**OUTLINE DEFENSE EVALUATION SHEET**

Project Title: ***Mobile Application for Fish Feeds and Feed Formulation*** Date: \_\_\_\_April 4, 2017\_\_\_\_

Presenters’ Names:

1. \_\_\_\_\_ Niňo G. Ca-as\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 4. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Leah Mae D. Labandero \_\_\_\_\_\_

2. \_\_\_\_\_ Raymund N. Fructuoso\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 5. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Loid G. Rama \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

3. \_\_\_\_\_\_Pio Jr. B. Jamer\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Individual Grade (30%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria and Weight** | **Score** | | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** |
| 1. **Disposition (20%)**    * + Generally neat in appearance      + Projects and aura of confidence      + Preparedness and teamwork |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. **Delivery and Presentation (80%)**    1. *Organization of Content (25%)*       * Sense of segmentation       * Presentation is not interrupted to reload a file       * Smoothness of presentation flow       * No inconsistencies within content    2. *Manner of Presentation (25%)*       * Presenter does not tend to talk to the screen       * Does not have some hesitancy in speaking       * Less consulting of notes and has good eye contact with panelists       * Volume of voice is adequate and can be heard by everyone       * Does not delve too long on a specific subject matter       * Use of clear visuals and appropriate materials for presentation    3. *Ability to Defend Ideas and Technical Output (30%)*       * Ability to respond to questions and criticisms       * Has good responses to critiques and comments       * Does not have the tendency to go into other issues when answering questions       * Attitude towards suggestions given (i.e., receptive, not hostile or indifferent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Group Grade (70%)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria and Weight** | **Score** |
| **Documentation**   * + - Mechanics – Spelling/Punctuation/Grammar/Structure (30%)     - Completeness (30%)     - Content (should be correct and consistent) (40%) |  |
| *Total* |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Criteria and Weight*** | ***Score*** |
| **Software**   * + - GUI properly represent the set objectives (40%)     - Technical output as a reliable proof of concept of the study (30%)     - Degree of impact on the panelists (10%)     - Exhibits ease of use and user friendliness (20%) |  |
| *Total* |  |

**Verdict:**

[ ] Passed (No revisions needed) [ ] Passed (With revisions needed)

[ ] Conditional Passed [ ] Failed

To comply the necessary requirements to get a passing grade on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name and Signature of Panelist

**Recommendation for Outline Defense**

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Bachelor of Science in Information Technology, this Capstone Project entitled

***Mobile Computer Aided Instruction for Science in Grade 8 Level***

***of San Vicente National High School***

has been prepared and submitted by Jescel Mae M. Acaso, Marivic G. Asumbrado, Irene Mae M. Canedo, Cris Marie B. Gentiles and Alsamie A. Haluddin is recommended for outline defense.

***Reir Erlinda E. Cutad, MIT***

Project Adviser

Date Signed: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_