

# May 2013

# MITIGATION MONITORING REPORT Reach 6 - 2<sup>nd</sup> YEAR

Revelation Energy, LLC KDNR Permit No. 813-0357 Corps ID No. 2000-1696

Responsible Organization Revelation Energy, LLC 160 Lank Branch Suite 2 Pikeville, KY 41501

<u>Project Location</u> Big Laurel Branch of Big Caney Creek Breathitt County, KY

> Date of Preparation May 2013

Prepared by:
Summit Engineering, Inc.
131 Summit Drive
Pikeville, KY 41501
Tel: 606 | 432-1447
Fax: 606 | 432-1440

# Revelation Energy, LLC KDNR Permit No. 813-0357 USACE ID No. LRL-2000-1696 Mitigation Reach 6

## **PROJECT OVERVIEW**

#### Introduction

Revelation Energy, LLC has been charged with performing remedial stream enhancement work as part of a compensatory mitigation package approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) for KDNR Permit No. 813-0357 (formerly KDNR Permit No. 813-0341 and 813-0306) Corps ID No. LRL-2000-1696. A detailed work plan for all mitigation was outlined in the Compensatory Mitigation Plan (CMP) prepared by Walturn Engineering, Inc. of Hueysville, Kentucky, dated June 2003. The mitigation performed for Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6) will serve to partially mitigate losses associated with the placement of fill or dredged material into the jurisdictional waters of the U.S. under the Nationwide 21 permit authorized by the Louisville District of the COE. This report specifically addresses the second year mitigation status of Reach 6.

In-kind mitigation for both temporary and permanent impacts will consist of off-site stream restoration and enhancement of 5214 linear feet of the Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6), though construction was halted after 3,092 linear feet of stream mitigation in 2010 due to inclement weather conditions. This reach is a tributary of Big Caney Creek of Quicksand Creek of the North Fork Kentucky River in Breathitt County, Kentucky. The mitigation project utilizes the East Kentucky Stream Assessment Protocol (EKSAP) to establish both preand post-mitigation work stream function for impacted and mitigation stream reaches as applicable.

The Nationwide 21 authorization for KDNR No. 813-0357 states that impacts to jurisdictional waters would result in a net loss of EIUs. The ultimate post-mitigation goal is to produce an EII Rating of 0.50 at maturity for Reach 6, resulting in an EIU value of 2,607.00. Attaining this post-mitigation goal would mean a net increase of 1,616.34 EIUs.

The restoration and enhancement of Reach 6 was initiated in the fall and winter 2010 by R&R Excavating, with field visits and inspection conducted by Summit Engineering, Inc. personnel. The construction report was submitted in January, 2011. This construction report states that the construction had to halt at station 30+92 due to inclement weather. A report for the first year of monitoring was submitted by Aquatic Resource Management in December of 2011. The following field visits / surveys were conducted in 2013 as part of the 2012 mitigation monitoring following the transfer of the project to Revelation Energy, LLC.

Field Visits/ Surveys Conducted by Summit Engineering, Inc.

		Parameters Measured or Assessed		
Reach	each Channel Conductivity, Habitat Evaluation, Riparian Vegetation Evaluation, Substrate Stree Assessment, and Maintenance Evaluation		Stream Channel Survey	
6	Big Laurel Branch	2/8/13	2/7/13 and 3/28/13	

After two monitoring years, Reach 6 is progressing toward performance standards.

### **Project Description**

#### Reach 6

Big Laurel Branch is a second-order intermittent/ perennial tributary of Big Caney Creek of Quicksand Creek of the North Fork Kentucky River in Breathitt County, Kentucky. The mitigation project begins as the confluence of Big Caney Creek at 37° 35' 33" N, 83° 08' 38" W and continues upstream for 3,092 feet to 37° 36' 01" N, 83° 08' 42" W to the location where construction was halted due to inclement weather. Following

the completion of construction the project will continue upstream for a total of 5,214 linear feet. See Appendix A for additional project location information.

#### **REQUIREMENTS**

## **Review of Compensation Goals**

The authorized CMP outlined six parameters to be measured annually in order to measure success and/or failure of the mitigation projects. Bioassessment scores, conductivity, propriety and function of stream enhancement structures, bank stability, and riparian zone vegetation density and diversity are to be evaluated annually. These parameters are to be evaluated from the confluence of Big Laurel Branch with Big Caney Creek at 37° 35' 33" N, 83° 08' 38" W upstream for 3,092 feet in Reach 6 to 37° 36' 01" N, 83° 08' 42" W. Following the completion of construction these parameters are to be evaluated for the entire proposed mitigation length of 5,214 linear feet from the confluence of Big Laurel Branch with Big Caney Creek. See Appendix A for additional project location information.

The primary goals of the mitigation projects for Reach 6 are to improve aquatic biodiversity within the watershed, to reduce sediment loading by watershed improvements and improving bank stability, and to improve riparian functions. Please find below a table outlining the proposed improvements in EII ratings and EIU values for these reaches as compared to the pre-mitigation scores.

Reach	Pre-Mitigation EIU Value	5-Year Post- Mitigation EII Rating Goal	5-Year Post- Mitigation EIU Value	Net Increase of EIUs
6	990.66	0.5	2,607.00	1616.34

#### **Compensatory Mitigation Details**

According to the as-built Construction Report dated January, 2011, designs were developed by Abbot Engineering, Inc. and Summit Engineering, Inc. was contracted to inspect R&R Excavating's construction of these designs. The mitigation project was initiated in the fall and winter of 2010, and halted at station 30+92 due to inclement weather. Following the construction, Aquatic Resources Management of Lexington, Kentucky was contracted to evaluate bioassessment scores, conductivity, propriety and function of stream enhancement structures, bank stability, and riparian zone vegetation density and diversity as well as author the first annual monitoring report. Following the pending transfer of the KDNR Permit No. 813-0357 from Laurel Mountain Resources, LLC to KDNR Permit No. 813-0385 under Revelation Energy, LLC, Summit Engineering, Inc. was contracted to assume these monitoring and reporting responsibilities and provide input on any repairs that may be required if the success criteria is not met. Included in the original permit application as well as the Construction Report was the Success Criteria and Monitoring Plan. Refer to Table I.

The authorized CMP proposed to utilize in-stream and watershed restoration and enhancement techniques to improve the functions of Reach 6, a watershed impacted by mining, timbering, and natural gas/oil activities. Before mitigation efforts were utilized, these reaches appeared to be impaired from past mining and logging activities as substantial amounts of sediment have removed and replaced natural aquatic habitat.

#### Success Criteria

The success of off-site mitigation areas will be based upon attainment of the RBP habitat parameter values and admissible specific conductivity measurements such that the five year EII goals for each mitigation area are met. The predicted EII values which are to be in-place at the end of the five year monitoring period are listed in Table I. As EII values are calculated with RBP parameter scores, it will be acceptable for an individual habitat parameter to be lower than predicted as long as the resulting loss is offset by an

unpredicted gain in one or more other parameters. Increase in RBP habitat scores will be verified through field investigations and specific conductivity will be measured annually. In addition, success criteria for the physical conditions and revegetation success of the mitigation areas are as follows:

- Mitigation areas should show no signs of substantial erosion.
- Stream enhancement structures should be in-place and properly functioning.
- Determination of successful tree and shrub stocking of the revegetated area will utilize the following standards:
  - O A minimum stocking density of 436 trees or trees/shrubs per acre determined with a statistical confidence of 90 percent, with tree (not shrub) species comprising at least 75% of the total stock, shall be achieved on at least 70 percent of the area stocked.
  - O At least 6 species of trees and shrubs shall be planted in a mixed distribution pattern with each of the 6 species comprising at least 10 percent of the total stock; however, none of the species shall comprise more than 50% of the total stock.
  - O Should unwanted invading non-native non-riparian vegetative species become prevalent within any area, they will be controlled or eliminated by mechanical or manual methods.
  - Volunteer native riparian vegetation will be encouraged.

Table I. Predicted Five Year EII Scores by Reach

Mitigation Type	Mitigation Reach	Predicted Post-Mitigation EII Score (5 Years)	
Off-Site	Reach 6: Big Laurel Branch	0.5	

#### Monitoring Plan

The monitoring and management plan will evaluate the success of the mitigation work and will allow for any necessary adjustments to assure success of the mitigation site. Short term plans for all mitigation sites are limited to achieving the required improvement and/or attainment of performance standards and aquatic functions as described previously. The success of the mitigation work will is dependent upon achieving success standards previously described. Thus, the success of the mitigation work will be determined by monitoring the parameters in Table II.

Table II. Monitoring Parameters

Parameter	Frequency of Assessment	
Bioassessment Score	Assess and complete RBP at target reference points annually	
Conductivity	Measure at target reference points annually	
Propriety and Function of Stream Enhancement Structures	Assess and document annually	
Bank Condition	Assess and document annually	
Vegetation Density	Assess and Document Annually	
Vegetation Diversity	Assess and Document Annually	

# Monitoring Methods

An annual site visit will be conducted in order to determine the progress of the mitigation project. Following are the parameters and the methodologies that were utilized in 2013 to assess the 2012 monitoring period:

• <u>Bioassessment Score</u> – The U.S. EPA's Rapid Bioassessment Protocol for Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers was utilized to assess each of the previously-determined evaluation sites, to be

- compared to the pre-work habitat values. RBP sheets and EII calculation sheets are included in Appendices B and C, respectively.
- <u>Conductivity</u> Conductivity was obtained using digital meters and recorded on the RBP sheets which can be found in Appendix B.
- <u>Stream Morphology</u>— Summit Engineering, Inc. personnel, utilizing standard surveying methods as
  described in the approved mitigation plan, conducted surveying of the mitigation reaches. The
  surveyed cross sections are included in Appendix A. In addition photographic documentation of
  stream bank stabilization measures and enhancement structures can be found in Appendix E. A
  discussion of the bank stability and enhancement structure evaluation can be found in the Summary
  Data section of this report.
- <u>Riparian Vegetation</u> A field evaluation of the previous plantings throughout the restored riparian zones, including tree and shrub transects, was completed to assess the density and diversity riparian zone revegetation. Tree transect field sheets and summary tables can be found in Appendix D. Photographic documentation of ground cover is included in Appendix E.

### **SUMMARY DATA**

Table III. Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6) Monitoring Results

Water Quality Parameters and Bioassessment Scores of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6)					
Parameter Immediately After Mitigation 2010		Year 1 2011	Year 2 2012		
Average RBP Score	109	137	126		
Conductivity (uhmos)	Data Not Reported	Data Not Reported	332.5		
Average EII Score	0.19	Data Not Reported	0.43		
Average Temperature (°C)	Data Not Reported	Data Not Reported	6.5		
Average pH (SU)	Data Not Reported	Data Not Reported	8.7		
Average Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Data Not Reported	Data Not Reported	12.2		

Enhancement Structure Status of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6)				
Monitoring Year	Comments			
Immediately After Mitigation 2010	The following structures have been included in the enhancement design: boulder clusters, single and double deflectors, log sills, step pools, root wads, and rock riffles			
Year 1 2011	Rock and log cross vanes were installed at designated intervals within each segment to increase sediment transport and create macroinvertebrate habitat.			
Year 2 2012	Some log deflectors now lie above water level, while some log sills are now submerged. Cribbing structures remain in place for the most part. Other log sills, boulder clusters, J-Hooks, step pools, and rock riffles all functioning.			

	Bank Stability of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6)				
Monitoring Year	RBP Score				
Immediately After Mitigation 2010	Data Not Reported				
Year 1	Sub-optimal - moderately stable, infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly				
2011	healed over, 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion				
	Monitoring Location 6: Sub-optimal - moderately stable, infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over, 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion				
Year 2 2012	Monitoring Location 4: Poor to Suboptimal- The left bank scoring in the poor range being unstable with many eroded areas; 60-100% of the bank with erosional areas. The right bank, however, scored in the sub-optimal range with moderately stable, infrequent, small areas of erosion that are mostly healed over; 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.				

Revelation Energy, LLC						
Tree and Shr	Tree and Shrub Assessment of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6) Monitoring Location 4					
Species	Common Name	Number of Individuals Within Reach		Total By	Percent of	
•		Right Bank	Left Bank	Species	Population	
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	2	3	5	8.20	
Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	2	1	3	4.92	
Carpinus caroliniana	Ironwood	5	0	5	8.20	
Fagus grandifolia	American Beech	8	1	9	14.75	
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tulip Poplar	0	3	3	4.92	
Magnolia macrophylla	Large Leaf Magnolia	0	7	7	11.48	
Platanus occidentalis	Sycamore	0	2	2	3.28	
Tsuga canadensis	Eastern Hemlock	5	0	5	8.20	
Trees in total	TOTAL Trees in total Riparian Zone ( 5,000 Square Feet)		)			
	Trees per Square Foot		078			
Trees 1	Trees per acre		768			

Revelation Energy, LLC					
Tree and Shrub Assessment of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6) Monitoring Location 6					
Species	pecies Common Name	Number of Individuals Within Reach		Total By	Percent of
•		Right Bank	Left Bank	Species	Population
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	2	0	2	3.28
Betula lenta	Sweet Birch	3	0	3	4.92
Fagus grandifolia	American Beech	1	1	2	3.28
Oxydendrum arboreum	Sourwood	2	0	2	3.28
Platanus occidentalis	Sycamore	1	0	1	1.64
Quercus alba	White Oak	0	3	3	4.92
Rhododendron calendulaceum	Rhododendron	7	0	7	11.48
Tsuga canadensis	Eastern Hemlock	20	36	56	91.80
TOTAL Trees in total Riparian Zone ( 5,000 Square Feet)		76			
	Trees per Square Foot		52		
Trees per a	Trees per acre		.12		

Vegetation Density and Diversity Summary of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6)					
Goals	Year 2 (2012)				
Goals	Monitoring Location 4	Monitoring Location 6			
> 436 stems/acre	339 stems per acre (22% below stocking goal)	662 stems per acre (51% above stocking goal)			
Tree species > 75% of stems/acre	Tree species comprise 100% of stems/acre	Tree species comprise 88.52% of stems/acre			
> 6 species of trees and shrubs	8 species	8 species			
Each species ≥ 10% of stems/acre, but < 50%	No species comprises as much as 50% of the population. However, only two species comprise more than 10% of the population.	Tsuga Canadensis comprises 91.80% of the population. Only one other species comprises as much as 10%.			
Presence of invasive species	None noted.	None noted.			

Percent less than	Year 2 (2012) Particle Size (mm)	
	Site 4	Site 6
D16	17.697	8.288
D35	24.07	13.66
D50	30.1	18.4
D65	39	24
D84	56	31
D95	114	41

	Channel Dimensions of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6)			
Parameter		Year 2 (2012)		
Average Channel Width (ft)		11.60		
Averag	e Channel Depth (ft)	0.92		
Avera	ge Water Depth (ft)	0.35		
Average	Left Descending Bank	9.94 : 1		
Bank Slope	Right Descending Bank	2.85:1		

### **Current Mitigation Status Summary**

The primary goals of the mitigation projects for Reach 6 are to improve aquatic biodiversity within the watersheds, to reduce sediment loading by watershed improvements and improving bank stability, and to improve riparian functions. The post mitigation goal is to produce an EII rating of 0.5 in Reach 6 within 5 years after construction. Currently Reach 6 has an average EII rating of 0.43 (an average of both EII ratings at Monitoring Location 4: 0.31 and Monitoring Location 6: 0.55 after the 2<sup>nd</sup> year of monitoring.

The average conductivity measurement for Reach 6 is 332.5. It is anticipated that the conductivity levels for this channel will continue to decline throughout the monitoring period as areas of erosion heal with vegetation and sediments are flushed from the channels.

For the most part, the stream enhancement structures lie in their original placements and are functioning well. These enhancement structures are functioning to sequester sediments and increase dissolved oxygen while protecting stream banks. Enhancement structures are being assimilated into these reaches and aiding in their return to natural settings where populations of aquatic organisms associated with lotic habitats can thrive as they once did before impacts occurred. Minor maintenance is needed on a few enhancement structures and this will be addressed during a period of low flow in future monitoring periods, as necessary. See appendix E for photographic documentation of stream enhancement structure placement and function.

For the most part the bank stability of Reach 6 has remained in the sub-optimal range, however the bank stability of some areas within Reach 6 has declined slightly since the first year evaluation from a ranking of sub-optimal to one of poor. The right bank of monitoring location 6 is experiencing more heavy erosion than other banks within the locations monitored for 2012. Maintenance of these erosional areas will be addressed during a period of low flow in future monitoring periods, as necessary.

Monitoring location 6 has met and exceeded the standard stocking goal of 436 tree and/or shrub stems per acre as well as the diversity requirement that these stems are comprised of more than 6 individual species. While monitoring location 4 has met the diversity requirement of at least 6 tree and shrub species, the standing population in this area is still 22% below the standard stocking goal. In addition, no invasive species have been noted within these reaches for the second monitoring year. However, the requirement that each of the six species comprise a minimum of 10% of the standing population, but no more than 50%, has not yet been achieved. It may be necessary in future monitoring periods to initiate additional tree planting to meet the diversity goals, though it is still early in the monitoring period.

Each of the reaches had temperature, pH, and dissolved oxygen results sufficient for the support of macroinvertebrate populations. Additionally, the mitigation project in Reach 6 is making progress toward not only the stocking goal of 300 stems per acre, but also the post-mitigation EII rating goals. This mitigation project is progressing toward the primary goal of improving aquatic biodiversity by providing stable and diverse habitats. Continued improvements to bank stability and riparian function, as well as the maintenance of the enhancement structures, will lead to reduced sediment loading and lower conductivity measurements.

Though natural succession will improve diversity and aid in healing erosional areas, additional re-vegetation efforts may prove necessary, as it is still early in the five-year monitoring period. Further, continued increases in tree density and growth will not only aid in bank stabilization, but will also lead to increased shading of the stream, lowering temperatures and contributing to an increased capacity to retain dissolved oxygen concentrations, as well as contributing allochthonous materials which will support benthic macroinvertebrate colonization and detrital food chains, thus supporting improvements to aquatic biodiversity within these reaches.





SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC. HIGH GRADIENT FIELD DATA SHEET AND RBP

Stream Na	me		B.C.	/we	el W	self	Client			KR	NE.	w.	y .	
Site Numb	er		(0	4			Project	Name		111	NEN itys	17		
Latitude (d	d-mm-ss)	1	37.5	9 3	Tef		County			1/30	mesti.	11		
Longitude	(dd-mm-s	s)	483	1114	177		Quadra	ngle		Kan V U	207/0	<u>u</u>	·····	
General Lo	cation			7_1			Field To	chnician(s)		1/3	1115			
Reach Leng	ztin		100				Date &			CA	71 )		100	
			100		****						<u>~</u>	<u> </u>	1413	
	□ Sunny	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	□ Steady R:	ia I	Weather	WEATHER			1 5	P7 - 1 .	T _ &			
Weather	Cloud		□ Heavy R		Past 24	☐ Sunny ☐ Cloudy		Steady Rain Heavy Rain		Veather Last 7	☐ Sunn	•	□ Stead	
Now	□ Show		□ Temp: 4	<u>B</u> %F	Hours	□ Showers	. 0	Snow		Days	□ Show		☐ Snov	•
					FIELD WA	TER CHEM	ISTRY DA	та & Рно	ros			i		
pH (S	.U.)	Т	emperature	(°C)	Dissolved	O2 (mg/L)	Cond	uctivity (µS)		Velocity	(ft/s)		Picture	#
8.0	<u>e</u>		6.3		11.0	18	3	13		1.40		11/	7-458	2
	,			ST	PRAM CLAS	SIFICATION	i & War	DD DESCRI	TION					
Stream Sul	bsystem	o E	hemeral	- 01	\$ Intermit		□ Peren		TION					
Stream '	Туре	-	ligh-Gradie	nt	□ Headwa		□ Wade			Warm-wat	er	n (	old-water	
Odo	re	o.K	one		□ Sewage		□ Eggs	.,	0	Petroleum	·	o (	Other:	
Surface		01	/		🗅 Slick		🗆 Sheer	1	0	Globs		o F	lecks	
Turbic	lity	ac	lear		□ Slightly	turbid	O Turbi	d	0	Opaque		o S	tained	
			/		Cro	SS-SECTION	N DATA 8	k FLOW						
Across (	( )	/								T				
Depth (	)								1					
Stream I		o D	•	Pooled	1 0	Low	□ Norm	al			Area (sq.	ft)	L	
Observ	ed	οН	gh c	Very I	Rapid						Flow (cf	s)		
			_		P	HYSICAL DI	ESCRIPTI	ONS						
Immediate L						□ Logging		ture 🗆 Resid	ential	🛘 Comme	rcial 🗆 I	ndustri	al □ Mini	ng
Use & Struct	ures	D Da		lvert	□ Bridge	□ Paved R	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Gravel Road	1 (	Dirt Roa		Other:		
<b>-</b>		0	Trees			illy Exposed (		. 1		<u>Ve</u> g	etative S	pecies		
Vegetati Assessm		"עם	Grasse	3	i	rtially Expose		1						
Maacaain	ent		Shrubs		1 .	rtially Shaded								
Describe Su	batests	1).	Herbac	cous	o Fu	lly Shaded (7								
		<u>Be</u>	J Rock	<u> Mr</u>	11 Jak	- VVV-	ALSO.							
Riffle Varia	iointy		Shallow Ri	illes [	Moderate I	Killies 🗆 1	hick Riffle	es	Rim	e%	Pool	_% R	ın%	
AQUATI			Αι	DITIO	NAL COMM	ENTS		Į-	ABITA	T SAMPL	ED		AM	OUNT
Salamand							0			ot (6 jabs e				
Crayfish										tation/Ju				
Frogs Mollusk							-			8 [H=2-4 s				
Fishes	<del></del>	2								[H=5 (po			-	
Beaver Dam		<del>,</del>					0		***********	ts [3 (1 fr		me)j	-	<u> </u>
Algae/Peripl		-								sweeps (p 9 (3 from		1	-	
Aquatic Vege		-					<u>.</u>	TVAI		uchs (3 ja		'3	+	
					***************************************			<del></del>		(2)3	~°/			

# SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.

HIGH GRADIENT FIELD DATA SHEET AND RBP Layel Br. Stream Name Client Site Number Project Name Latitude (dd-mm-ss) County Longitude (dd-mm-ss) Quadrangle General Location Field Technician(s) Reach Length Date & Time WEATHER CONDITIONS □ Sunny □ Steady Rain Weather Sunny □ Steady Rain Weather D-Stinny □ Steady Rain Weather 2 Cloudy ☐ Heavy Rain, ☐ Temp: 10 F Past 24 Cloudy □ Heavy Rain Last 7 Cloudy □ Heavy Rain Now □ Showers Hours □ Showers □ Snow Days & Showers □ Snow FIELD WATER CHEMISTRY DATA & PHOTOS Temperature (°C) Dissolved O2 (mg/L) Conductivity (µS) Velocity (ft/s) Picture # 17-65% STREAM CLASSIFICATION & WATER DESCRIPTION Stream Subsystem Ephemeral - Intermittent D Perennial Stream Type √ High-Gradient □ Headwater □ Wadeable □ Warm-water Cold-water Odors D None O Sewage O Eggs Petroleum D Other: Surface Oils e None □ Slick □ Sheen □ Globs □ Flecks Turbidity Clear □ Slightly turbid □ Turbid □ Opaque □ Stained **CROSS-SECTION DATA & FLOW** Across ( Depth ( Stream Flow o Dry □ Pooled Area (sq. ft) □ Low □ Normal Observed o High Very Rapid Flow (cfs) PHYSICAL DESCRIPTIONS □ Partial Forest d Forest □ Field Immediate Land □ Logging □ Agriculture □ Residential □ Commercial □ Industrial □ Mining Use & Structures o Dany □ Culvert □ Bridge □ Paved Road □ Gravel Road Dirt Road Other: Trees Vegetative Species Fully Exposed (0-25%) Grasses Partially Exposed (25-50%) 0 Vegetation Assessment Shrubs Partially Shaded (50-75%) Herbaceous Fully Shaded (75-100%) Describe Substrate □ Moderate Riffles Riffle Variability □ Shallow Riffles □ Thick Riffles Riffle % Pool % Run % **AQUATIC LIFE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS** HABITAT SAMPLED AMOUNT TUTAL Photop FOR Salamanders Undercut/Root (6 jabs & 1 for roots) Crayfish 0 Aquatic Vegetation/Justicia (3 jabs) Reach le -BLB 0 Frogs 0 Woody Debris [H=2-4 m, W=3-6 m] 117-4565 +0 117659 Mollusks 0 Rocks Picks [H=5 (pools), W=15] **Fishes** Sieved Sediments [3 (1 from ea regime)] Beaver Damage Bedrock [3 sweeps (pool/run)]

0

Leaf Packs [9 (3 from ea regime)]

Aufwuchs (3 jabs)

Algae/Periphyton

Aquatic Vegetation

0



#### Ell Calculation for High Gradient Streams in Eastern Kentucky Coalfield (Version 2002.6) \*\*(Family Level Taxonomy - All Habitats)\*\*

Protest Ct. Parolellor Energy, J.C. Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6) Monitoring Location 4 Simulificacii: Assessment Objectives I and Annual Monitoring Period

EII	Model	
NA NA	Ecological Integrity Index (M	IBI + Habitat Integrity + Conductivity)
0.34	Rectagical Integrity Index ( )	tablist integrity + Conductivity)
	Control of the Contro	

Variables

Measure Units

Enter quantitative or categorical measure from Field Data Sheet in shaded cells RBP Habitat Parameters

>>>>>>

1. Epifaunal Substrate	12	no units
2. Embeddedness	9	no units
3. Velocity/Depth Regime	. 11	no units
4. Sediment Deposition	10	no units
5. Channel Flow Status	18	no units
6. Channel Alteration	12	no units
7. Freq. Of Riffles (bends)	11	no units
8. Bank stability (both combined)	8	no units
9. Veg. Protection (both combined)	₹ 7 ±	no units
10. Riparian Width (both combined)	8	no units

Total Habitat Score 106 no units Subindex Macroinvertebrate Data - Family Level (All Habitats) 11. Family Taxa Richness # of taxa sampled 12. Family EPT Richness # of EPT species sampled 13. % Ephemeroptera 14. % Chironomidae & Oligochaeta % Mayflies (0-100) % Midges & Worms (0-100) 15. mFBI no units 343

# Ell Calculation for High Gradient Streams in Eastern Kentucky Coalfield (Version 2002.6) \*\*(Family Level Taxonomy - All Habitats)\*\*

Project ID: Revelation Energy, LLC

Stream/Reach: Big Lauret Branch (Reach & Monitoring Location & Assessment Objectives: 2nd Annual Monitoring Period

>>>>>>

EII		Model
NA:	Ecologica	I Integrity Index (MBI + Habitat Integrity + Conductivity)
0.88	Ecologica	t Interitiy figlex (Habitat Integrity F Conductivity)
Variables	Measure	Units
Enter quantitative or categorical measure from I	Field Data Shee	t in shaded cells
RBP Habitat Parameters		
1. Epifaunal Substrate	11	units
2. Embeddedness		ne units
3. Velocity/Depth Regime		nits
4. Sediment Deposition	13	units
5. Channel Flow Status	16	units
6. Channel Alteration		ing units
7. Freq. Of Riffles (bends)		ne units
8. Bank stability (both combined)		units
9. Veg. Protection (both combined)	13	ine units
10. Riparian Width (both combined)		units
Total Habitat Score	146	no units Subindex

Macroinvertebrate Data - Family Level (All Habitats)

11. Family Taxa Richness
12. Family EPT Richness
13. % Ephemeroptera
14. % Chironomidae & Oligochaeta
15. mFBI

322



Tree Density for Mitigati	_	
Company: Ker Eiren	Stream Name: Pilo	wel Br 6.4
•	Long:	
Species: Brech	Species: TRUN WOOD Seedlings:	Species: Lison Maple Seedlings:
Seedlings:	Seedlings:	Scedlings:
Saplings:	Saplings:	Saplings:
7/7/-	<del>////</del>	
DBH: 16.3, 16.3, 10.2	DBH:	DBH: 12,5,13.4,
,		
	F1 (44,00)	
Species: Specific Hem Scedlings:	Species: Led/Ney)U. Seedlings:	Species: Seedlings:
Saplings:	Saplings:	Saplings:
DBH: B.J. G.Z. 7.O	DBH: 81, 79, 1002	DBH:
,		
		1
		ļ

Tree Density for Mitigation	on Monitoring Sites	
Company: Rev Every	Stream Name: 1316	Herri Br-6-4
Site No.: 19-4 Lat: 37.	Stream Name: 1396 59374 Long: 83.14	1427 Date: 3-8-/3
Species: Si virue:	Species: Rid Muple	Species: Della
Seedlings:	Seedlings:	Seedlings:
Saplings:	Saplings:	Saplings:
DBH: 1-2-3, 19.5,	DBH:	DBH:
Species: 112 ITAL May	Species Sugar Music	Species: Talin Tree
Species: 1/2 1/AV May Seedlings: ()	Species: Size Music Seedlings:	Species: 7. 1128 Seedlings:
Saplings: 	Saplings:	Saplings:
DBH: 4.0, 7.9, 6.5,	DBH: 10,5	DBH: 12-3,11-2

	Revelatio	Revelation Energy, LLC	ا ن		
Tree and Shrub	Tree and Shrub Assessment of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6) Monitoring Location 4	rel Branch (R	each 6) Monit	oring Locatic	on 4
Species	Common Name	Number of Withir	Number of Individuals Within Reach	Total By	Percent of
		Right Bank	Left Bank	Species	Population
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	2	3	5	8.20
Acer saccharum	Sugan Maple	2	1	3	4.92
Carpinus caroliniana	Ironwood	5	0	5	8.20
Fagus grandifolia	American Beech	8	1	6	14.75
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tulip Poplar	0	3	3	4.92
Magnolia macrophylla	Large Leaf Magnolia	0	7		11.48
Platanus occidentalis	Sycamore	0	2	2	3.28
Tsuga canadensis	Eastern Hemlock	5	0	5	8.20
TOTAL	7	C			
Trees in total Riparian Zone (5,000 Square Feet)	e (5,000 Square Feet)	• )	39		
Trees per Square	ire Foot	0.0	0.0078		
Trees per acre	acre	339	339.768		

Tree Density for Mitigation Monitoring Sites Company: Rocerusy Stream Name: 10, 200, vl Be,
Site No.: 6 4 Lat: 31.57267 Long: 93.14403 Date: 2/2/13 Species: Sivet Birch Species: Egg + Hem.
Seedlings: Species: Kly May 4 Seedlings: Seedlings: Saplings: Saplings: Saplings: †††† DBH: 105; 4.4, 4.9, DBH: 10.1, 9.3, 4.0, BH: 8.8, 11.7, 4.4, 4.4, 5.0, 513, 13.4,5.2, 12.5, 12.8, 9.6, 83,1212,75, Species: Death Species: Furtibui Species: 10 greece Seedlings: Seedlings: Seedlings: Saplings: Saplings: Saplings:

	I a :	Γα .
Species: Phorie and run	Species:	Species:
Scedlings:	Seedlings:	Seedlings:
Saplings:	Saplings:	Saplings:
Saplings:	1 0	1 0
DBH:	DBH:	DBH:
		C
Species:	Species:	Species:
Seedlings:	Seedlings:	Seedlings:
Caplinou	Saplings:	Saplings:
Saplings:	Sapings.	Sapungs.
DBH:	DBH:	DBH:
	,	
Species:	Species:	Species:
Seedlings:	Seedlings:	Seedlings:
Ü		_
Saplings:	Saplings:	Saplings:
		•
		Part
DBH:	DBH:	DBH:

# Tree Density for Mitigation Monitoring Sites Company: Lev July Stream Name: Bjlowed Be. Site No.: 4-6 Lat: 31.57247 Long: 83-14403 Date: 28-13 Species: EAST Hem Species: Buch Species Villians Seedlings: Seedlings: Scedlings: Saplings: Saplings: Saplings: DBH: 15.4, 6.5, 5.3, 40 16.0, 12.2, 7.5 22.4 7.5, 6.2, 41, 7.3, 9.9, 10.1, 11.4, 4.0, 4.2, 4.3, Species: Species: Species: Seedlings: Seedlings: Seedlings: Saplings: Saplings: Saplings: DBH: DBH: DBH:

	Revelatio	Revelation Energy, LLC	U	<del>.</del>	
Tree and Shrub	Tree and Shrub Assessment of Big Laurel Branch (Reach 6) Monitoring Location 6	ırel Branch (R	each 6) Monite	oring Locatio	9 u
Species	Common Name	Number of Withir	Number of Individuals Within Reach	Total By	Percent of
•		Right Bank	Left Bank	Species	Fopulation
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	2	0	2	3.28
Betula lenta	Sweet Birch	3	0	3	4.92
Fagus grandifolia	American Beech	1	1	2	3.28
Oxydendrum arboreum	Sourwood	2	0	2	3.28
Platanus occidentalis	Sycamore	1	0	1	1.64
Quercus alba	White Oak	0	3	3	4.92
Rhododendron calendulaceum	Rhododendron	7	0	7	11.48
Tsuga canadensis	Eastern Hemlock	20	36	56	91.80
TOTAL	. 1	,	7.		
Trees in total Riparian Zone (5,000 Square Feet)	c (5,000 Square Feet)		0/		
Trees per Square Foot	re Foot	0.0	0.0152		
Trees per acre	acre	99	662.112		