Duisterment- Heckman lacalisation formula and locus of vector fields.

12 October 2013 50 about Fivo years ago (summer 2012) Dasha Belauin explained how to calculate an integral $Z(t) = \int e^{t} d\kappa \omega$ (0.1)

(w-1 form, dx = d+ lx). He exp showed first thet

this integral does not depend on t, then showed that it is localised at zeros of vector field K:

I(H) \[\frac{1}{\text{det} \frac{\partial K}{\partial K}} \| \kappa = 0.2)

It is typical localisation formula.

I tried to revive there calculeton, that On one hand they are leading to Düislermeet - Keckmen formule in more less general case.

On the other hand we may discur at is interesting to analyze geometrical meaning of answer.

St. localisation/ Two words about Devistermant-Heckmen formule (DHL)-formule.

Let M-le compact manifold (M2n, D2) le compact symple chie menifold

Let H be an Hamiltonian such that the vector field is a compact vector field

(i.e. it generates compact sulgroup & k

in the group of differmorphisms) Jhen

J. D. e it localised at zero locus

Sinchien and in sprished ein(xi)

Their and in sprished e

X: KURI-O Vdet Hess H(Xi) (we suppose that K(X) are not-degenerate ! This is famour Dissermet - Heckmen formula. We will consider here a special but very illuminating case of this formula. [See in more defail the next file]

We comider now the following set up: Let Whe 1- form on M (din M22m) Such that $\Omega = dw$ definer symplectic structure. (of course condition $\Omega = dw$ is in contradiction with compether of M: $S\Omega^n + 0$, but we ignore now this. Es we suppose that M is not compact Let K be a vector field such thet Lxw= dwsK+ d(wsk/=0 Then It is evident that Kis Hamiltonian rector field of H= WJK IIIK= du JK=-d(wJK)=-dH. We see that

In the fitting

I the see that

I

Calculation of Seick. W. Consider

Z(t) = St itdx W. Idx = Ix Show Hot ZHI doer not depend on t.

dZH = i dk w e = et if dk (WE it dk W) = ifd(twe it dk W) = 0

(under some kehnical conditions),

(under some kehnical conditions),

(21)

[Six w = 0 since form (k w her rank < 2n-1) We see that IH does not depend on the Hence we call calculate ICH at too. Seitdru seit(ItH) =

du= Seit(ItH) =

du= Seit(ItH) =

du=9, $= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \Omega^n e^{itH}}{m!} \int \Omega^m e^{itH}$ (dim M=2m)
Calculete units stationer y phone method: $dH = d(wJK) = -dwJK \qquad (23)$ Locus of dH = locus of K

\$2 -5-We see that of stationary point dH = 0 Daidar H = Daidar (WrK) = Daidar (Derk) = | K20

| K20

| K20

| K20

| Con | JR"eith ~ 5 Alek Re Challe Vdef(R. 3K)

No Vdet 3K

No Vdet 3K Note: 3K is their operator at publishmentales Lk= 2K: Lxu=-[K, 4]. We see that answer does not depend on choise of w.

Our formeele is a special case of DHL formula (In particular HIX:1=0).

On the other hand this formula empliesizes the role of vector field K. It states that Seit (dw+ Lxw) = C Vdet 3x X:Kijo depends only on Kat locen in the care
if w is an arkitrary K-invariant 1-form.
To fewer dw is not -dyenestel. This weful to study DHL formule in its supersymmetric manifestation.

1. A. Nersessian. "Antibrackets and localisation of speths integral."

Lee 2 A. Schwarz, D. Zalvronsky "Supersymmetry and localization" JETP Lett. 58.1(1953)-CMP (1995 or 1996) Hyll 12 X 9.012 (Dee for détail next étude)