## Homework W2

## Nguyen Ba Dang Khoi

## September 2021

## 1 Multivariate Gaussian Distribution

The distribution is normalized if the area under the curve is equal to 1.

Assume

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp^{(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu))} dx$$

Set

$$\begin{split} \Delta^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (x^{\mathsf{T}} - \mu^{\mathsf{T}}) \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1} x + \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1} \mu + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1} x - \frac{1}{2} \mu^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1} \mu \end{split} \tag{1}$$

With

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mu^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu$$

is a constant, so we will mark it as "const."

Now, we proof that:

$$\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}x$$

Firstly, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu\in R => (\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu)^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu \tag{2}$$

Secondly, we have

$$(\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}x \text{ (because } (a^{\mathsf{T}}b)^{\mathsf{T}} = b^{\mathsf{T}}a)$$
(3)

From (2), (3)

$$=>\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu=\frac{1}{2}\mu^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}x$$

Continue from (1), we have

$$\Delta^2 = -\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}x + x^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}\mu + const. \tag{4}$$

Equation (4) will be used later for conditional Gaussian distribution proof.

Consider eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and eigenvectors u of  $\Sigma$ :

$$\Sigma u_i = \lambda u_i$$

PROOF: If A is a symmetric matrix then its eigenvectors are orthorgonal For any real matrix A and any vectors x and y, we have

$$< Ax, y> = < x, A^{\mathsf{T}}, y>$$

Now assume that A is symmetric, and x and y are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , then

$$\lambda < x, y > = < \lambda x, y > = < Ax, y > = < x, A^{\mathsf{T}}y > = < x, Ay > = < x, \mu y > = \mu < x, y > .$$

Therefore,  $(\lambda - \mu) < x, y >= 0$ . Since  $\lambda - \mu \neq 0$ , then jx,y; = 0, i.e,  $x \perp y$ .

 $\Sigma$  can be written as follows

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}} => \Sigma^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}}$$

PROOF (HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO PROOF IT YET):

Tf

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}}$$

then

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}}$$

With  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^{-1}$ , we have the new  $\Delta^2$ 

$$\Delta^{2} = -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} (x - \mu)^{\mathsf{T}} u_{i} u_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}(x - \mu)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{y_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}}, \text{ with } y_{i} = u_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}(x - \mu)$$
(5)

And

$$|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\prod_{i=1}^{D} \lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (6)

We have

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp^{(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu))} dx$$

From p(x), (5) and (6), we have the new p(y)

$$p(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp^{-\frac{y_i^2}{2\lambda_i}}$$

Then we have the new I equals to

$$=> I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(y) dy$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{D} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp^{-\frac{y_i^2}{2\lambda_i}} dy_i$$
(7)

Leave equation (7) aside for a bit, we have a well-known equation

$$\int \exp^{-\frac{1}{2}at^2} = (\frac{2\pi}{a})^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8}$$

Going back to equation (7), we have

$$I = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp^{-\frac{y_i^2}{2\lambda_i}} dy_i$$

Apply equation (8) with 
$$a = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} (2\pi\lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  
=>  $I = 1$