

Persistence:

Flash-based Solid State Disks

OSTEP Chapter 44:

<http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~remzi/OSTEP/file-ssd.pdf>

Slides based on Youjip Won's (<https://oslab.kaist.ac.kr/people/>) material.

Jan Reineke
Universität des Saarlandes


Solid-state storage devices

- No mechanical or moving parts like HDD
- Built out of transistors (like memory and processors)
- Retain information despite power loss unlike typical RAM

Memory cells: Floating gate transistors

p-type transistor:


gate controls the conductivity
between source and sink



MOSFET

floating-gate transistor:

floating gate controls the conductivity
between source and sink




Floating Gate Transistor

- electrons can be **placed in** and **removed from** the floating gate
- electrons do not escape otherwise → **persistent memory**


Types of cells

- Single-level cell (SLC): a single bit per cell
- Multi-level cell (MLC): two bits per cell
- Triple-level cell (TLC): three-bits per cell
- ... Penta-level cells (PLC) currently under development




Structure of Flash


Hierarchical organization:



Array of memory cells:




Structure of Flash SSDs



Basic operations

- Read: at page granularity
- Write (“program”): $1 \rightarrow 0$: at page granularity
- Erase: $0 \rightarrow 1$: **only** at block granularity




Reliability of Flash

- Wear out
 - Flash cell “wears out” as we program/erase it
 - Eventually, the block becomes unusable
 - Typical erase/wear out cycle
 - MLC-based block: 10,000 P/E (Program/Erase)
 - SLC-based block: 100,000 P/E

Out-of-place update in Flash memory

- Need to erase block before writing to page
- *Implication:*
Flash SSD uses “out-of-place” update for writes



Flash Translation Layer (FTL)

A software layer that makes SSDs look like HDDs

- Address translation (yet another level!)
 - program pages within an erased block in order
- Wear leveling
 - tries to spread writes evenly across all blocks (locality is “bad”)
- Garbage collection

Comparison with Hard disks

| | Hard disk | Flash-based SSD |
|--|--|---|
| Sequential access performance (throughput) | 250 MB/s | several GB/s 15 GB/s (demonstrated) 7 GB/s (available commercially) |
| Random access latency | 3-12 ms | < 0.1 ms |
| Cost | ~ 20 Euro/TB | ~ 80 Euro/TB |
| Density | 1.2 TB/sq. inch | 2.8 TB/ |
| Lowest operating temperature | Most modern HDDs can operate at 0 °C | SSDs can operate at -55 °C |
| Highest altitude | HDDs will fail to operate at altitudes above 12,000 meters | no constraint |

Summary

- Flash-based SSD is much faster than disk, but ...
- It is more expensive
- It is not a drop-in replacement for a disk beneath a file system without a complex emulation layer