Duisterment-Heckman lecalisation formula and locus of vector fields. 12 October 2013 \$0 About Fivo years ago (summer 2012) Dasha Belavin explained how to calculate an integral $Z(t) = \int e^{t} d_{\kappa} \omega$ (0.1) (w-1 form, dx = d+ Lx). He exp showed first thet this chlegral does not depend on t, then showed that it is localised at zeros of vector field K:

I(t) ~ \frac{1}{\sqrt{det} \frac{\partial K}{\partial K}} \kspace (0.2) It is typical localisation formula. Thried to revive these calculation, their On one hand they are leading to Düislermeet - Keckmen formule in more less general case. *
On the other hand we may discus at is interesting to analyze geometrical meaning of answer. § 1. localisation Two words about Devistermat-Heckmen formels (DHL)-formule.

Let M-le compact monifold (M²ⁿ, D) le compact symple chic menifold

[5ce in more defail the next file] Me Will convides hore a special but rong edluminaling case This is famour Distrand - Hackman formulg (we suppose that K(X) one not - degenerate 12 C it becolined of zero bown in the in the in the in the interior of zero bown in the interior of zer 1) a compact vector field
(i.e. it generates of chiffsenorphisme)

in the grant of chiffsenorphisme) the uchos field be an Hannikkonian

We consider now the following set up: Let whe 1-form on M (dim M22m) Such that $\Omega = dw$ definer symplectic structure. (of course condition $\Omega = dw$ is in contradiction with compethere of $M: S\Omega^n \neq 0$, but we ignore now this. E.g. we suppose that M is not compett Let K be a vector field such thet Lxw= dwsk+ d(wsk/20 Then It is evident that K is Hamiltonian redor field of H= WJK ILIK= du IK=-d(u)K=-dH. We see that

I'm i'H

I'm i'H

I'm i'd w

I'

Locuis of dH = locus of K (2.3) ALWAK = - dust (2.3) Callubole uning sterliner y phon method: (2.2) July = 75 14 3 = (2.2) HA? July = HA? AUS 144 3 = (Jews) H=1/2 H (Shuze) = (H+20)7; 25 = map 7; 25 Me see that ZH does not depend on the (21) (W.E. W.E. Condulon); (All W.E. 2h-1) (21) (Undlu sone hedwood condulon); (21) 5 how that Z(4) door not depend on L. Coundler 3 = 14) Z. Gellecher of Jeidkill ートー

We see that of stationary point dH=0 $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{k}} H = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} (W_{F} K) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} (\Omega_{F} K) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} (W_{F} K) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} (U_{F} K)$ Ince.

Ince it the state of the def of the deformation of the deformat $\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{|A|}}} \\
\sqrt{\frac{$ Note: 3K is their operator at posts when Florec $L_{k} = \frac{\partial k}{\partial x}$: $L_{k} \mathcal{U} = -[K, \mathcal{U}]$ We see that answer does not depend on choise of M.

2106 X 6V MM (Dee for delail 1850 105661) dWD (ESGI) 785 HO7 d135 "Sapernymeny and beautymeth" in ih hibradelis and I for he sold integral of the history of the sold of the history of the his The in uneful to sholy DAL fermiels depends and on that low is he depended to the care $\frac{\frac{\times e}{7e} p \sqrt{7}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{(m^2 + mp)} + \frac{1}{2}$ Unt formula 12 a yeard Care of On the other hand the formula emplosizer