

28 October 2013

## On Duistermaat-Heckman localisation Theorem II

*Here we will give a formulation (with supermathematics flavor), the proof and concrete calculations for DH (Duistermaat-Heckman) localisation formula. This etude is essentially based on the papers of Armen Nersessian [1], and of Oleg Zaboronsky and Albert Schwarz [2], my etude [4] (see the previous etude on this topic) which was based on calculations of A.Belavin.) It is interesting also to note the paper [3]. This etude is a developped exposition of my talk on the Geometry seminars in Manchester (17 October and 23 October, 2013).*

*If a form, is invariant with respect to odd vector field  $Q = d \circ \iota_{\mathbf{K}} + \iota_{\mathbf{K}} \circ d = \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}}$  where  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}$  is Lie derivative with respect to  $U(1)$ -vector field  $\mathbf{K}$ , then integral of this form over manifold  $M$  is localised at the zero locus of vector field  $K$ . This is the meaning of Duistermaat-Heckman localisation formula.*

### §0 Recallings

Recall briefly the DH (Duistermaat-Heckman) localisation formula and perform some calculations based on calculations in [4].

Let  $(M, \Omega)$  be compact symplectic supermanifold ( $\Omega$  is non-degenerate closed two form,  $\dim M = 2n$ ). Let  $H$  be a Hamiltonian and  $\mathbf{K} = D_H$ :  $dH = -\iota_{\mathbf{K}}\Omega$ , its Hamiltonian vector field. Let vector field  $K$  obeys the following conditions:

$$\mathbf{K} = D_H \text{ is compact vector field, i.e. it defines } U(1)\text{-action on } M^1 \quad (0.1)$$

$$\text{Zero locus of vector field } \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}(x_i) = 0, \text{ is a set } \{x_i\} \text{ of isolated points} \quad (0.2)$$

DH-localisation formula states that if conditions (0.1) and (0.2) are obeyed then

$$\int e^{iH} dV_{\Omega} = \int e^{i(H+\Omega)} = \sum_{x_i} \frac{e^{iH} \sqrt{\det \Omega_{ik}}}{\sqrt{\det \text{Hess } H}} \Big|_{x_i} = \sum_{x_i} \frac{e^{iH(x_i)}}{\sqrt{\det \left( \frac{\partial K(x)}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=x_i} \right)}}. \quad (0.3)$$

*Comments to this formula:*

1. Here and later we often omit all the coefficients proportional to  $\pi^a, n!, i^n$ ,
2.  $x_i$ :  $\mathbf{K}(x_i) = 0$ , is a locus (zero locus) of Hamiltonian vector field  $\mathbf{K}$ , i.e. stationary points of Hamiltonian  $H$ ,
3.  $dV_{\Omega}$  is invariant volume forme:

$$dV_{\Omega} = \Omega^n = \underbrace{\Omega \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega}_{n\text{-times}} \text{ is Lioville volume form,}$$

in local coordinates  $dV_\Omega = \text{Pf} \Omega d^{2n}x = \sqrt{\det \Omega} d^{2n}x$ ,  $\text{Hess } H = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^i \partial x^k}$  is bilinear form at stationary points; as well as  $\frac{\partial K}{\partial x}$  is linear operator at zero locus of vector field  $\mathbf{K}$ .

Shortly show how to calculate (0.3) using ideas of [4].

Let  $\omega$  be an arbitrary  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant 1-form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}\omega = d \circ \iota_{\mathbf{K}}\omega + \iota_{\mathbf{K}} \circ d\omega = 0. \quad (0.4)$$

Consider ‘partition function

$$Z(t) = \int_M e^{i((H+\Omega)+td_{\mathbf{K}}\omega)}, \quad (0.5)$$

where  $d_{\mathbf{K}} = d + \iota_{\mathbf{K}}$ . One can see that condition (0.4) and condition  $d_{\mathbf{K}}(H + \Omega) = 0$  imply that this partition function does not depend on  $t$ :

$$\frac{dZ(t)}{dt} = i \int_M d_{\mathbf{K}} \left( \omega e^{i((H+\Omega)+td_{\mathbf{K}}\omega)} \right) = 0, \quad (0.6)$$

because for an arbitrary differential form  $F$ ,  $\int_M dF = 0$  (Stokes Theorem) and  $\int_M \iota_{\mathbf{K}}F = 0$  also, since form  $\iota_{\mathbf{K}}F$  has order less than equal  $2n$  ( $2n$  is the dimension of  $M$  is an order of top form.)

Partition function  $Z(t)$  at  $t = 0$  is the left hand side of equation (0.3), the initial integral; this function at  $t \rightarrow \infty$  can be calculated using stationary phase method. So using (0.6) we reduce calculations of the integral to quasiclassical calculations for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$Z(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = \sum_{k,r} \frac{t^r}{k!r!} \int_M e^{i(H+th)} \tilde{\Omega}^r \Omega^m, \quad (0.7)$$

where  $\tilde{\Omega} = d\omega$ ,  $h = \iota_{\mathbf{K}}\omega$ . Now calculate partition function at  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .  $dh = d(\iota_{\mathbf{K}}\omega) = -\iota_{\mathbf{K}}\tilde{\Omega}$ . Hence at zero locus of  $\mathbf{K}$ , i.e.  $dh = 0$  we have

$$\text{Hess } H|_{x_i} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^m \partial x^n}|_{x_i} = \tilde{\Omega}_{mn}|_{x_i}. \quad (0.8)$$

Hence using the fact that for symmetric bilinear form  $A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$  in  $k$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^k$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^k} e^{itA(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})} d^k x = \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} e^{itA_{ij}x^i x^j} d^k x = \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi k}{4}} \sqrt{\pi^k}}{t^{\frac{k}{2}} \sqrt{\det A}},$$

we obtain that at the quasiclassical limit for partition function  $Z(t)$  in (0.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{t^r}{(n-r)!r!} \int_M e^{i(H+th)} \tilde{\Omega}^r \Omega^{n-r} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{x_i} \frac{t^r}{(n-r)!r!} \frac{e^{iH} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\Omega}_{ik}}}{t^n \sqrt{\det \text{Hess } H}}|_{x_i} = \sum_{x_i} \frac{e^{iH} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\Omega}_{ik}}}{\sqrt{\det \text{Hess } H}}|_{x_i} \end{aligned}$$

Now choose  $\omega$  such that  $\tilde{\Omega} = d\omega$  is non-degenerate at locus of  $K$ . We have  $dh = \iota_{\mathbf{K}}\tilde{\Omega}$ . Hence at locus of  $\mathbf{K}$

$$\text{Hess } H = \frac{\partial^2 H(x)}{\partial x^m \partial x^n} = \tilde{\Omega}_{mr} \frac{\partial K^r}{\partial x^n},$$

and we have finally that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = \sum_{x_i} \frac{e^{iH} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\Omega}_{ik}}}{\sqrt{\det \text{Hess } H}} \Big|_{x_i} \sum_{x_i} \frac{e^{iH}}{\sqrt{\det \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}}} \Big|_{x_i}$$

Thus due to relation (0.6) leads to (0.3).

**Remark 1** The form  $\tilde{\Omega} = d\omega$  and new hamiltonian  $h = \iota_{\mathbf{K}}\omega$  define the same Hamiltonian vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  as a pair  $(\Omega, H)$ . On the other hand the pair  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \omega)$  is more suitable for calculation of quasiclassical approximation. The  $U(1)$ -vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  is fundamental object of DH-localisation formula, not the pair which produces this field (see in detail §2).

**Remark 2**

One of the way to produce  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant form  $\omega$  is the following: One can take  $\omega$ -covector  $\mathbf{K}$  with respect to  $U(1)$ -invariant metric:  $\omega = \omega_i dx^i$ ,  $w_i = g_{ik} K^k$  and  $g_{ik}$  is  $U(1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric (average over group  $U(1)$ ). It is crucial for calculation that  $\tilde{\Omega} = d\omega$  is non-degenerate at zero locus of  $\mathbf{K}$ . Is it an additional condition, or it follows from the fact that vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  generates  $U(1)$ -action (and  $M$  is even-dimensional manifold)? On one hand I cannot prove this completely, on the other hand natural counterexamples deal with non-compact vector field.

§1 **DH-formula and supersymmetric mechanics. Nersessian's approach.**

*The considerations of this paragraph are based on the work [2]*

The calculations above can be put in supersymmetric framework. Differential form on  $M$  can be considered as a function on  $\Pi TM$ —tangent bundle to  $M$  with reversed parity for fibers  $w_i(x) dx^i \rightarrow w_i(x) \xi^i, \dots$ . Integral of form over  $M$  is the integral of a function over supermanifold  $\Pi TM$  with invariant volume form  $dx^1 \dots dx^{2n} d\xi^1 \dots d\xi^{2n}$ .

In the very nice paper [1] Armen Nersessian suggested the supersymmetric framework of the calculations above. I will try to explain it here. Recall that for an arbitrary Poisson manifold  $M$  (manifold with Poisson bracket  $\{ , \}$ ) one can consider odd Koszul bracket  $[ , ]$  on  $\Pi TM$  such that for arbitrary functions  $f, g$  on  $M$  we have that

$$[f, g] = 0, [f, dg] = \{f, g\} [df, dg] = d\{f, g\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In local coordinates  $[x^i, x^k] = 0$ ,  $[x^i, \xi^k] = \Omega^{ik}$ ,  $[\xi^i, \xi^k] = \xi^r \partial_r \Omega^{ik}$ .

If Poisson structure is symplectic one then

$$[\Omega, F] = dF, \quad (\Omega = \Omega_{ik} \xi^i \xi^k) \quad (1.2)$$

If  $H$  is an arbitrary Hamiltonian on  $M$  and  $\mathbf{K} = D_H$  hamiltonian vector field then

$$[H, F] = \iota_{\mathbf{K}} F \quad (1.3)$$

We see that

$$(d + \iota_K)F = [\Omega + H, F]$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_K F = (d + \iota_K)^2 = [H + \Omega, [H + \Omega, F]] = [[H, \Omega], F].$$

Thus we come to core of Dustermaat-Heckman formalism:

Form  $F$  is invariant with respect to odd vector field  $d_K = d + \iota_K$  if it is integral of motion of 'Hamiltonian'  $H + \Omega$ , form  $F$  is invariant with respect to Hamiltonian vector field  $K = D_H$  if it is integral of motion of 'Hamiltonian'  $G = [H, F]$ .

The partition function (0.5) can be rewritten as

$$Z(t) = \int e^{i(H - \Omega - t[H + \Omega, \tilde{G}])}.$$

**Remark 3** Hamiltonians  $\{H + \Omega, H - \Omega, \Omega\}$  form superalgebra.

## §2 Schwarz-Zaboronsky supersymmetric formalism

In this paragraph we will speak about approach developed in the paper [2], where supergeometry is powerfully used for formulating localisation formula in a more general case.

It will always be assumed that  $M$  is compact manifold and  $K$  is compact vector field on it, i.e. vector field which generates  $U(1)$  action. We denote by

$$Q_K = d + \iota_K, \quad \text{in "supernotations"} \quad Q_K = \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + K^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i},$$

where  $x^i, \xi^i = dx^i$  are local coordinates on  $\Pi TM$ .

Odd vector field  $Q_K$  is a "square root" of a Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_K = \iota_K \circ d + d \circ \iota_K$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_K = Q_K^2 = \left( \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + K^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \right)^2 = K^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \xi^r \frac{\partial K^i}{\partial \xi^r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i}, \quad (1)$$

or in classical notations

$$\mathcal{L}_K = Q_K^2 = (d + \iota_K)^2 = d \circ \iota_K + \iota_K \circ d.$$

We formulate the following version of DH localisation theorem:

**Theorem** Let  $H = H(x, dx)$  be a  $Q_K$ -invariant form on  $M$ , i.e.

$$dH + \iota_K H = 0. \quad (2)$$

Then the integral  $\int_M H(x, dx)$  is localised at locus of  $K$ . This means follows: let  $U_K$  be an arbitrary  $U(1)$ -invariant\* tubular neighborhood of locus of  $K$  and let  $G_U = G_U(x, dx)$  be a

---

\* the condition to be  $U(1)$ -invariant may be is not necessary. We will use it for constructing  $U(1)$ -invariant partition of unity. This condition is absent in the paper [1].

$Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form such that it is equal to 1 at the locus of vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  and it vanishes out of neighborhood  $U_{\mathbf{K}}$ :

$$Q_{\mathbf{K}}G_U = 0, \text{ (i.e. } dG_U + \iota_{\mathbf{K}}G_U = 0), \quad G_U|_{\text{locus of } \mathbf{K}} = 1, \quad G_U|_{M \setminus U_K} = 0. \quad (3)$$

(Bump-form of zero locus of  $\mathbf{K}$ .) (We will prove the existence of such a bump-form)

Then

$$\int_M H = \int_M HG_U. \quad (4)$$

**Example** Let  $M$  be a symplectic manifold, i.e. non-degenerate closed two-form  $\Omega$  is defined on  $M$  ( $M$  is even-dimensional). Let  $h = h(x)$  be a Hamiltonian such that its Hamiltonian vector field  $D_h$  ( $D_h: \iota_{D_h}\Omega = -dh$ ) is compact, i.e. it defines  $U(1)$  action. Consider the form

$$H(x, dx) = \exp i(\Omega + h). \quad (5)$$

This form is  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant. Indeed since  $K$  is hamiltonian vector field  $D_h$  hence

$$\iota_{\mathbf{K}}\Omega + dh = 0 \text{ i.e. } Q_{\mathbf{K}}(h + \Omega) = 0 \Rightarrow Q_{\mathbf{K}}H = 0.$$

Then

$$\int H(x, dx) = \int \exp i(\Omega + h) = \frac{i^n}{n!} \int \exp ih \underbrace{\Omega \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega}_{n \text{ times}}$$

is localised.

**Remark 4** Note that this example is a basic example in classical background. Compact vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  appears naturally in this example as hamiltonian vector field of Hamiltonian  $h$ . In Schwarz-Zaboronsky approach the vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  appears independently without symplectic structure and Hamiltonian. In this approach the localisation formula is stated for a function  $H(x, dx)$  on  $\Pi TM$  (sum of differential forms of different orders). The classical condition that sum of differential forms is invariant with respect to equivariant differential  $d_{\mathbf{K}} = d + \iota_{\mathbf{K}}$  becomes the condition that “function”<sup>2</sup>

$H(x, dx)$  is invariant with respect to odd vector field  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$  which is the square root of Lie derivative along the vector field  $\mathbf{K} : Q_{\mathbf{K}}^2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}$ .

**Remark 5** ‘Superlanguage’ becomes essentially important for constructing of partition of unity for forms.

*Proof of Theorem* First we prove the existence of a form  $G_U = G_U(x, dx)$  which obeys the condition (3), then we will show that an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant “function” (form) which obeys conditions (3) yields the localisation formula (4).

Using partition of unity arguments consider a function  $F = F(x)$  such that

$$F(x)|_{\text{locus of } \mathbf{K}} = 0, \quad F(x)|_{M \setminus U_K} = 1. \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>  $H(x, dx)$  is non-homogeneous differential form on  $M$ . It is a function on tangent bundle  $\Pi TM$  with reversed parity of fibers.

(We may consider partition of unity which is subordinate to covering  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $V_1 = U_{\mathbf{K}}$  and  $V_2 = M \setminus \text{locus of } K$ .)

We may assume that  $F(x)$  is  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant function. (Here we use the  $U(1)$ -invariance of neighborhood of locus (see the footnote.)).

It is useful to consider the differential 1-form

$$\omega_{\mathbf{K}}: \omega_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \omega_i = g_{im} K^m dx^i, \quad (7)$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$  is  $U(1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Now we are ready to define form  $G_U$  which obeys the condition (3):

$$G_U(x, dx) = 1 - Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}(x, dx)}{Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}}} F(x) \right) \quad (8)$$

Straightforward calculations show that this function obeys conditions (3). Indeed  $F(x) = 0$  if  $x$  belongs to locus of  $K$  (and in a vicinity of the locus), hence the right hand side of equation (8) is well-defined on the locus of  $\mathbf{K}$ , where the form  $\omega_{\mathbf{K}}$  is not defined. Using the fact that  $Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}(x, dx)}{Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}}} \right) = 1$  (if  $\mathbf{K}(x) \neq 0$ ) we immediately come to the condition (3).

Let  $\tilde{G}_U = \tilde{G}_U(x, dx)$  be an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form which obeys the condition (3). Then consider the difference  $L(x, dx) = \tilde{G}_U - G_U$ . The form  $L(x, dx)$  is  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant and it is equal to 0 at the locus of  $K$ , Hence

$$L(x, dx) = Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}(x, dx)}{Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}}} L(x, dx) \right). \quad (9)$$

Thus we see that  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form  $G_U(x, dx)$  in (8) which obeys the condition (3) as well as an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form  $\tilde{G}_U(x, dx)$  which obeys the condition (3) obey the condition that

$$\begin{aligned} G_U(x, dx) &= 1 + Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots) \\ \tilde{G}_U(x, dx) &= 1 + Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots) \end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies the relation (4):

$$\int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) = \int_M H(x, dx) (1 + Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots)) = \int_M H(x, dx)$$

since  $\int_M Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots) = 0^{**}$  ■

#### *Concrete calculations*

Now based on the Theorem we present concrete calculations. which are very similar to calculations in paragraph 0.

---

\*\* since  $Q_K = d + \iota_K$ , and  $\iota_K \omega$  'does not contain' top form. This follows also from the vanishing of divergence of odd vector field  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$  with respect to canonical volume form in  $\Pi TM$

Let  $H = H(x, dx)$  be  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$  invariant form and locus (zero locus) of  $U(1)$ -invariant vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  is a set  $\{x_i\}$  of isolated points.

Using bump-form  $G_U$ , the form which vanishes out vicinities of points  $\{x_i\}$  (see the considerations above) we calculate  $\int_M H(x, dx)$ .

**Lemma** For an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form  $H(x, dx)$  the integral

$$Z(t) = \int H(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})},$$

where  $\omega_{\mathbf{K}}$  is  $U(1)$ -invariant form (7) does not depend on  $t$ .

Proof:

$$\frac{dZ(t)}{dt} = i \int_M H(x, dx) Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}} e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} = i \int_M Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( H(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) = 0.$$

Now using lemma and bump-form which localises integrand in vicinity of points  $\{x_i\}$  we come to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M H(x, dx) &= \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) = \left( \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \left( \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) \Big|_{t \rightarrow \infty} \end{aligned}$$

Using method of stationary phase and assuming that  $d\omega$  is non-degenerate at locus of  $\mathbf{K}^*$  we calculate the last integral (see [4]) and come to the answer

$$\int_M H(x, dx) = \left( \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) \Big|_{t \rightarrow \infty} = \sum_{x_i} \frac{i^n}{n!} \frac{H(x, dx)|_{x_i}}{\sqrt{\left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} \right|_{x_i}}}$$

If  $H(x, dx)|_{x_i} = H_0(x_i)$ , where  $H(x, dx) = H_0(x) + H_1(x, dx) + \dots$  is a sum of differential forms.

## References

- [1] A. Nersessian *Antibrackets and localisation of (path) integrals* arXiv: hep-th/9305181, (published in JETP)
- [2] Albert Schwarz and Oleg Zaboronsky. *Supersymmetry and localisation.* arXiv: hep-th/951112v1, (published in CMP)
- [3] *On the Duistermaat-Heckman localisation formula and Integrable systems* arXiv: hep-th/9402041v1
- [4] homepage: [maths.manchester.ac.uk/khudian/Etudes/Geometry/Duistermaat-Heckman](http://maths.manchester.ac.uk/khudian/Etudes/Geometry/Duistermaat-Heckman) localisation formula. *Etude based on the fragment of the lecture of A. Belavin in Bialoveza, summer 2012.*

---

\* See the remark 2