BG14

January 2020

1 Introduction

I just love breaking thing so much!

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic notation

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. We use \mathbb{Z}_q^n to denote the finite field of \mathbb{Z}_q elements. Vectors are represented in bold face as $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T$ and matrices in bold face as \mathbf{A} . The inverse matrix of an invertible matrix \mathbf{A} is denoted as \mathbf{A}^{-1} . $\mathcal{B}_{k,w}$ is the set of length k vectors of weight w with coefficients in $\{-1,0,1\}$. The Euclidean norm is $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2$ and the infinity norm (or sup norm) is $\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} v_i$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $[a]_{2^d}$ is denoted as the unique integer in $(-2^{d-1}, 2^{d-1}]$ satisfy that $a \equiv [a]_{2^d}$ (mod 2^d) and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $[a]_d = (a - [a]_{2^d})/2^d$ and let $[a]_d$ be the most significant bit of a after get rid of the d least significant bits. For each vectors $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ we define $[\mathbf{v}]_d = ([v_1]_d, [v_2]_d, \dots, [v_m]_d)$. A lattice in \mathbb{Z}^m is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^m .

Let A be a finite set. We write $a \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} A$ to denote that a is sampled from A. We write $\mathbf{A} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ to denote that every coefficients of a $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} are independently sampled from \mathbb{Z}_q . We denote the discrete Gaussian distribution over \mathbb{Z} by $D_{\sigma} = \frac{\exp(x^2/(2\sigma)^2)}{1+2\sum_{y=1}^{\infty}\exp(y^2/(2\sigma)^2)}$. We write $\mathbf{y} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} D_{\sigma}$ to denote that each coefficient of vector \mathbf{y} is sampled independently from D_{σ} .

2.2 BG14 Signature Scheme

Next, we briefly present the signature scheme of Shi Bai and Steven Galbraith in [BG14].

Firstly, we present the public-key and secret-key generation algorithm. This algorithm, on the input of parameter set $n, m, k, q, \sigma_E, \sigma_S$ outputs a pair of

matrices (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{T}) as the public-key and another pair of matrices (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}) for the secret-key.

```
\begin{array}{c|c} & \text{Keygen } (n,m,k,q,\sigma_E,\sigma_S) \colon \\ \hline 1: & \mathbf{A} \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_q^{m \times n} \\ 2: & \mathbf{S} \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} D_S^{n \times k} \\ 3: & \mathbf{E} \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} D_E^{m \times k} \\ 4: & \text{if } |\mathbf{E}_{i,j}| > 7\sigma_E \text{ then restart at step 3} \\ 5: & \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{A}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{E} \mod q \\ 6: & \text{return public-key } (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{T}) \text{ and secret-key } (\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}) \\ \end{array}
```

Figure 1: Key generation algorithm of [BG14] Signature Scheme.

Secondly, we present the signing algorithm of the signature scheme. This algorithm, on the input of the public-key (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{T}) , the secret-key \mathbf{S} , the message that one wants to sign μ , the distributions D_y, D_z , parameters w, σ_E, M , the hash function (or the random oracle) H and the encode function F that map the hash-value to the space $\mathcal{B}_{k,w}$, returns a signature (\mathbf{z}, c) .

```
\begin{split} & \frac{\text{Sign } (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{T}, \mu, D_y, D_z, d, w, \sigma_E, \mathsf{H}, \mathsf{F}, M):}{1: \quad \mathbf{y} \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} D_y} \\ & 2: \quad \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \mod q \\ & 3: \quad c = \mathsf{H}(\lfloor \mathbf{v} \rceil_d, \mu) \\ & 4: \quad \mathbf{c} = \mathsf{F}(c) \\ & 5: \quad \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c} \\ & 6: \quad \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{T} \mathbf{c} \mod q \\ & 7: \quad \text{if } |[\mathbf{w}_i]_{2^d}| > 2^{d-1} - 7w\sigma_E \quad \text{then restart} \\ & 8: \quad \text{return } (\mathbf{z}, c) \text{ with probability } \min(D_z^n(\mathbf{z})/(M \cdot D_{y,\mathbf{Sc}}^n(\mathbf{z})), 1) \end{split}
```

Figure 2: Signing algorithm of [BG14] Signature Scheme.

On should bare in mind that m > n = k and $q > 2^d \ge B$ as the choice of parameter for the security this signature scheme.

We will not present the verify algorithm in this report. One can find it in [BG14].

3 Cryptanalyse Algorithm

Theorem 1. Suppose that there exists an oracle which on the input a signature (\mathbf{z}, c) returns the ephemeral key (nonce) \mathbf{y} . There exists a polynomial algorithm

such that with n^2 signatures return the secret key (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}) with probability $1 - 2^{-O(n)}$.

Proof. With access to such oracle, one may easily compute $\mathbf{Sc} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}$.

From the corollary 2 in Appendix, with the probability at least $1 - 2^{-O(n)}$, there exists n linear independent vectors \mathbf{c}_i . Let $\mathbf{Sc}_i = \mathbf{r}_i$, we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & \dots & s_{1k} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & \dots & s_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{n1} & s_{n2} & \dots & s_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} c_1^1 & c_2^1 & \dots & c_k^1 \\ c_1^2 & c_2^2 & \dots & c_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_1^k & c_2^k & \dots & c_k^k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1^1 & r_2^1 & \dots & r_k^1 \\ r_1^2 & r_2^2 & \dots & r_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1^n & r_2^n & \dots & r_k^n \end{bmatrix}$$

in which, c_i^j is the j^{th} coefficient of the vector \mathbf{c}_i and similarly to r_i^j . Denote:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1^1 & c_2^1 & \dots & c_k^1 \\ c_1^2 & c_2^2 & \dots & c_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_1^k & c_2^k & \dots & c_k^k \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1^1 & r_2^1 & \dots & r_k^1 \\ r_1^2 & r_2^2 & \dots & r_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1^n & r_2^n & \dots & r_k^n \end{bmatrix}$$

We have:

$$SC \equiv R \mod q$$
.

Since c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n are linearly independent, the matrix **C** is invertible. Hence, we can easily recover:

$$S \equiv SCC^{-1} = RC^{-1} \mod q$$
.

Moreover, we have:

$$\mathbf{E} \equiv \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{AS} \mod q$$
.

Therefore, there exists an efficient algorithm that with access to the mentioned above oracle, recovers the secret (S, E).

Theorem 2. With $O(n^3)$ signatures (\mathbf{z}, c) . There exists a polynomial time algorithm that recovers n vectors \mathbf{y}_i correspond to the signatures (\mathbf{z}_i, c_i) with non-negligible probability.

Proof. Let's $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}$ be n+1 linearly dependent vectors which we are targeting with $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$ are linear independent (remark that one can easily compute \mathbf{c}_i from c_i and such set can be found with high probability thanks to corollary 2), there exists a set a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} such that:

$$a_1\mathbf{c}_1 + a_2\mathbf{c}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1}\mathbf{c}_{n+1} = 0.$$

Let us denote:

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = a_1 \mathbf{z}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1} \mathbf{z}_{n+1},$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_1 &= a_1 \mathbf{z}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{z}_{n+1} \\ &= a_1 (\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_1) + a_2 (\mathbf{y}_2 + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_2) + \dots + a_n (\mathbf{y}_{n+1} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_{n+1}) \\ &= a_1 \mathbf{y}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1} \mathbf{y}_{n+1} + (a_1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_{n+1}) \\ &= a_1 \mathbf{y}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1} \mathbf{y}_{n+1} + \mathbf{S} (a_1 \mathbf{c}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{c}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1} \mathbf{c}_{n+1}) \\ &= a_1 \mathbf{y}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1} \mathbf{y}_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover:

$$a_{n+1}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_1 = a_{n+1}^{-1}a_1\mathbf{y}_1 + a_{n+1}^{-1}a_2\mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1}^{-1}a_{n+1}\mathbf{y}_{n+1} + \mathbf{y}_{n+1}$$

= $a_{n+1}^{-1}a_1\mathbf{y}_1 + a_{n+1}^{-1}a_2\mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1}^{-1}a_{n+1}\mathbf{y}_{n+1} + \mathbf{z}_{n+1} - \mathbf{Sc}_{n+1}.$

Hence:

$$a_{n+1}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{z}_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{-1}a_1\mathbf{y}_1 + a_{n+1}^{-1}a_2\mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + a_{n+1}^{-1}a_n\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{Sc}_{n+1}.$$

Let us denote $\mathbf{r}_1 = a_{n+1}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{z}_{n+1}$, $b_i^1 = a_{n+1}^{-1}a_i$ and $\mathbf{c}_1' = \mathbf{c}_{n+1}$ (note that \mathbf{r}_1 and each b_i^1 can be publicly computed) we rewrite the above equation as follow:

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = b_1^1 \mathbf{y}_1 + b_2^1 \mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + b_n^1 \mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_1'.$$

Furthermore, since $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_n$ span a n dimensional vector subspace of a n dimensional vector space, we can easily find (find here can be understood as we sample another signature to get a different \mathbf{c}) a set of linear dependent vectors \mathbf{c}'_i such that each vector \mathbf{c}'_i lies in the vector space spanned by $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_n$. Hence, for each vector \mathbf{c}'_i , we have:

$$\mathbf{r}_i = b_1^i \mathbf{y}_1 + b_2^i \mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + b_n^i \mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c}_i'.$$

Moreover, since these vectors \mathbf{c}_i' are linearly dependent, there exists a set of O(n) scalars d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l such that:

$$d_1\mathbf{c}_1' + d_2\mathbf{c}_2' + \dots + d_l\mathbf{c}_l' = 0.$$

Therefore:

$$d_{1}\mathbf{r}_{1} + d_{2}\mathbf{r}_{2} + \dots + d_{l}\mathbf{r}_{l} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i} (b_{1}^{i}\mathbf{y}_{1} + b_{2}^{i}\mathbf{y}_{2} + \dots + b_{n}^{i}\mathbf{y}_{n} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{c}_{i}')$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}b_{1}^{i}\mathbf{y}_{1} + d_{i}b_{2}^{i}\mathbf{y}_{2} + \dots + d_{i}b_{n}^{i}\mathbf{y}_{n} - d_{i}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{c}_{i}')$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}b_{1}^{i})\mathbf{y}_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}b_{2}^{i})\mathbf{y}_{2} + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}b_{1}^{n})\mathbf{y}_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{c}_{i}')$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}b_{1}^{i})\mathbf{y}_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}b_{2}^{i})\mathbf{y}_{2} + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_{i}b_{1}^{n})\mathbf{y}_{n}.$$

Let us denote $b_{1,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (d_i b_i^i)$ and $\mathbf{u}_1 = d_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + d_2 \mathbf{r}_2 + \cdots + d_l \mathbf{r}_l$ we have:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = d_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + d_2 \mathbf{r}_2 + \dots + d_l \mathbf{r}_l = b_{1,1} \mathbf{y}_1 + b_{1,2} \mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + b_{1,n} \mathbf{y}_n,$$

Let $\mathbf{b}_1 = (b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,n})$. It can be seen that \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{b}_1 can be publicly computed.

Follow from lemma 3 in Appendix, with non-negligible probability, from n^2 vectors **b** sampled as above (in order to create each vector **b** as above, one requires about O(n) signatures, therefore, for n^2 vectors **b**, it is sufficient for one to have $O(n^3)$ signatures), there exists n linear independent vectors \mathbf{b}_i . We then consider the following matrix:

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,n} \\ b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} & \dots & b_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n,1} & b_{n,2} & \dots & b_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ are linear independent, \mathbf{B} is an invertible matrix. Hence, the matrix \mathbf{B}^{-1} exists.

Let us also denote two following matrices:

$$\mathbf{Y} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{U} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that:

$$U = BY$$
.

Therefore:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{U}.$$

On the other hand, since \mathbf{b}_i and \mathbf{u}_i are publicly computed, hence \mathbf{Y} can also be publicly computed. Therefore, we have an efficient algorithm to recover $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n$.

Corollary 1. With $O(n^3)$ signatures (\mathbf{z}, c) . There exists a polynomial time algorithm that efficiently recovers the secret key (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}) .

Proof. From the corollary 2 in Appendix, with the probability at least $1-2^{-O(n)}$, there exists n linear independent vectors \mathbf{c}_i . Moreover, from theorem 2, one may efficiently recover the vectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_n$. Apply the result from theorem 1, one can efficiently recover the secret key (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}) .

References

[BG14] Shi Bai and Steven D Galbraith. An improved compression technique for signatures based on learning with errors. In *Cryptographers' Track* at the RSA Conference, pages 28–47. Springer, 2014.

4 Appendix

Lemma 1. For every subspace H with dimension less than k-1, the probability that $x \notin H$ with x is sampled uniformly from $\mathcal{B}_{k,w}$ is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. WLOG, suppose that H is orthogonal to the vector $(1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with the first w coefficients are 1. Let B be the event that \mathbf{x} is sampled independently from $\mathcal{B}_{k,w}$ such that $\mathbf{x} \in H$, we have:

$$\Pr[B] = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor w/2 \rfloor} \frac{\binom{w}{i} \binom{w-i}{i} 2^{w-2i} \binom{k-w}{w-2i}}{2^{w} \binom{w}{k}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor w/2 \rfloor} \frac{\frac{w!}{(i!)^{2} (w-2i)!} \frac{1}{2^{2i} \binom{k-w}{w-2i}}}{\binom{w}{k}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor w/2 \rfloor} \frac{\frac{w!}{(2^{i}i!)^{2} (w-2i)!} \binom{k-w}{w-2i}}{\binom{w}{k}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor w/2 \rfloor} \frac{\frac{w!}{2(2i)! (w-2i)!} \binom{k-w}{w-2i}}{\binom{w}{k}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor w/2 \rfloor} \frac{\binom{w}{2i} \binom{w-k}{w-2i}}{\binom{w}{k}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, the probability that $\mathbf{x} \notin H$ is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Corollary 2. The probability that a set of k^2 vectors sampled independently from $\mathcal{B}_{k,w}$ contain no k linear independent vectors is exponentially small.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots \mathbf{x}_{k^2}$ be k^2 vectors chosen independently form $\mathcal{B}_{k,w}$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ let B_i be the event that:

$$\dim \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{(i-1)k}) = \dim \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{ik}) < k.$$

If none of the event B_i happens, then $\dim \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k^2}) = k$. Hence, to complete the proof, we only need to show that, for every i then $\Pr[B_i] \leq 2^{-O(n)}$. With some fixed i, let us condition on some fixed choice of $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{(i-1)k}$ such that $\dim \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{(i-1)k}) < k$. From lemma 1, The probability that:

$$\mathbf{x}_{(i-1)k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{(i-1)k+2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{ik} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots \mathbf{x}_{(i-1)k})$$

is less than $(1/2)^k = 2^{-O(k)}$. Moreover, since k = n, the probability that each B_i happens is $2^{-O(n)}$.

Lemma 2. Let D be a distribution such that for every subspace H with dimension less than n-1, the probability that $x \notin H$ with x is sampled from D is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$. For every fixed positive number l, let us sample a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m from an uniform distribution over \mathbb{Z}_q^n and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_l$ from D. Let D' be the distribution of $x = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i x_i$ then for every subspace H with dimension less than n-1, the probability that $x \notin H$ with x is sampled from D' is also greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. WLOG, let us fixed a subspace H with dimension n-1. For every $1 \le i \le l$, let $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i' + \mathbf{h}_i$ with $\mathbf{h}_i \in H$ and $\mathbf{x}_i' \in H^{\perp}$. Since the probability that $x \notin H$ with x is sampled from D is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, there exists at least one \mathbf{x}_i' such that $\mathbf{x}_i' \ne 0$ with probability $1 - 2^{-l}$. We have:

$$\Pr[(a_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1} + a_{2}\mathbf{x}_{2} + \dots + a_{l}\mathbf{x}_{l}) \in H]$$

$$= \Pr[(a_{1}(\mathbf{x}'_{1} + \mathbf{h}_{1}) + a_{2}(\mathbf{x}'_{2} + \mathbf{h}_{2}) + \dots + a_{l}(\mathbf{x}_{l} + \mathbf{h}_{l})) \in H]$$

$$= \Pr[(a_{1}\mathbf{x}'_{1} + a_{2}\mathbf{x}'_{2} + \dots + a_{l}\mathbf{x}'_{l}) \in H]$$

$$= \Pr[(a_{1}\mathbf{x}'_{1} + a_{2}\mathbf{x}'_{2} + \dots + a_{l}\mathbf{x}'_{l}) = 0] = \frac{1}{q}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the probability that $x \notin H$ with x is sampled uniformly from D' is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 3. The probability that a set of n^2 vectors sampled independently from the distribution of the vectors \mathbf{b} contain no n linear independent vectors is exponentially small.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, let us fix l = n + 1. Consider the distribution of the vectors $(b_1^i, b_2^i, \ldots, b_n^i)$, we have:

$$b_1^i \mathbf{c}_1 + b_2 \mathbf{c}_2^i + \dots + b_n^i \mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{c}_i'.$$

It is clear that $(b_1^i, b_2^i, \dots, b_n^i)$ is the representation of vector \mathbf{c}_i' in the basis $(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n)$. Hence, if the set of vectors $\mathbf{c}_1', \mathbf{c}_2', \dots, \mathbf{c}_l'$ is linearly independent then the set of vectors $\{(b_1^i, b_2^i, \dots, b_n^i)\}_{i=1}^l$ is also linearly independent and vice versa. Therefore, the distribution of the vectors $(b_1^i, b_2^i, \dots, b_n^i)$ also satisfy the property that for every subspace H with dimension less than n-1, the probability that $x \notin H$ with x is sampled this distribution is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, since the distribution of $\{(b_1^i, b_2^i, \dots, b_n^i)\}_{i=1}^l$ and the set of scalars $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l\}$ are independent, applying the result from lemma 2, the probability that $\mathbf{b} \notin H$ is also greater than $\frac{1}{2}$. Using the same argument as in corollary 2, one can conclude that the probability that $\mathbf{b} \notin H$ is also greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Using the same argument as in corollary 2, one can conclude that the probability that a set of n^2 vectors sampled independently from the distribution of the vectors **b** contain no n linear independent vectors is $2^{-O(n)}$.