergence in population structure*. *Journal of animal ecology*, **2010**, Vol. 79(5), pp. 1101-1112
- [6] Cresswell, W. and McCleery, R. *How great tits maintain synchronization of their hatch date with food supply in response to long-term variability in temperature*. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **2003**, Vol. 72(2), pp. 356-366
- [7] Dekker, R. and Beukema, J. *Phenology of abundance of bivalve spat and of their epibenthic predators: limited evidence for mismatches after cold winters*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **2014**, Vol. 513, pp. 17-27
- [8] Dessborn, L., Elmberg, J., Nummi, P., Pöysä, H. and Sjöberg, K. *Hatching in dabbling ducks and emergence in chironomids: a case of predator-prey synchrony?* *Hydrobiologia*, **2009**, Vol. 636(1), pp. 319-329
- [9] Dias, P. C. and Blondel, J. *Breeding time, food supply and fitness components of Blue Tits *Parus caeruleus* in Mediterranean habitats*. *Ibis*, **1996**, Vol. 138(4), pp. 644-649.
- [10] Dunn, P. O., Winkler, D. W., Whittingham, L. A., Hannon, S. J. and Robertson, R. J. *A test of the mismatch hypothesis: How is timing of reproduction related to food abundance in an aerial insectivore?* *Ecology*, **2011**, Vol. 92(2), pp. 450-461
- [11] Fortier, L. and Gilbert, M. *The match/mismatch hypothesis and the feeding success of fish larvae in ice-covered southeastern Hudson Bay Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **1995**, Vol. 120, pp. 11-27
- [12] George, D. *The effect of nutrient enrichment and changes in the weather on the abundance of *Daphnia* in Esthwaite Water, Cumbria*. *Freshwater Biology*, **2012**, Vol. 57(2), pp. 360-372

- [13] Gullett, P., Hatchwell, B. J., Robinson, R. A. and Evans, K. L. *Phenological indices of avian reproduction: cryptic shifts and prediction across large spatial and temporal scales*. Ecology and evolution, **2013**, Vol. 3(7), pp. 1864-1877.
- [14] Hipfner, J. M. *Matches and mismatches: ocean climate, prey phenology and breeding success in a zooplanktivorous seabird*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, **2008**, Vol. 368, pp. 295-304
- [15] Jolley, J. C., Willis, D. W. and Holland, R. S. *Match--mismatch regulation for bluegill and yellow perch larvae and their prey in Sandhill lakes*. Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management, **2010**, Vol. 1(2), pp. 73-85
- [16] Kerby, J. and Post, E. *Capital and income breeding traits differentiate trophic match--mismatch dynamics in large herbivores*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, **2013**, Vol. 368(1624), pp. 20120484.
- [17] Kerby, J. T. and Post, E. *Advancing plant phenology and reduced herbivore production in a terrestrial system associated with sea ice decline*. Nature Communications, **2013**, Vol. 4, pp. 2514
- [18] Kourkgy, C., Garel, M., Appolinaire, J., Loison, A. and Toligo, C. *Onset of autumn shapes the timing of birth in Pyrenean chamois more than onset of spring*. Journal of Animal Ecology, **2016**, Vol. 85(2), pp. 581-590
- [19] Lany, N. K., Ayres, M. P., Stange, E. E., Sillett, T. S., Rodenhouse, N. L. and Holmes, R. T. *Breeding timed to maximize reproductive success for a migratory songbird: The importance of phenological asynchrony*. Oikos, **2016**, Vol. 125(5), pp. 656-666
- [20] McKinnon, L., Picotin, M., Bolduc, E., Juillet, C. and Bêty, J. *Timing of breeding, peak food availability, and effects of mismatch on chick growth in birds nesting in the High Arctic*. Canadian Journal of Zoology, **2012**, Vol. 90(8), pp. 961-971
- [21] Mortensen, L. O., Schmidt, N. M., Høye, T. T., Damgaard, C. and Forchhammer, M. C. *Analysis of trophic interactions reveals highly plastic response to climate change in a tri-trophic high-Arctic ecosystem*. Polar Biology, **2016**, Vol. 39(8), pp. 1467-1478
- [22] Pakanen, V.-M., Orell, M., Votka, E., Rytönen, S. and Broggi, J. *Different ultimate factors define timing of breeding in two related species*. PloS one, Public Library of Science, **2016**, Vol. 11(9), pp. e0162643
- [23] Philippart, C. J., van Aken, H. M., Beukema, J. J., Bos, O. G., Cadée, G. C. and Dekker, R. *Climate-related changes in recruitment of the bivalve *Macoma balthica**. Limnology and Oceanography, **2003**, Vol. 48(6), pp. 2171-2185.
- [24] Plard, F., Gaillard, J.-M., Coulson, T., Hewison, A. M., Delorme, D., Warnant, C. and Bonenfant, C. *Mismatch between birth date and vegetation phenology slows the demography of roe deer*. PLoS biology, Public Library of Science, **2014**, Vol. 12(4), pp. e1001828.

- [25] Post, E. and Forchhammer, M. C. *Climate change reduces reproductive success of an Arctic herbivore through trophic mismatch*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, The Royal Society London, **2007**, Vol. 363(1501), pp. 2367-2373.
- [26] Reed, T. E., Grøtan, V., Jenouvrier, S., Sæther, B.-E. and Visser, M. E. *Population growth in a wild bird is buffered against phenological mismatch*. Science, **2013**, Vol. 340(6131), pp. 488-491.
- [27] Reed, T. E., Jenouvrier, S. and Visser, M. E. *Phenological mismatch strongly affects individual fitness but not population demography in a woodland passerine*. Journal of Animal Ecology, **2013**, Vol. 82(1), pp. 131-144.
- [28] Régnier, T., Gibb, F. and Wright, P. *Importance of trophic mismatch in a winter-hatching species: evidence from lesser sandeel*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, **2017**, Vol. 567, pp. 185-197.
- [29] Reneerkens, J., Schmidt, N. M., Gilg, O., Hansen, J., Hansen, L. H., Moreau, J. and Piersma, T. *Effects of food abundance and early clutch predation on reproductive timing in a high Arctic shorebird exposed to advancements in arthropod abundance*. Ecology and Evolution, **2016**, Vol. 6(20), pp. 7375-7386.
- [30] Salamolard, M., Butet, A., Leroux, A. and Bretagnolle, V. *Responses of an avian predator to variations in prey density at a temperate latitude*. Ecology, **2000**, Vol. 81(9), pp. 2428-2441.
- [31] Seebens, H., Einsle, U. and Straile, D. *Copepod life cycle adaptations and success in response to phytoplankton spring bloom phenology*. Global Change Biology, **2009**, Vol. 15(6), pp. 1394-1404.
- [32] Senner, N. R., Stager, M. and Sandercock, B. K. *Ecological mismatches are moderated by local conditions for two populations of a long-distance migratory bird*. Oikos, **2017**, Vol. 126(1), pp. 61-72.
- [33] Sergeant, C. J., Armstrong, J. B. and Ward, E. J. *Predator-prey migration phenologies remain synchronised in a warming catchment*. Freshwater Biology, **2015**, Vol. 60(4), pp. 724-732.
- [34] Shultz, M. T., Piatt, J. F., Harding, A. M., Kettle, A. B. and Van Pelt, T. I. *Timing of breeding and reproductive performance in murre and kittiwakes reflect mismatched seasonal prey dynamics*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, **2009**, Vol. 393, pp. 247-258.
- [35] Sullivan, B. K., Costello, J. H. and Van Keuren, D. *Seasonality of the copepods *Acartia hudsonica* and *Acartia tonsa* in Narragansett Bay, RI, USA during a period of climate change*. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, **2007**, Vol. 73(1-2), pp. 259-267.
- [36] Thomas, D. W., Blondel, J., Perret, P., Lambrechts, M. M. and Speakman, J. R. *Energetic and fitness costs of mismatching resource supply and demand in seasonally breeding birds*. Science, American Association for the Advancement of Science, **2001**, Vol. 291(5513), pp. 2598-2600.

[37] Vátka, E., Orell, M. and Rytkönen, S. *Warming climate advances breeding and improves synchrony of food demand and food availability in a boreal passerine*. Global Change Biology, **2011**, Vol. 17(9), pp. 3002-3009.

[38] Vátka, E., Rytkönen, S. and Orell, M. *Does the temporal mismatch hypothesis match in boreal populations?* Oecologia, **2014**, Vol. 176(2), pp. 595-605

[39] Visser, M. E., Gienapp, P., Husby, A., Morrissey, M., de la Hera, I., Pulido, F. and Both, C. *Effects of spring temperatures on the strength of selection on timing of reproduction in a long-distance migratory bird*. PLoS Biology, Public Library of Science, **2015**, Vol. 13(4), pp. e1002120

[40] Watanuki, Y., Ito, M., Deguchi, T. and Minobe, S. *Climate-forced seasonal mismatch between the hatching of rhinoceros auklets and the availability of anchovy*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, **2009**, Vol. 393, pp. 259-271 [39]

[41] Wiltshire, K. H., Malzahn, A. M., Wirtz, K., Greve, W., Janisch, S., Mangelsdorf, P., Manly, B. F. and Boersma, M. *Resilience of North Sea phytoplankton spring bloom dynamics: An analysis of long-term data at Helgoland Roads*. Limnology and Oceanography, **2008**, Vol. 53(4), pp. 1294-1302.

[42] Winder, M. and Schindler, D. E. *Climate change uncouples trophic interactions in an aquatic ecosystem*. Ecology, **2004**, Vol. 85(8), pp. 2100-2106.