

Response to UEQ comments

MATH31082— RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

Only 14 students out of 31 responded. Many thanks to them.

I hope very much that students who did this course realised the power of Riemannian Geometry.

Now on opinion of students on **what you think can be improved in course**.

Main complains were that lectures were not organised in a good way. Of course good lecture is the golden mean between two extremes. (e.g. see negative and positive comments on the content of the lectures.) However, really this year there were problems. There were two changings in the course. It become only 10 credit course *, and moreover this was the first attempt to deliver the course of Riemannian Geometry to students who did not know the basic properties of surfaces in \mathbf{E}^3 (because last year the syllabus of geometry was changed.)

Sure this does not diminish my own responsibility, and the next year I will try to resolve the problems which have arisen. I have to change little bit the course, and in particular to focus more on surfaces in \mathbf{E}^3 , and on elementary introduction to manifolds.

This year the average marks of students were lower than before, and this has to be improved. On the other hand I am happy that average mark on effectiveness of support classes was not so low. The interaction of students with lecturer during tutorial is very important in the process of learning mathematics.

I urge students: ask me more mathematical questions!

Thank you

Hovhannes Khudaverdian

* This was the first time that the course was not attended by 4-th year students and MSC students, who usually studied the 15 credit version of the course. As a consequence the average level of students was downgraded.