

## Jacobi identity and intersection of altitudes

It is many years that I know the expression which belongs to V. Arnold and which sounds something like that: "Altitudes (heights) of triangle intersect in one point because of Jacobi identity" or may be even more aggressive: "The geometrical meaning of Jacobi identity is contained in the fact that altitudes of triangle are intersected in the one point". Today preparing exercises for students I suddenly understood a meaning of this sentence. Here it is:

Let  $ABC$  be a triangle. Denote by  $\mathbf{a}$  vector  $BC$ , by  $\mathbf{b}$  vector  $CA$  and by  $\mathbf{c}$  vector  $AB$ :  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = 0$ . Consider vectors  $\mathbf{N}_a = [\mathbf{a}, [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}]]$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_b = [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]]$  and  $\mathbf{N}_c = [\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]]$ . (We denote by  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  vector product). Vector  $\mathbf{N}_a$  applied at the point  $A$  of the triangle  $ABC$  belongs to the plane of triangle, it is perpendicular to the side  $BC$  of this triangle. Hence the altitude (height)  $h_A$  of the triangle which goes via the vertex  $A$  is the line  $h_A: A + t\mathbf{N}_a$ . The same is for vectors  $\mathbf{N}_b, \mathbf{N}_c$ : Altitude (height)  $h_B$  is a line which goes via the vertex  $B$  along the vector  $\mathbf{N}_b$  and altitude  $h_C$  (height) is a line which goes via the vertex  $C$  along the vector  $\mathbf{N}_c$ .

Due to Jacobi identity sum of vectors  $\mathbf{N}_a, \mathbf{N}_b, \mathbf{N}_c$  is equal to zero:

$$\mathbf{N}_a + \mathbf{N}_b + \mathbf{N}_c = [\mathbf{a}, [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}]] + [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]] + [\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]] = 0 \quad (1)$$

To see that altitudes  $h_A: A + t\mathbf{N}_a$ ,  $h_B: B + t\mathbf{N}_b$  and  $h_C: C + t\mathbf{N}_c$  intersect at a point it is enough to show that the sum of torques (angular momenta) of vector  $\mathbf{N}_a$  attached at the line  $h_A$ , vector  $\mathbf{N}_b$  attached at the line  $h_B$ , and vector  $\mathbf{N}_c$  attached at the line  $h_C$  vanishes with respect to at least one point  $M$ :

$$[MA, \mathbf{N}_a] + [MB, \mathbf{N}_b] + [MC, \mathbf{N}_c] = 0. \quad (2)$$

Indeed it is easy to see that equation (1) implies that relation (2) obeys for an arbitrary point  $M'$  if and only if it obeys for a given point  $M$ . Suppose lines  $l_A, l_B$  intersect at the point  $O$ . Take a point  $O$  instead a point  $M$  in the relation (2). Then  $[OA, \mathbf{N}_a] = [OB, \mathbf{N}_b] = 0$ . Hence  $[OC, \mathbf{N}_c] = 0$ , i.e. point  $O$  belongs to the line  $l_C$  too. Hence it suffices to show that relation (2) is satisfied. We again will use Jacobi identity: Take an arbitrary point  $M$ . Denote  $MA = \mathbf{x}$  then for left hand side of the equation (2) we have  $[MA, \mathbf{N}_a] + [MB, \mathbf{N}_b] + [MC, \mathbf{N}_c] = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{N}_a] + [\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_b] + [\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N}_c] = [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_b] + [\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N}_c]$  (due to (1)). Now  $[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_b] + [\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N}_c] = [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_b] - [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}_c]$  and  $[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_b] - [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{N}_c] = [\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]]] - [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]]]$ . But  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = [\mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}] = [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]$  since  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = 0$ . Hence and here we again will use Jacobi identity:

$$[\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]]] - [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]]] = [\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]]] - [\mathbf{b}, [\mathbf{c}, [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}]]] = [[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}]] = [[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}]] = 0 \blacksquare$$

Hence altitudes of triangle intersect in one point! Zabavno, da? ■

*Hovik Khudaverdian (24.01.07)*