

Name: Khalifa Salem Almatrooshi  
Student Number: @00090847

Due Date: 7 May 2024  
Assignment: 3

## Statement of the Problem

Consider a system of non-interacting electrons, each with a Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} - \mu_0 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ , where  $\mu_0 = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}$  and the eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}$  are  $\pm B$ . ( $\mathbf{B}$  is an external magnetic field) The energy of the electron system can be written as

$$E = \sum_{\vec{k}} \left( \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} - \mu_0 B \right) n_k^+ + \left( \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} + \mu_0 B \right) n_k^- \quad (1)$$

- (a) Compute the grand potential  $\mathcal{G} = -k_B T \ln \mathcal{Z}$  where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the grand partition function of the system at a chemical potential  $\mu$ . (Do not confuse  $\mu$  with  $\mu_0$ )

**Solution** In lecture we have derived the grand partition function  $\mathcal{Z}$  for a system of non-interacting bodies in finding the trace of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\text{tr} \left( \exp(-\beta \hat{H} + \beta \hat{N}) \right)$ , by working with the Fock basis. I will use those steps to find  $\mathcal{Z}$  of this system.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{n_q} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sum_q \varepsilon_q \hat{n}_q + \beta \mu \sum_q \hat{n}_q \right\} = \cdots = \prod_q \sum_{n_q} \exp \{ (-\beta \varepsilon_q + \beta \mu) n_q \} \quad (2)$$

For  $n_q$ , we only iterate over  $n_k^+$  and  $n_k^-$ . Let  $\varepsilon_k^\pm = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} \mp \mu_0 B$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{n_k^+} \sum_{n_k^-} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sum_k [\varepsilon_k^+ n_k^+ + \varepsilon_k^- n_k^-] + \beta \mu \sum_k (n_k^+ + n_k^-) \right\} \quad (3)$$

Combing all  $n_k$  terms.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{n_k^+} \sum_{n_k^-} \exp \left\{ \beta \sum_k [(\mu - \varepsilon_k^+) n_k^+ + (\mu - \varepsilon_k^-) n_k^-] \right\} \quad (4)$$

The sum of the exponents in an exponential is equivalent to the product of exponentials.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_k \sum_{n_k^+} \sum_{n_k^-} \exp \{ \beta (\mu - \varepsilon_k^+) n_k^+ + \beta (\mu - \varepsilon_k^-) n_k^- \} \quad (5)$$

For fermions the occupation number  $n_k$  can only take the values 0 or 1 due to the Pauli exclusion principle.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_k (1 + \exp \{ -\beta (\varepsilon_k^+ - \mu) \}) (1 + \exp \{ -\beta (\varepsilon_k^- - \mu) \}) \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_k [Z_k^+ \cdot Z_k^-] \quad (7)$$

$$\ln(\mathcal{Z}) = \sum_k [\ln(Z_k^+) + \ln(Z_k^-)] \quad (8)$$

Now we have the final expression for the grand potential  $G$ .  $\beta = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{k_B T}$

$$G = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_k [\ln(Z_k^+) + \ln(Z_k^-)] \quad (9)$$

$$G = G_+ + G_- \quad (10)$$

At this point we convert the summation to an integral by taking the first term in the Euler–Maclaurin approximation.

$$\sum_{i=m}^n f(i) = \int_m^n f(x) dx + \frac{f(n) + f(m)}{2} + \cdots + R_p \quad (11)$$

This introduces the density of states  $g(k)$  and the spin degeneracy  $2S + 1$  (inside  $g(k)$ ).

$$G = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_k g(k) [G_+ + G_-] dk \quad (12)$$

The density of states  $g(k)$  can found by considering a quantum gas in a box with length  $L$  and corner at the origin.

$$g(k) dk = \frac{\text{Volume in k-space of one octant of a spherical shell}}{\text{Volume of k-space occupied per allowed state}} \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{8} \cdot 4\pi k^2 dk}{(\pi/L)^3} = \frac{V k^2 dk}{2\pi^2} \quad (14)$$

We add the spin degeneracy  $2S + 1$ , but in a magnetic field the spin degeneracy is lifted because of the Zeeman effect, where the energy levels of the spin states split.

$$g(k) dk = \frac{V k^2 dk}{2\pi^2} \quad (15)$$

$$G = -\frac{V}{2\beta\pi^2} \left[ \int_0^\infty k^2 \ln(1 + \exp\{-\beta(\varepsilon_k^+ - \mu)\}) dk + \int_0^\infty k^2 \ln(1 + \exp\{-\beta(\varepsilon_k^- - \mu)\}) dk \right] \quad (16)$$

We can define the fugacity  $\lambda \equiv \exp(\beta\mu)$ . Also I will merge the integrals together to simplify computation.

$$G = -\frac{V}{2\beta\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \ln \left( 1 + \lambda \exp \left\{ -\beta \left( \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} \mp \mu_0 B \right) \right\} \right) dk \quad (17)$$

$$G = -\frac{V}{2\beta\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \ln \left( 1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} \exp \left\{ -\beta \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} \right\} \right) dk \quad (18)$$

A change of variables,  $x = \beta \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$ .

$$G = -\frac{V}{2\beta\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \ln(1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}) \frac{m}{\beta \hbar^2 k} dx \quad (19)$$

$$G = -\frac{V}{2\beta\pi^2} \frac{m}{\beta \hbar^2} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\beta \hbar^2}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} \ln(1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}) dx \quad (20)$$

$$G = -\frac{V\sqrt{2}}{2\beta\pi^2} \left( \frac{m}{\beta \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} \ln(1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}) dx \quad (21)$$

We can rearrange to find  $\lambda_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2\pi m k_B T}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \hbar^2 \beta}{m}}$ .

$$G = -\frac{V 2^{1/2} 2^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{2\beta\pi^2} \left( \frac{m}{2\pi \beta \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} \ln(1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}) dx \quad (22)$$

$$G = -\frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} \ln(1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}) dx \quad (23)$$

Integrating by parts,  $u = \ln(1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x})$ ,  $dv = \sqrt{x}$ .

$$G = -\frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \ln(1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}) \frac{2}{3} x^{3/2} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \frac{2}{3} x^{3/2} \frac{-\lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}}{1 + \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}} dx \right] \quad (24)$$

$$G = -\frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{3/2}}{(\lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B})^{-1} e^x + 1} dx \right] \quad (25)$$

$$G = -\frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{3/2}}{(\lambda e^{\beta\mu_0 B})^{-1} e^x + 1} dx + \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{3/2}}{(\lambda e^{-\beta\mu_0 B})^{-1} e^x + 1} dx \right] \quad (26)$$

From appendix C in Concepts in Thermal Physics by Katherine Blundell and Stephen Blundell. The integrals can be written in terms of the polylogarithm function  $\text{Li}_n(z)$ .

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1} dx}{z^{-1} e^x \pm 1} = \mp \Gamma(n) \text{Li}_n(\mp z) \quad (27)$$

$$G = \frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \left[ \text{Li}_{\frac{5}{2}}(-\lambda e^{\beta\mu_0 B}) + \text{Li}_{\frac{5}{2}}(-\lambda e^{-\beta\mu_0 B}) \right] \quad (28)$$

We can further simplify by  $\lambda^\pm = \lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B}$  and  $\Gamma(\frac{5}{2}) = 3\sqrt{\pi}/4$ .

$$\boxed{G = \frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \left[ \text{Li}_{\frac{5}{2}}(-\lambda^+) + \text{Li}_{\frac{5}{2}}(-\lambda^-) \right]} \quad (29)$$

- (b) Calculate the densities  $n^+ = \frac{N^+}{V}$  and  $n^- = \frac{N^-}{V}$  of electrons pointing parallel and anti-parallel to the field.

**Solution** The average number of particles is found by

$$\langle \hat{N} \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln(\mathcal{Z}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} G \quad (30)$$

Working from equation 21 as working with a logarithm is easier.

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} G = \frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (\ln(1 + e^{\mu\beta} e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x})) dx \quad (31)$$

$$= \frac{V}{\beta \lambda_{th}^3} \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} \frac{\beta e^{\mu\beta} e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}}{1 + e^{\mu\beta} e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B} e^{-x}} dx \quad (32)$$

$$N^\pm = \frac{V}{\lambda_{th}^3} \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{1/2}}{(\lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B})^{-1} e^x + 1} dx \quad (33)$$

$$n^\pm = \frac{1}{\lambda_{th}^3} \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{1/2}}{(\lambda e^{\pm\beta\mu_0 B})^{-1} e^x + 1} dx \quad (34)$$

Converting to polylogarithm and  $\lambda^\pm$ .

$$\boxed{n^\pm = \frac{1}{\lambda_{th}^3} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda^\pm)} \quad (35)$$

$$N = N^+ + N^- = \frac{V}{\lambda_{th}^3} [\text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda^+) + \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda^-)] \quad (36)$$

- (c) Determine an expression of the magnetization  $M = \mu_0(N_+ - N_-)$  and expand the result for small magnetic field  $B$ .

**Solution**

$$M = \frac{V\mu_0}{\lambda_{th}^3} [\text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda^+) - \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda^-)] \quad (37)$$

$$M = \frac{V\mu_0}{\lambda_{th}^3} [\text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda e^{\beta\mu_0 B}) - \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda e^{-\beta\mu_0 B})] \quad (38)$$

The only term affected by the magnetic field  $B$  is the exponential. At small magnetic field  $B$ , the exponential can be approximated by the first few terms of its Taylor series,  $e^x = \sum \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots$ . For simplicity we take the first two terms.

$$M = \frac{V\mu_0}{\lambda_{th}^3} [\text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda(1 + \beta\mu_0 B)) - \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda(1 - \beta\mu_0 B))] \quad (39)$$

$$M = \frac{V\mu_0}{\lambda_{th}^3} [\text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda - \lambda\beta\mu_0 B) - \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda + \lambda\beta\mu_0 B)] \quad (40)$$

The polylogarithm function can also be expanded for small  $B$  by approximating with the first order Taylor expansion,  $f(x \pm \Delta x) \approx f(x) \pm \Delta x f'(x)$ .

$$M = \frac{V\mu_0}{\lambda_{th}^3} \left[ \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda) + \lambda\beta\mu_0 B \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda) - \left( \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda) - \lambda\beta\mu_0 B \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda) \right) \right] \quad (41)$$

$$M = \frac{V\mu_0}{\lambda_{th}^3} \left[ 2\lambda\beta\mu_0 B \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda) \right] \quad (42)$$

From <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/Polylogarithm.html>, we can easily find the derivative of the polylogarithm function where  $x \partial_x \text{Li}_n(x) = \text{Li}_{n-1}(x)$ .

$$\boxed{M = \frac{2V\mu_0^2\beta B}{\lambda_{th}^3} \text{Li}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\lambda)} \quad (43)$$

- (d) Determine the zero-field susceptibility  $\mathcal{X}(T) = \left. \frac{\partial M}{\partial B} \right|_{B=0}$  and give its behavior at low and at high temperatures.

**Solution**

$$\left. \frac{\partial M}{\partial B} \right|_{B=0} = \frac{2V\mu_0^2\beta}{\lambda_{th}^3} \text{Li}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\lambda) \quad (44)$$

We also know that  $N$  depends on  $B$ , so from equation 36, it reduces to

$$N = N^+ + N^- = \frac{2V}{\lambda_{th}^3} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-\lambda) \quad (45)$$

Starting with the high temperature limit, since  $\lambda \equiv e^{\beta\mu}$ , as  $k_B T \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ . We can drop the  $+1$  in  $\text{Li}_n(-\lambda)$  as it is negligible at this limit.

$$\text{Li}_n(-\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1} dx}{\lambda^{-1}e^x + 1} \approx \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty x^{n-1} e^{-x} dx = \lambda \quad (46)$$

$$\mathcal{X}(T \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{2V\mu_0^2}{k_B T \lambda_{th}^3} \lambda \quad (47)$$

We can define  $\lambda$  in terms of  $N$ .

$$N = \frac{2V}{\lambda_{th}^3} \lambda \quad (48)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{N \lambda_{th}^3}{2V} \quad (49)$$

$$\mathcal{X}(T \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{N \mu_0^2}{k_B T} \quad (50)$$

$$\boxed{\left. \frac{\mathcal{X}}{N} \right|_{T \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{\mu_0^2}{k_B T}} \quad (51)$$

Now the low temperature limit, since  $\lambda \equiv e^{\beta\mu}$ , as  $k_B T \rightarrow 0$  then  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\text{Li}_n(-\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1} dx}{e^{x-\beta\mu} + 1} \quad (52)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \left[ (e^{x-\beta\mu} + 1)^{-1} \frac{x^n}{n} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \frac{x^n}{n} \frac{e^{x-\beta\mu} dx}{(e^{x-\beta\mu} + 1)^2} \right] \quad (53)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^n}{n} \frac{e^{x-\beta\mu} dx}{(e^{x-\beta\mu} + 1)^2} \quad (54)$$

As  $T$  decreases,  $\mu$  approaches the Fermi energy  $\epsilon_F$ , so we can redefine  $x$  as  $x_F = \beta \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} = \beta \epsilon_F = \beta k_B T_F$

$$\text{Li}_n(-\lambda) = \frac{x_F^n}{n\Gamma(n)} \int_0^{x_F} \frac{e^{x-\beta\mu} dx}{(e^{x-\beta\mu} + 1)^2} \quad (55)$$

$$\left. \frac{\mathcal{X}}{N} \right|_{T \rightarrow 0} = \frac{\mu_0^2}{k_B T} \frac{\text{Li}_{1/2}(-\lambda)}{\text{Li}_{3/2}(-\lambda)} \quad (56)$$

Since the integral in the expression is the same for both polylogarithms, they cancel out.

$$= \frac{\mu_0^2}{k_B T} \frac{x_F^{1/2}}{\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\frac{3}{2}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{x_F^{3/2}} \quad (57)$$

$$= \frac{3\mu_0^2}{k_B T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}}{x_F} \quad (58)$$

$$= \frac{3\mu_0^2}{2k_B T} \frac{1}{\beta \epsilon_F} \quad (59)$$

$$\boxed{\left. \frac{\mathcal{X}}{N} \right|_{T \rightarrow 0} = \frac{3\mu_0^2}{2k_B T_F}} \quad (60)$$

(e) Graph  $\frac{\chi}{N\mu_0^2}$  versus  $T$ , here  $N = N^+ + N^-$  is the total number of electrons.

**Solution** The two limits form asymptotes for the zero-field susceptibility. The limit to infinity depends on  $T$ , while the limit to zero does not depend on  $T$  (straight line).