Duistermont- Heckman localisation formula and locar of vector fields. 12 October 2013 \$0 About Fivo years ago (summer 2012) Dasha Belavin explained how to calculate an integral  $Z(t) = \int e^{t} d_{\kappa} \omega$  (0.1) (w-1 form, dx=d+lx). He exp showed first the this integral does not depend on t, then showed that it is localised at zeros of vector field K:

\[ \frac{1}{\text{Vdet} \frac{\partial L}{\partial X}} \text{\text{K=0}}. \] The de Expecal localization formula. I fried to revive these calculation, that On one hand they are leading to Düislermeet - Keckmen formule in more less general case.

On the other hand we may discuss at is interesting to analyze geometrical meaning of answer. 51. localisation/ Two words about Duistermat-Heckmen formule (DHL)-formule. Let M-le compact manifold (M2n, D) le compact symple clic menifold

Let H be an Hamiltonian, such that K = DH: DDH = - dH

is a compact vector field

ii.e. it generates compact sulgroup e

in the group of differmorphisms) Jhen

Shen

Landing of veelor field K

Sheiher and in spriker e in (Xi)

The in the strength of the control of (we suppose that K(X) are not-degenerate This is famour Dissermet - Heckmen formula We will consider here a special but very illuminating case of this formula.

We consider now the following set up: Let Whe 1-gorm on M (dim M22m) such that I = dw definer symplectic structure.

( of course condition I = dw is in contradiction with compectness of M: SIn+0, but we ignore now this.

Eg we suppose that M is not compect Let K be a vector field such thet Lxw= dwSK+ d(wsk/20 Then It is evident that K is Camillorian rector field of Hz WJK ISK= du SK=-d(uSK)=-dH. 

Calculation of Seidk.W.

Consider

Z(t) = Se idk W. Idk = Ik

Z(t) = Se | 1 Show that 7(t) does not depend on t.  $\frac{dZ(t)}{dt} = i\int dk \, w \, e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \, dk \, w \, e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \, dk \, w \, e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \, dk \, w \, e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \, dk \, w \, e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \, dk \, w \, e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \, dk \, w \, e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1$ = i fak (WE) = i fak (WE) = 0

cunder some kechnical conditions, (21)

[ Slx w = 0 sihce form (kill her rank \le 2n-1) We see that IH does not depend on the Hence we call calculate ICH at too. Seitder seit(It H) = du= J2, w 1 K= H. (Lxw=9)  $= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \Omega^n e^{itH} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int \Omega^m e^{itH}$ (dim M=2m)
Calculete uning stationer y phone method: dH = d(wJK) = -dwJK (2.3) Locus of dH = locus of K

We see that of stationary point dH=0 Herrica is: Daidxk H= Daidxk (WrK)= Dai (DipK)= Note: 2K is their grender of publishment (0/20; Lk= 2K: Lxu=-[K, 4]. We see that answer does not depend on choise of W.

Our formeele is a special case of DHL formula (In particular H(xi)=0).

On the other hand this formula emphasizes the role of vector field K; It states that Seit (dwt lxw) 5 C 2 Volet 3K X: Kupo depends only on Kat locus in the case if w is an arkitrary K-invariant 1-form. Cofewer du is not-dejeniste! This useful to study DHL fermule in its supersymmetric manifestation. Dee A. Schwarz, O. Zaloronsky
"Supersymmetry and localization"

CMP (1995 or 1996) Hyll 12 X 2013