Choice Models in Operations

Lecture 11: EM algorithm and Frank-Wolfe algorithm

Instructor: Srikanth Jagabathula Scribe: JinHyun Kim

Last week: Derived the MM algorithm for estimating the parameters of the MNL model through maximizing the log-likelihood function.

Necessary & Sufficient condition for the existence of a unique & bounded optimal solution to

$$\max_{\underline{\beta}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} log \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^{T} \underline{z}_{j_{t},t}}}{\sum_{i \in S_{t}} e^{\underline{\beta}^{T} \underline{z}_{i,t}}}$$

is that $\gamma^T(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t}) \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in S_t, j_t, t = 1, 2, ..., T \implies \gamma = \underline{0}.$

proof: [Necessity] Suppose \exists a $\underline{\gamma} \neq \underline{0}$ such that $\underline{\gamma}^T(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t}) \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in S_t, j_t, t$. Consider

$$l_t(\beta) = log \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_{j_t,t}}}{\sum_{i \in S_t} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_{i,t}}} = -log \sum_{i \in S_t} e^{\underline{\beta}^T (\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t})}$$

Then, we have for any c > 0,

$$l_t(\underline{\beta} - c\underline{\gamma}) = log \sum_{i \in S_t} e^{\underline{\beta}^T(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t}) - c\underline{\gamma}^T(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t})} = -log(1 + \sum_{i \in S_t \setminus \{j_t\}} e^{\underline{\beta}^T(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t}) - c\underline{\gamma}^T(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t})})$$

We have 2 cases:

(i) \exists an i, j_t such that $\underline{\gamma}^T(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_t,t}) < 0$. Let $g_t(c) = l_t(\underline{\beta} - c\underline{\gamma})$.

$$g'_{t}(c) = \frac{\sum_{i \in S_{t} \setminus \{j_{t}\}} \underline{\gamma}^{T}(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_{t},t}) e^{\underline{\beta}^{T}(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_{t},t}) - c\underline{\gamma}^{T}(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_{t},t})}}{1 + \sum_{i \in S_{t} \setminus \{j_{t}\}} e^{\underline{\beta}^{T}(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_{t},t}) - c\underline{\gamma}^{T}(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_{t},t})}}$$

Because the derivative w.r.t c is always < 0, decreasing the value of c will strictly increase the value of $l_t(\underline{\beta} - c\underline{\gamma}) \implies$ the optimal solution cannot be bounded.

(ii) Suppose $\underline{\gamma}^{T}(\underline{z}_{i,t} - \underline{z}_{j_{t},t}) = 0 \quad \forall i \in S_{t}, j_{t}, t = 1, 2, ..., T.$

$$\implies l(\underline{\beta} - c\underline{\gamma}) = \sum_{t} l_{t}(\underline{\beta} - c\underline{\gamma}) = \sum_{t} l_{t}(\underline{\beta}) = l(\underline{\beta}) \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\implies multiple \ optima$$

EM algorithm as a special case of MM algorithm

EM setup: Suppose we observe a data point \underline{y} according to some distribution parametrized by $\underline{\theta}$.

<u>Goal</u>: Estimate $\underline{\theta}$ via MLE, i.e. solve $\max_{\underline{a}} \log p(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta})$ where $p(\cdot|\underline{\theta})$ is the distribution according to which y was generated.

Suppose there is a latent variable such that if the variable is observed, then the MLE problem becomes simple(r). Let \underline{z} denote the latent variable and let $p(y,\underline{z}|\underline{\theta})$ denote the joint probability distribution function.

Then, the complete-data log-likelihood function is defined as

$$l_c(y, \underline{z}) = log \ p(y, \underline{z} | \underline{\theta})$$

Correspondingly, we refer to $log p(y|\theta)$ as the incomplete-data log-likelihood funtion, denoted by $l_{IC}(y)$.

$$\max_{\underline{\theta}} l_{IC}(\underline{y}; \underline{\theta}) = \max_{\underline{\theta}} \log p(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}) = \max_{\underline{\theta}} \log \sum_{\underline{z}} p(\underline{y}, \underline{z}|\underline{\theta})$$

Let's apply the MM meta algorithm.

Let $\underline{\theta}^{(t)}$ be the current iterate.

The, we want to find $g(\cdot|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})$ that is a minorizing function, i.e. $l_{IC}(y;\underline{\theta}) \geq g(\underline{\theta}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})$ and $l_{IC}(y; \underline{\theta}^{(t)}) = g(\underline{\theta}^{(t)}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)}).$

Trick: Suppose we have a function $f(\cdot)$ that is strict concave and say our goal is to find a minorizing function for $f(\sum_i x_i)$ at the current iterate $\underline{x}^{(t)}$. Since $f(\cdot)$ is concave, we must have $f(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i}) \leq \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} f(y_{i}) \quad \forall \alpha_{i} \geq 0 \ \forall i, \ \sum \alpha_{i} = 1.$ Equality holds iff $y_{i} = y_{j} \quad \forall i, \ j.$ Set $\alpha_{i} = \frac{x_{i}^{(t)}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{(t)}}$ and $y_{i} = \frac{x_{i}}{x_{i}^{(t)}} \sum_{j} x_{j}^{(t)}$.

Set
$$\alpha_i = \frac{x_i^{(t)}}{\sum_j x_j^{(t)}}$$
 and $y_i = \frac{x_i}{x_i^{(t)}} \sum_j x_j^{(t)}$

Suppose $x_i^{(t)} > 0 \quad \forall i \text{ and } \sum_i x_i^{(t)} > 0$

$$\implies f(\sum_{i} x_i) = f(\sum_{i} \alpha_i y_i) \ge \sum_{i} \alpha_i f(y_i) = \sum_{i} \frac{x_i^{(t)}}{\sum_{j} x_j^{(t)}} f(x_i(\frac{\sum_{j} x_j^{(t)}}{x_i^{(t)}}))$$

equality occuring iff $\frac{x_i}{x_i^{(t)}} = \frac{x_j}{x_i^{(t)}} \ \forall i, t.$

Therefore, $g(\underline{x}|\underline{x}^{(t)}) \triangleq \sum_i x_i^{(t)} f(x_i \frac{\sum_j x_j^{(t)}}{x_i^{(t)}})$ is a minorizing function to $f(\sum_i x_i)$ at $\underline{x}^{(t)}$.

Now, we apply this general idea to our setting by taking $f(\cdot)$ to be $log(\cdot)$ and $x_z =$ $p(y,\underline{z}|\underline{\theta}) \ \forall \underline{z}.$

$$\begin{split} & \therefore \ \log(\sum_{\underline{z}} p(\underline{y},\underline{z}|\underline{\theta})) \geq \sum_{\underline{z}} \frac{p(\underline{z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})}{\sum_{\underline{z}'} p(\underline{z}',\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})} log[p(\underline{y},\underline{z}|\underline{\theta}) \frac{\sum_{\underline{z}'} p(\underline{z}',\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})}{p(\underline{z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})}] \\ &= \sum_{\underline{z}} \frac{p(\underline{z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})}{\sum_{\underline{z}'} p(\underline{z}',\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})} log\ p(\underline{y},\underline{z}|\underline{\theta}) + constant = \sum_{\underline{z}} \frac{p(\underline{z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})}{p(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}^{(t)})} \ log\ p(\underline{z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}) + constant \\ &= \sum_{\underline{z}} p(\underline{z}|\underline{y},\underline{\theta}^{(t)}) \ log\ p(\underline{z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}) + constant = \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Z}|\underline{y},\underline{\theta}^{(t)}} [log\ p(\underline{Z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta})] \\ &\longrightarrow \text{E-step (expectation-step)} \end{split}$$

We then maximize the expectation above:

$$\begin{split} \underline{\theta}^{(t+1)} &= \underset{\underline{\theta}}{argmax} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Z}|\underline{y},\underline{\theta}^{(t)}}[log~p(\underline{Z},\underline{y}|\underline{\theta})] \\ &\longrightarrow \text{M-step (Max-step)} \end{split}$$

We use the EM framework to derive the estimation algorithm for a latent-class MNL model with K classes.

<u>Data</u>: We observe choice from m customers. For customer i, we observe choices $(j_{i,t}, S_{i,t})$ for $t \in T_i$. The log-likelihood function is

$$\max_{\underline{\alpha},\ \underline{\beta}:\ \sum \alpha_k=1,\ \alpha_k\geq 0\ \forall k} \sum_{i} \log\ [\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \prod_{t\in T_i} \frac{e^{\beta_{k,j_{i,t}}}}{\sum_{l\in S_{i,t}} e^{\beta_{k,l}}}]$$

We introduce latent variable z_i which is the class membership of each customer i. The complete-data log-likelihood function can be written as

$$l_c(\underline{z}, \underline{y}; \underline{\theta}) = \sum_i \sum_k \mathbb{1}[z_i = k] \log \left[\left(\prod_{t \in T_i} \frac{e^{\beta_{k, j_{i, t}}}}{\sum_{l \in S_{i, t}} e^{\beta_{k, l}}} \right) \alpha_k \right]$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_k \mathbb{1}[z_i = k] \left[\log \alpha_k + \sum_{t \in T_i} \log \frac{e^{\beta_{k, j_{i, t}}}}{\sum_{l \in S_{i, t}} e^{\beta_{k, l}}} \right]$$

Current iterate $\underline{\alpha}^{(t)}$, $\beta^{(t)}$.

E-step:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{z}|\underline{y},\underline{\theta}^{(t)}}[l_c(\underline{z},\underline{y};\underline{\theta})] &= \mathbb{E}_{\underline{z}|Data,\underline{\alpha}^{(t)},\underline{\beta}^{(t)}}[\sum_i \sum_k \mathbbm{1}[z_i = k] \; [\log \, \alpha_k + \sum_{t \in T_i} \log \, \frac{e^{\beta_{k,j_{i,t}}}}{\sum_{l \in S_{i,t}} e^{\beta_{k,l}}}]] \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{k=1}^K h_{ik}^{(t)}[\log \, \alpha_k + \sum_{t \in T_i} \log \, \frac{e^{\beta_{k,j_{i,t}}}}{\sum_{l \in S_{i,t}} e^{\beta_{k,l}}}] \\ \text{where } h_{ik}^{(t)} &= \mathbb{E}_{\underline{z}|Data,\underline{\alpha}^{(t)},\underline{\beta}^{(t)}}[\mathbbm{1}[z_i = k]] = Pr(z_i = k|Data,\underline{\alpha}^{(t)},\underline{\beta}^{(t)}) \\ &= \frac{Pr(Data_i|z_i = k,\underline{\alpha}^{(t)},\underline{\beta}^{(t)})Pr(z_i = k)}{\sum_{k'} Pr(Data_i|z_i = k',\underline{\alpha}^{(t)},\underline{\beta}^{(t)})Pr(z_i = k')} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k^{(t)} \prod_{t \in T_i} (e^{\beta_{k,j_{i,t}}^{(t)}}/\sum_{l \in S_{i,t}} e^{\beta_{k,l}^{(t)}})}{\sum_{k'} \alpha_{k'}^{(t)} \prod_{t \in T_i} (e^{\beta_{k',j_{i,t}}^{(t)}}/\sum_{l \in S_{i,t}} e^{\beta_{k',l}^{(t)}})} \\ \text{M-step:} \end{split}$$

$$\max_{\underline{\alpha},\ \underline{\beta}:\ \sum \alpha_k=1,\ \alpha_k\geq 0\ \forall k} \sum_i \sum_{k=1}^K h^{(t)}_{ik} [\ \log \ \alpha_k + \sum_{t\in T_i} \log \frac{e^{\beta_{k,j_{i,t}}}}{\sum_{l\in S_{i,t}} e^{\beta_{k,l}}}]$$

The above optimization problem is separable in $\underline{\alpha}$ & β . Optimizing over $\underline{\alpha}$ we get

$$\alpha_k^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_i h_{ik}^{(t)}}{\sum_{k'} \sum_i h_{ik'}^{(t)}}$$

$$\beta_k^{(t+1)} = argmax \sum_i h_{ik}^{(t)} \sum_{t \in T_i} log \frac{e^{\beta_{k,j_{i,t}}}}{\sum_{l \in S_{i,t}} e^{\beta_{k,l}}} \qquad \forall k$$

Implementation note: In order to determine $\beta_k^{(t+1)}$, you can use $\beta_k^{(t)}$ as the initial solution. So, we can do the updates in a "lazy" fashion by running only one MM update step. We can write

$$\beta_{k,j}^{(t+1)} = \beta_{k,j}^{(t)} + \log \frac{\sum_{i} h_{ik}^{(t)} \sum_{t \in T_{i}} \mathbb{1}[j = j_{i,t}]}{\sum_{i} h_{ik}^{(t)} \sum_{t \in T_{i}} \mathbb{P}_{k}^{(t)}[j | S_{i,t}]}$$

Frank-Wolfe algorithm for estimating a rank-based choice model

set up: We have n items. We have observation of the form

 $f_{j,S}$ = fraction of purchases of item j when S was offered for a collection of offer sets $S_1, S_2, ..., S_m$.

<u>Model</u>: We assume that the data are generated as follows. The population is described by a generation distribution (PMF). Over all possible rankings/pref lists of the n items. In particular, λ_{σ} is the probability of sampling σ , where $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} = 1$, $\lambda_{\sigma} \geq 0 \ \forall \sigma$, when given an offer set S, the customer samples a preference list σ according to $\underline{\lambda}$ and chooses the most preferred item from S according to σ .

Estimation: We estimate the model through MLE.

$$l(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in S_i} (\log \mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(j|S_i) \ f_{j,S_i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in S_i} (\log \left(\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \mathbb{1}[\sigma(j) < \sigma(k) \ \forall k \in S_i \backslash \{j\}] \right) \ f_{j,S_i})$$

$$\equiv j \text{ is most preferred among items in } S_i \text{ under } \sigma$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in S_i} ((\log \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \mathbb{1}[\sigma; j; S_i]) \ f_{j,S_i})$$

The MLE problem now become

$$\max_{\underline{\lambda}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in S_i} f_{j,S_i} \log(\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \mathbb{1}[\sigma, j, S_i])$$

$$s.t. \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} = 1 \quad \lambda_{\sigma} \ge 0 \quad \forall \sigma$$

Because the objective is concave in the variable λ_{σ} and the constraints are linear, the above optimization problem is a convex program, albeit a large dimensional one.

Remarks:

- 1. In general, the above program has multiple optima. For tractability reasons, we choose a solution that has a small support size, where the support of $\underline{\lambda}$ is defined as $\{\sigma : \lambda_{\sigma} > 0\}$.
- 2. Consider the following reformulation of the optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \sum_{\underline{\lambda},\underline{g}}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{j \in S_{i}} f_{j,S_{i}} \ \log \ g_{j,S_{i}} \\ s.t. \ g_{j,S_{i}} &= \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \mathbb{1}[\sigma; j; S_{i}] \ \ \forall j \in S_{i}, \ i = 1,...,m \\ &\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} = 1, \ \lambda_{\sigma} \geq 0 \ \ \forall \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the following vectorization. Let $L = \sum_{i=1}^m |S_i|$ and $\underline{g} \in \mathbb{R}^L$ s.t. $(\underline{g})_{j,S_i} = g_{j,S_i}$. Also, for any σ , let $\underline{e}_{\sigma} \in \{0,1\}^L$ s.t. $(\underline{e}_{\sigma})_{j,S_i} = \mathbbm{1}[\sigma;j;S_i]$. We can rewrite the above optimization problem as

$$\max_{\underline{g}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in S_i} f_{j,S_i} \log g_{j,S_i}$$

$$s.t. \ g \in conv(\{\underline{e}_{\sigma} : \sigma\})$$

Remarks:

Suppose \underline{g}^* is an optimal solution to the above program. It follows from Caratheodory's Theorem that \exists a convex decomposition of \underline{g}^* in terms of \underline{e}_{σ} with support size at most L+1.