

## 1. Consider the following dataset:

['bab3', 'bc01', 'cc2', 'cd5', 'cd3', 'cdx2', 'cdx1', 'e01', 'g02', 'ha1', 'hb1', 'hc8', 'hz5', 'z00', 'z01', 'bc01']

- a) This hashing function is not a good hashing function, because the hashing function distributed the dataset not equally. For examples, for bucket3 it only contains one data, and for bucket 4, it contains 8 dataset, so the distribution is really bad, I will say this hashing function is bad.
- b) For my design, I will design the hash function into 5 bucket as below.  
 [only first letter] -> bucket1 { 'e01', 'g02', 'z00', 'z01' }  
 [2 letter & first letter is b] -> bucket2 { 'bc01', 'bc01' }  
 [2 letter & first letter is c] -> bucket3 { 'cc2', 'cd5', 'cd3' }  
 [2 letter & first letter is h] -> bucket4 { 'ha1', 'hb1', 'hc8', 'hz5' }  
 [3 letter] -> bucket 5 { 'bab3', 'cdx2', 'cdx1' }
- c) [first letter is a & number is 1] -> bucket1 { 'a1', 'a1', 'a1', 'a1', 'a1' }  
 [first letter is b-c & number is 1] -> bucket2 { 'b1', 'b1', 'c1', 'c1', 'c1' }  
 [first letter is d | number is 2] -> bucket3 { 'd2', 'd1', 'd2', 'a2' }

## 2.

## 3. Consider a relation r that takes up 155 blocks on disk. If you have exactly 10 blocks of memory available:

- a) There exactly 10 blocks of memory, we have an initial sorting phase, so in total of 155 block we will divide all blocks into 16 runs. We know  $M = 10$ , each pass can merges 9 runs. For merge pass-1 run into two, one is 90 blocks, one is 65 and then do merge pass-2 merge into one, therefore there are 2 merge pass and 1 sorting pass; so 3 pass in total.
- b) Since we know the merge pass number is 2 and blocks size is 155, therefore by formula is  $2 * 155 + 2 * 155 * 2 = 930$ .
- c)  $155/M \leq M-1$  then solve the equation, we get  $M \geq 12.95$  so  $M$  should be  $\geq 13$
- d) We know  $M = 8$ , therefore for 3 passes, we have  $M-1 = 7$ , for exactly 3 passes in total, we will have  $2 * 7 * 8 = 112$ , the biggest data can relation r get is 112 blocks on disk

## 4. Consider two relations r (200 blocks) and s (30 blocks)

- a) We have  $b_r = 200$  blocks,  $b_s = 30$  blocks,  $M = 8$ , by formula, we have  $b_s * [b_r / (M-2)] + b_r$  transfer =  $30 * [200 / 6] + 200 = 1220$  for transfer, and seeks  $2 * [b_r / (M-2)] = 2 * [200 / 6] = 68$  for seeking
- b) We have  $b_r = 30$  blocks,  $b_s = 200$  blocks,  $M = 8$ , by formula, we have  $b_s * [b_r / (M-2)] + b_r$  transfer =  $200 * [30 / 6] + 30 = 1030$  for transfer, and seeks  $2 * [b_r / (M-2)] = 2 * [30 / 6] = 10$  for seeking. Therefore reversed  $b_r$  and  $b_s$ , result for transfer and seeking is less than results in part a).
- c) If we have  $M = 35$ , then  $M-2 = 33$ ,  $b_r = 200$ .  $b_s = 30$ , therefore by formula =  $30 * [200 / 33] + 200 = 410$  for transfer, and  $2 * [200 / 33] = 2 * 7 = 14$  for seeking.

## 5. B-Trees

- a)  $8 * n + (n - 1) * 8 \leq 512$   
 $16n \leq 520$   
 Therefore  $n = 32$ , the order is 32
- b)  $12 * n + (n - 1) * 8 \leq 512$   
 $20n \leq 520$

## CSC553 Assignment 2

Kaijun He

- $n = 26$ , therefore new order will be 26
- c) We have order 32,  
For level 0 : 1- 31  
For level 1 : 32 – 1023  
For level 2 : 1024 – 32767  
For level 3 : 32768 – 1048575  
For level 4 : 1048575 – 33554431  
We have 4200000 index, therefore we have height of tree is 4.
- d)  $4200000 / 33554431 = 0.125169757775 = 12.52\%$
- e) For this problem , in part c, I list level 4, maximum number of values I can index at total of 4 level which root plus 3 more level