Milestone 1

Developed Euclid's Algoithm and RelPrime (3 hours)

- Developed Euclid's Algorithm and 'reprime'. Developed test files for the code
 in assembly. Tested each module separately before bringing them together to
 test relatively prime. Developed a max-branch logic so that team could
 understand how much branching we would require worst-case before
 designing the ISA.
- Prepared assembly code as a preliminary model for instruction set architecture (ISA) development. This preparation was completed before the team meeting to facilitate immediate discussion on ISA.

Team Meeting on 1/2/2024 (Duration: 40 minutes):

- The team agreed on developing a load-store-based processor.
- We allocated 16 bits among registers, types, etc., and engaged in detailed discussions on optimizing and limiting the function and opcode to 4 bits, allowing for a maximum of 16 different instructions.

Team Meeting on 1/9/2024 (Duration: 2.5 hours):

- Began drafting Milestone 1, focusing on design philosophy and reviewing our ISA, including bit allocation for each type and instruction.
- Assigned opcodes and functions to each instruction and reviewed the process of converting these to machine language.

Milestone 2:

Meeting Scribe (Duration: 1 hour):

- Recorded notes during the meeting and implemented suggestions postmeeting.
- Revised the documentation to simplify language and correct errors discussed.

Reviewed RTLs (Duration: 30 minutes):

- Re-examined all implemented Register Transfer Languages (RTLs) and made minor adjustments.
- Tested RTLs.

Reviewed Design Components (Duration: 20 minutes):

 Reviewed essential design components necessary for Milestone 3 datapath designing.

Concise Alternative Logic (Duration: 1 hour):

 Examined logic for minimal branching, focusing on reducing byte offset and minimizing jumping. Currently trying to further minimize jumping and total instructions to implement relprime

Milestone 3

Meeting Scribe and Housekeeping Activities (Duration: 1 Hour)

- Note-taking during the meeting, capturing key points and actionable items.
 (30 Minutes)
- Post-meeting, engaged in a thorough review and implementation of the suggestions that emerged.
- Drafted and dispatched comprehensive housekeeping emails, incorporating all revisions prompted by the Milestone meeting.

Development of Python Scripts for RTL Modules (Duration: 3 Hours)

- Successfully created Python scripts tailored for eight distinct RTL (Register Transfer Level) modules.
- During the development process, identified and resolved a critical error in the Branch instruction, collaboratively rectified with colleague Harrison.
- Initiated the task of crafting a mini-interpreter aimed at simulating Miniprograms. This involved scripting encode-instructions linked to memory operations.
- Encountered a persistent issue in 'miniprogram1', but after consulting with Dr. Sher, the decision was made to focus on individual RTL testing for the interim.
- Documented my work to add to the Milestone 3 document, serving for the additional features in development of our project.

Development of Program Counter and Corresponding Testbench (Duration: 40 Minutes)

• Developed the Program Counter (PC) module and its associated testbench within Python, integrating \$display statements as a strategic alternative to waveform analysis.

- The testbench rigorously evaluated the PC module across various scenarios: reset functionality, precise incrementation by 2, and flexible address jumping capabilities.
- Additionally, the testbench was designed to assess undefined behaviors, particularly those occurring before the initial positive clock edge, confirming robustness and reliability of the PC module. All tests were successfully passed.

Milestone 4

- Reworked the entire design document after M3 meeting, worked on developing intro
 paragraphs, and fixing formatting mistakes. Also reworked component specifications.
 From this point onwards, I am responsible for having an up-to-date Design Document
 with all milestone requirements, while Ethan works on the testing integration. (1 hr)
- Reviewed the PC counter test, there was an error with the posed. Also, I have noticed a branch was missing, in the control unit. (20 mins)
- Designed control signals for A-Type and I-Type. They match Ethan's idea for control states as well. (20 mins)

Milestone 5

- We are lagging on this milestone and need to split up tasks better. We have decided to meet up on a Saturday for 5 hours and wrap this up. After the m4 meeting, Dr. Stephen pointed out a list of flaws we need to work on.
- I have started working on an assembler. It isn't parsing for now, and the s-type is completely failing. For some reason, the assembler is outputting more than 16 bits for JAL-type, could be something to do with the offset amount. (2 hours)
- We met for 4 hours today, where Ethan and Harrison worked on the testing plan, while I developed a more complete version of the Technical Document. Nearly all of Dr. Stephen's changes have been accounted for, and each section now comes with an introduction that covers all the tables and images. Also scrutinized the datapath with Ethan, we seem to have a working datapath control integration especially because we were having trouble with Control timings. We also solved the ALU-> pc write-back delay problem. (4 hours).

Milestone 6 and beyond (6 hours)

- Turns out Dr. Stephen has sent another long list of additional stuff to be added in an email. Working that out took a long time, but all the things except addressing modes are in there. Fixed a lot of errors in instruction types (silly errors). Turns out that writing a paragraph for everything takes a long time. (2 hours)
- Finished the assembler and hope that counts towards our extra features. Turns out my code had a parsing problem for s-type and the reason JAL-type was more than 26 bits was a sing extend problem for negative jumps. (jump backs) (1 hours)

- I have started working on the appendix, the section where each test needs to be described, and linked with a testing screenshot in the appendix. (1 hour)
- As mentioned earlier where we split the testing responsibility and document responsibility, as the final deadline approaches, I need to be perfectly thorough with the design docs. (2 hours)
- Working on the final formatting, assembling modes still lacking.
- Also, worked on developing the PPT with the team, and did dry runs prior to the final presentation.