

Algorithm "nosov" continuous fractions

Here we reproduce the algorithm "vytiagivaniya nosov", which I learnt in the book of Arnold.

Let \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} be standard basis in \mathbf{R}^2 . $\mathbf{e} = (1, 0)$, and $\mathbf{f} = (0, 1)$.

It is useful also consider the module $\mathbf{Z}^2 = \mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z}$ over vectors \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} :

$$\mathbf{Z}^2 = \{(m, n) = m\mathbf{e} + n\mathbf{f} \mid m, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

We assign to an arbitrary rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ the vector

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = q\mathbf{e} + p\mathbf{f}.$$

which belongs also to $\mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z}$. (We assume that p, q are coprime)

Let α be non-negative real number and let $[a_0, a_1, \dots]$ be its continuous fraction, and $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ be its k -th approximation:

$$[a_0, \dots, a_k] = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$$

(We assume that p_k, q_k are coprime)

Consider vectors $\{\mathbf{E}_{-2}, \mathbf{E}_{-1}, \mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{E}_1, \dots\}$ in \mathbf{Z}^2 defined by real number α in the following way:

$$\mathbf{E}_{-2} = \mathbf{e}, \quad \mathbf{E}_{-1} = \mathbf{f},$$

Proposition vytiagivanie nosov: for arbitrary k :

$$\mathbf{E}_{k+1} = \mathbf{E}_{k-1} + a_{k+1}\mathbf{E}_k. \quad (Prop)$$

Proof:

Instead Proposition we will prove the statement that equation (Prop) survives up to collinearity:

for arbitrary k :

$$[\mathbf{E}_{k+1}] = [\mathbf{E}_{k-1} + a_{k+1}\mathbf{E}_k], \quad (Statement)$$

where we denote by $[\mathbf{t}]$ the class of vectors collinear to the vector \mathbf{t} .

This statement seems to be weaker, but it implies the Proposition. Prove first that statement (Statement) implies Proposition (Prop), then we will prove statement (Statement)

Equation (*Statement*) implies that

$$\mathbf{E}_{k+1} = \lambda (\mathbf{E}_{k-1} + a_{k+1} \mathbf{E}_k)$$

Now prove the statement.

Consider first small k

For $k = -1$: $\mathbf{E}_0 = q_0 \mathbf{e} + p_0 \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{e} + a_0 \mathbf{f}$ and

$$[\mathbf{E}_0] = [\mathbf{E}_{-2} + a_0 \mathbf{E}_{-1}] = [\mathbf{e} + a_0 \mathbf{f}] .$$

This is true.

For $k = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{E}_1] &= [q_1 \mathbf{e} + p_1 \mathbf{f}] = \left[\mathbf{e} + \left(a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1} \right) \mathbf{f} \right] = \left[(\mathbf{e} + a_0 \mathbf{f}) + \frac{1}{a_1} \mathbf{f} \right] = \\ & \left[\mathbf{E}_{-2} + a_0 \mathbf{E}_{-1} + \frac{1}{a_1} \mathbf{f} \right] = \left[\mathbf{E}_0 + \frac{1}{a_1} \mathbf{E}_{-1} \right] = [a_1 \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}_{-1}] . \end{aligned}$$

This is true.

For $k = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{E}_2] &= [q_2 \mathbf{e} + p_2 \mathbf{f}] = \left[\mathbf{e} + \left(a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2}} \right) \mathbf{f} \right] = \left[(\mathbf{e} + a_0 \mathbf{f}) + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2}} \mathbf{f} \right] = \\ & \left[\mathbf{E}_{-2} + a_0 \mathbf{E}_{-1} + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2}} \mathbf{E}_{-1} \right] = \left[\mathbf{E}_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2}} \mathbf{E}_{-1} \right] = \left[\left(a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}_{-1} \right] = \\ & \left[\mathbf{E}_{-1} + a_1 \mathbf{E}_0 + \frac{1}{a_2} \mathbf{E}_0 \right] = \left[\mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{1}{a_2} \mathbf{E}_0 \right] = [a_2 \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_0] . \end{aligned}$$

This is true.

and so on:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{E}_m] &= [q_m \mathbf{e} + p_m \mathbf{f}] = [\mathbf{e} + [a_0, \dots, a_m] \mathbf{f}] = \left[\mathbf{e} + \left(a_0 + \frac{1}{[a_1, \dots, a_m]} \right) \mathbf{f} \right] = \\ & \left[(\mathbf{e} + a_0 \mathbf{f}) + \frac{1}{[a_1, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{f} \right] = \left[\mathbf{E}_0 + \frac{1}{[a_1, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_{-1} \right] = [[a_1, \dots, a_m] \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}_{-1}] = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\left(a_1 + \frac{1}{[a_2, \dots, a_m]} \right) \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}_{-1} \right] &= \left[a_1 \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}_{-1} + \frac{1}{[a_2, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_0 \right] = \\
&\left[\mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{1}{[a_2, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_0 \right] = [[a_2, \dots, a_m] \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_0] = \\
\left[\left(a_2 + \frac{1}{[a_3, \dots, a_m]} \right) \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_0 \right] &= \left[a_2 \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_0 + \frac{1}{[a_3, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_1 \right] = \\
&\left[\mathbf{E}_2 + \frac{1}{[a_3, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_1 \right] = [[a_3, \dots, a_m] \mathbf{E}_2 + \mathbf{E}_1] = \\
\left[\left(a_3 + \frac{1}{[a_4, \dots, a_m]} \right) \mathbf{E}_2 + \mathbf{E}_1 \right] &= \left[a_3 \mathbf{E}_2 + \mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{1}{[a_4, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_2 \right] = \\
&\left[\mathbf{E}_3 + \frac{1}{[a_4, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_2 \right] = [[a_4, \dots, a_m] \mathbf{E}_3 + \mathbf{E}_2] = \\
\left[\left(a_4 + \frac{1}{[a_5, \dots, a_m]} \right) \mathbf{E}_3 + \mathbf{E}_2 \right] &= \left[a_4 \mathbf{E}_3 + \mathbf{E}_2 + \frac{1}{[a_5, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_3 \right] = \\
&\left[\mathbf{E}_4 + \frac{1}{[a_5, \dots, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_3 \right] = [[a_5, \dots, a_m] \mathbf{E}_4 + \mathbf{E}_3] = \dots \\
&\left[\mathbf{E}_{m-2} + \frac{1}{[a_{m-1}, a_m]} \mathbf{E}_{m-3} \right] = [[a_{m-1}, a_m] \mathbf{E}_{m-2} + \mathbf{E}_{m-3}] = \\
\left[\left(a_{m-1} + \frac{1}{a_m} \right) \mathbf{E}_{m-2} + \mathbf{E}_{m-3} \right] &= \left[a_{m-1} \mathbf{E}_{m-2} + \mathbf{E}_{m-3} + \frac{1}{a_m} \mathbf{E}_{m-2} \right] = \\
&\left[\mathbf{E}_{m-1} + \frac{1}{a_m} \mathbf{E}_{m-2} \right] = [a_m \mathbf{E}_{m-1} + \mathbf{E}_{m-2}] .
\end{aligned}$$