

## On Duistermaat-Heckman localisation Theorem II

28 October 2013

*Here we will give a formulation (with supermathematics flavor), the proof and concrete calculations for DH (Dustermaat-Heckman) localisation formula. This etude is based on the paper of Zaboronsky and Schwarz [1] and my etude [4] (see the previous etude on this topic) which was based on calculations of A.Belavin.) It is interesting also to note papers [3] and [4].*

*If a form, is invariant with respect to odd vector field  $Q = d + \iota_{\mathbf{K}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}}$  where  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}$  is Lie derivative with respect to  $U(1)$ -vector field  $\mathbf{K}$ , then integral of this form over manifold  $M$  is localised at the zero locus of vector field  $K$ . This is the meaning of Dustermaat-Heckman localisation formula.*

During this text it will always be assumed that  $M$  is compact manifold and  $\mathbf{K}$  is compact vector field on it, i.e. vector field which generates  $U(1)$  action. We denote by

$$Q_{\mathbf{K}} = d + \iota_{\mathbf{K}}, \quad \text{in "supernotations" } Q_{\mathbf{K}} = \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + K^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i},$$

where  $x^i, \xi^i = dx^i$  are local coordiantes on  $\Pi T M$ .

Odd vector field  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$  is a "square root" of a Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_K = \iota_{\mathbf{K}} \circ d + d \circ \iota_{\mathbf{K}}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}} = Q_{\mathbf{K}}^2 = \left( \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + K^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \right)^2 = K^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \xi^r \frac{\partial K^i}{\partial \xi^r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i}, \quad (1)$$

or in classical notations

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}} = Q_{\mathbf{K}}^2 = (d + \iota_k)^2 = d \circ \iota_{\mathbf{K}} + \iota_{\mathbf{K}} \circ d.$$

We formulate the following version of DH localisation theorem:

**Theorem** *Let  $H = H(x, dx)$  be a  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invatriant form on  $M$ , i.e.*

$$dH + \iota_{\mathbf{K}}H = 0. \quad (2)$$

*Then the integral  $\int_M H(x, dx)$  is localised at locus of  $K$ . This means follows: let  $U_K$  be an arbitrary  $U(1)$ -invariant\* tubular neighborhood of locus of  $K$  and let  $G_U = G_U(x, dx)$  be a*

---

\* the condition to be  $U(1)$ -invariant may be is not necessary. We will use it for constructing  $U(1)$ -ivariant partition of unity. This condition is absent in the paper [1].

$Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form such that it is equal to 1 at the locus of vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  and it vanishes out of neighborhood  $U_{\mathbf{K}}$ :

$$Q_{\mathbf{K}}G_U = 0, \text{ (i.e. } dG_U + \iota_{\mathbf{K}}G_U = 0), \quad G_U|_{\text{locus of } \mathbf{K}} = 1, \quad G_U|_{M \setminus U_K} = 0. \quad (3)$$

(Bump-form of zero locus of  $\mathbf{K}$ .) (We will prove the existence of such a bump-form)

Then

$$\int_M H = \int_M HG_U. \quad (4)$$

**Example** Let  $M$  be a symplectic manifold, i.e. non-degenerate closed two-form  $\Omega$  is defined on  $M$  ( $M$  is even-dimensional). Let  $h = h(x)$  be a Hamiltonian such that its Hamiltonian vector field  $D_h$  ( $D_h: \iota_{D_h}\Omega = -dh$ ) is compact, i.e. it defines  $U(1)$  action. Consider the form

$$H(x, dx) = \exp i(\Omega + h). \quad (5)$$

This form is  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant. Indeed since  $K$  is hamiltonian vector field  $D_h$  hence

$$\iota_{\mathbf{K}}\Omega + dh = 0 \text{ i.e. } Q_{\mathbf{K}}(h + \Omega) = 0 \Rightarrow Q_{\mathbf{K}}H = 0.$$

Then

$$\int H(x, dx) = \int \exp i(\Omega + h) = \frac{i^n}{n!} \int \exp ih \underbrace{\Omega \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega}_{n \text{ times}}$$

is localised.

**Remark 1** Note that this example is a basic example in classical background. Compact vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  appears naturally in this example as hamiltonian vector field of Hamiltonian  $h$ . In Schwarz-Zaboronsky approach the vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  appears independently without symplectic structure and Hamiltonian. In this approach the localisation formula is stated for a function  $H(x, dx)$  on  $\Pi TM$  (sum of differential forms of different orders). The classical condition that sum of differential forms is invariant with respect to equivariant differential  $d_{\mathbf{K}} = d + \iota_{\mathbf{K}}$  becomes the condition that “function”<sup>2</sup>

$H(x, dx)$  is invariant with respect to odd vector field  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$  which is the square root of Lie derivative along the vector field  $\mathbf{K} : Q_{\mathbf{K}}^2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}$ .

**Remark 2** partiion of unity for form...

*Proof of Theorem* First we prove the existence of a form  $G_U = G_U(x, dx)$  which obeys the condition (3), then we will show that an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant “function” (form) which obeys conditions (3) yields the localisation formula (4).

Using partiion of unity arguments consider a function  $F = F(x)$  such that

$$F(x)|_{\text{locus of } \mathbf{K}} = 0, \quad F(x)|_{M \setminus U_K} = 1. \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>  $H(x, dx)$  is non-homogeneous differential form on  $M$ . It is a function on tangent bundle  $\Pi TM$  with reversed parity of fibers.

(We may consider partition of unity which is subordinate to covering  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $V_1 = U_{\mathbf{K}}$  and  $V_2 = M \setminus \text{locus of } K$ .)

We may assume that  $F(x)$  is  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant function. (Here we use the  $U(1)$ -invariance of neighborhood of locus (see the footnote.)).

It is useful to consider the differential 1-form

$$\omega_{\mathbf{K}}: \omega_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{K}, \cdot, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \omega_i = g_{im} K^m dx^i, \quad (7)$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{K}, \cdot, \mathbf{x} \rangle$  is  $U(1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Now we are ready to define form  $G_U$  which obeys the condition (3):

$$G_U(x, dx) = 1 - Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}(x, dx)}{Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}}} F(x) \right) \quad (8)$$

Straightforward calculations show that this function obeys conditions (3). Indeed  $F(x) = 0$  if  $x$  belongs to locus of  $K$  (and in a vicinity of the locus), hence the right hand side of equation (8) is well-defined on the locus of  $\mathbf{K}$ , where the form  $\omega_{\mathbf{K}}$  is not defined. Using the fact that  $Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}(x, dx)}{Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}}} \right) = 1$  (if  $\mathbf{K}(x) \neq 0$ ) we immediately come to the condition (3).

Let  $\tilde{G}_U = \tilde{G}_U(x, dx)$  be an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form which obeys the condition (3). Then consider the difference  $L(x, dx) = \tilde{G}_U - G_U$ . The form  $L(x, dx)$  is  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant and it is equal to 0 at the locus of  $K$ , Hence

$$L(x, dx) = Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}(x, dx)}{Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}}} L(x, dx) \right). \quad (9)$$

Thus we see that  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form  $G_U(x, dx)$  in (8) which obeys the condition (3) as well as an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form  $\tilde{G}_U(x, dx)$  which obeys the condition (3) obey the condition that

$$\begin{aligned} G_U(x, dx) &= 1 + Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots) \\ \tilde{G}_U(x, dx) &= 1 + Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots) \end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies the relation (4):

$$\int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) = \int_M H(x, dx) (1 + Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots)) = \int_M H(x, dx)$$

since  $\int_M Q_{\mathbf{K}}(\dots) = 0^{**}$  ■

### Concrete calculations

Now based on the Theorem we present concrete calculations.

Let  $H = H(x, dx)$  be  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$  invariant form and locus (zero locus) of  $U(1)$ -invariant vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  is a set  $\{x_i\}$  of isolated points.

---

\*\* since  $Q_K = d + \iota_K$ , and  $\iota_K \omega$  'does not contain' top form. This follows also from the vanishing of divergence of odd vector field  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$  with respect to canonical volume form in  $\Pi T M$

Using bump-form  $G_U$ , the form which vanishes out vicinities of points  $\{x_i\}$  (see the considerations above) we calculate  $\int_M H(x, dx)$ .

**Lemma** For an arbitrary  $Q_{\mathbf{K}}$ -invariant form  $H(x, dx)$  the integral

$$Z(t) = \int H(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})},$$

where  $\omega_{\mathbf{K}}$  is  $U(1)$ -invariant form (7) does not depend on  $t$ .

Proof:

$$\frac{dZ(t)}{dt} = i \int_M H(x, dx) Q_{\mathbf{K}} \omega_{\mathbf{K}} e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} = i \int_M Q_{\mathbf{K}} \left( H(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) = 0.$$

Now using lemma and bump-form which localises integrand in vicinity of points  $\{x_i\}$  we come to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M H(x, dx) &= \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) = \left( \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \left( \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) \Big|_{t \rightarrow \infty} \end{aligned}$$

Using method of stationary phase and assuming that  $d\omega$  is non-degenerate at locus of  $\mathbf{K}$  we calculate the last integral (see [4]) and come to the answer

$$\int_M H(x, dx) = \left( \int_M H(x, dx) G_U(x, dx) e^{itQ_{\mathbf{K}}(\omega_{\mathbf{K}})} \right) \Big|_{t \rightarrow \infty} = \sum_{x_i} \frac{i^n}{n!} \frac{H(x, dx)|_{x_i}}{\sqrt{\left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} \right|_{x_i}}}$$

If  $H(x, dx)|_{x_i} = H_0(x_i)$ , where  $H(x, dx) = H_0(x) + H_1(x, dx) + \dots$  is a sum of differential forms.

**Remark** It is crucial for calculation that  $d\omega$  is non-degenerate at zero locus of  $\mathbf{K}$ . Is it an additional condition, or it follows from the fact that vector field  $\mathbf{K}$  generates  $U(1)$ -action (and  $M$  is even-dimensional manifold)? On one hand I cannot prove this completely, on the other hand natural counterexamples deal with non-compact vector field.

## References

- [1] Albert Schwarz and Oleg Zaboronsky. *Supersymmetry and localisation*. arXiv: hep-th/951112v1
- [2] A. Nersessian *Antibrackets and non-Abelian equivariant cohomology* arXiv: hep-th/951081
- [3] *On the Duistermaat-Heckman localisation formula and Integrable systems* arXiv: hep-th/9402041v1
- [4] homepage: [maths.manchester.ac.uk/khudian/Etudes/Geometry/Duistermaat-Heckman](http://maths.manchester.ac.uk/khudian/Etudes/Geometry/Duistermaat-Heckman) localisation formula. *Etude based on the fragment of the lecture of A. Belavin in Bialoveza, summer 2012.*