

# AI for Leaders

## AI for Leaders

### Preface

#### Why This Book Exists

AI is no longer a technology topic. It is a leadership topic.

Over the past few years, artificial intelligence has moved from research labs and data science teams into board rooms, legal departments, policy discussions, and strategic plans. Executives are being asked to approve AI investments, govern AI systems, manage AI-related risks, and lead organisations through AI-driven transformation — often without the technical background to evaluate what they're approving.

This book was written to close that gap.

#### Who This Book Is For

This book is written for executives, directors, policymakers, and senior managers who need to make decisions about AI — and who want to make those decisions well.

You do not need a technical background. You do not need to understand machine learning algorithms or neural network architectures. What you need is a clear framework for thinking about AI as a leadership challenge: where it creates value, where it creates risk, and how to govern it responsibly.

#### What This Book Covers

The book is organised into four core areas:

1. **AI Landscape** — What AI is, what it can and cannot do, and what is changing now
2. **AI Regulation & Penalties** — The legal and regulatory environment, and the financial consequences of non-compliance
3. **AI Strategy** — How to evaluate, prioritise, and invest in AI initiatives
4. **AI Governance** — How to build accountability structures, oversee vendors, and manage risk

#### How to Use This Book

Each chapter is designed to stand alone. You can read from beginning to end, or jump to the chapter most relevant to your current challenge.

Where possible, each chapter ends with a short set of questions you can use to assess your own organisation's maturity in that area.

---

Next: AI Landscape →

# AI Landscape

## What AI Is — and What It Is Not

Artificial intelligence is a set of techniques that allow computers to perform tasks that previously required human intelligence. These include recognising images, understanding language, making predictions, and generating content.

What AI is **not** is a single, unified technology. The term “AI” encompasses a wide range of approaches, capabilities, and limitations. Understanding those differences matters for leadership decision-making.

## The Three Waves of AI

### First wave: Rules-based systems (1980s–2000s)

Early AI systems followed explicit rules written by humans. They were powerful in narrow domains but brittle — unable to handle situations their designers had not anticipated.

### Second wave: Machine learning (2000s–2020s)

Instead of following rules, machine learning systems learn patterns from data. This made AI far more flexible and scalable. Applications include fraud detection, recommendation engines, and predictive maintenance.

### Third wave: Foundation models and generative AI (2020s–present)

Large language models (LLMs) and other foundation models are trained on vast datasets and can perform a wide range of tasks without task-specific training. This has dramatically lowered the cost of building AI applications and raised the ceiling of what AI can do.

## What Has Changed

Three changes have made AI a leadership priority:

1. **Cost** — The cost of building and deploying AI has fallen dramatically. Tasks that previously required teams of specialists can now be automated with off-the-shelf tools.
2. **Capability** — AI can now perform tasks — writing, summarising, analysing, reasoning — that were considered exclusively human domains.
3. **Speed** — AI adoption is accelerating across industries, creating competitive pressure that leadership teams cannot ignore.

## What AI Still Cannot Do

Despite rapid progress, AI has important limitations:

- **Reliable reasoning:** AI systems can generate plausible-sounding answers that are wrong.
- **Judgment under uncertainty:** AI performs poorly in genuinely novel situations with no precedent in its training data.
- **Accountability:** AI systems do not bear responsibility for their outputs — the organisations deploying them do.

## Key Questions for Leaders

- Which AI capabilities are genuinely relevant to our industry and business model?
- Where is AI already being used in our organisation, with or without central oversight?
- What assumptions are our teams making about AI reliability that need to be tested?

# AI Regulation & Penalties

## The Regulatory Landscape Is Changing Fast

AI regulation is no longer a future concern. Laws are being enacted, enforcement actions are being taken, and organisations that have not prepared face real financial and reputational consequences.

Leaders need to understand the regulatory environment — not at the level of legal detail, but at the level of strategic exposure.

## Key Regulatory Frameworks

### EU AI Act

The EU AI Act is the world's first comprehensive AI regulation. It applies to any organisation that places AI systems on the EU market or uses AI systems affecting people in the EU — regardless of where the organisation is based.

The Act categorises AI systems by risk level:

Risk Level	Examples	Requirements
<b>Unacceptable risk</b>	Social scoring, real-time biometric surveillance	Prohibited
<b>High risk</b>	Hiring tools, credit scoring, medical devices, law enforcement	Conformity assessment, transparency, human oversight
<b>Limited risk</b>	Chatbots, deepfakes	Transparency obligations
<b>Minimal risk</b>	Spam filters, AI in video games	No specific requirements

**Penalties:** Up to €35 million or 7% of global annual turnover for the most serious violations.

### GDPR and AI

Many AI systems process personal data and are therefore subject to GDPR. Key implications include: - Automated decision-making affecting individuals requires human oversight (Article 22) - Data minimisation principles constrain training data collection - Data subject rights (access, deletion, portability) extend to AI-derived insights

**Penalties:** Up to €20 million or 4% of global annual turnover.

### Sector-Specific Regulation

Many industries face additional AI-specific requirements: - **Financial services:** Model risk management, explainability requirements - **Healthcare:** Medical device regulation for diagnostic AI - **Employment:** Anti-discrimination law applied to AI hiring tools

## What Leaders Should Know

1. **Territorial reach:** EU and US regulations can apply to organisations outside those jurisdictions if they affect citizens there.
2. **Third-party risk:** Using a vendor's AI system does not transfer regulatory liability. You remain responsible for how AI affects your customers and employees.
3. **Documentation:** Regulators increasingly require evidence that AI systems were tested, monitored, and governed. Lack of documentation is itself a risk.

## **Key Questions for Leaders**

- Which of our AI systems fall into regulated categories under applicable laws?
  - Do we have documentation that would satisfy a regulatory audit?
  - How do our vendor contracts address regulatory liability?
- 

← AI Landscape | Next: AI Strategy →

# AI Strategy

## Strategy Before Technology

The most common mistake organisations make with AI is starting with the technology and working backwards to the problem. They invest in AI platforms, hire data science teams, and launch proofs of concept — and then struggle to demonstrate business value.

Effective AI strategy starts with the question: **where does AI create genuine value for our organisation?**

## The AI Value Framework

AI creates value in three ways:

### 1. Automation

Replacing human effort in repetitive, rules-based tasks. This is the most straightforward value driver and often the easiest to quantify.

Examples: document processing, customer service routing, compliance checking.

### 2. Augmentation

Enhancing human judgment by providing better information, faster analysis, or broader perspective.

Examples: clinical decision support, fraud analyst tools, market intelligence dashboards.

### 3. Innovation

Creating new products, services, or business models that were not previously possible.

Examples: personalised medicine, predictive maintenance as a service, AI-native financial products.

## Prioritising AI Investments

Not all AI opportunities are equal. When evaluating AI initiatives, consider:

**Value potential** - How large is the addressable problem? - How directly does it connect to revenue, cost, or risk?

**Feasibility** - Do we have the data required? - Do we have or can we build the capability? - Is the technology mature enough to rely on?

**Risk** - What happens when the system fails? - What regulatory constraints apply? - What are the reputational consequences if it goes wrong?

## Build, Buy, or Partner?

Most organisations face a make-or-buy decision for AI capabilities:

Approach	When it makes sense	Risks
<b>Build</b>	Competitive differentiation, unique data, long-term core capability	High cost, slow, talent competition
<b>Buy</b>	Commodity capability, fast time-to-value, limited internal expertise	Vendor dependency, limited customisation
<b>Partner</b>	Shared risk, co-development, industry consortia	Governance complexity, IP issues

## The Role of Data

AI is only as good as its data. Before investing in AI capabilities, leaders should assess:

- **Data availability:** Do we have the data the AI system needs?
- **Data quality:** Is it accurate, complete, and consistent?
- **Data governance:** Can we use this data legally and ethically?

## Key Questions for Leaders

- Where are we currently investing in AI, and what is the expected business value?
- Do we have a prioritisation framework for AI investments?
- What is our data strategy, and does it support our AI ambitions?

---

← AI Regulation & Penalties | Next: AI Governance →

# AI Governance

## What AI Governance Is

AI governance is the set of policies, processes, roles, and accountability structures that ensure AI systems are developed and used responsibly.

It is not a compliance exercise. It is a management discipline — one that becomes more important as AI becomes more central to how organisations operate.

## Why Governance Fails

Most organisations already have some form of AI governance in place. Most of those governance frameworks are not working well. Common failure modes include:

- **Governance as paperwork:** Policies exist but are not embedded in how teams actually work.
- **Governance as a gate:** Reviews slow down delivery without adding value.
- **Governance without teeth:** Issues are identified but not escalated or resolved.
- **Governance without coverage:** High-profile systems are reviewed; the long tail of AI tools and automations is not.

## The Components of Effective AI Governance

### 1. Inventory and Classification

You cannot govern what you cannot see. Effective AI governance starts with knowing what AI systems exist, who is responsible for them, and what risk category they fall into.

### 2. Risk Assessment

Not all AI systems require the same level of oversight. A risk-based approach focuses governance effort where it matters most: - What decisions does this system influence? - Who is affected, and how? - What is the consequence of failure?

### 3. Human Oversight

High-risk AI systems should have defined points at which humans review, challenge, or override AI outputs. Oversight should be meaningful — not a checkbox that people skip when under pressure.

### 4. Monitoring and Audit

AI systems change over time — data drifts, models degrade, the world changes. Governance requires ongoing monitoring, not just one-time approval.

### 5. Accountability Structures

Someone must be accountable for each AI system's performance and compliance. This typically requires: - A **technical owner** responsible for system performance - A **business owner** responsible for use and outcomes - A **governance function** that sets standards and conducts reviews

## Vendor Oversight

Most organisations use AI systems built by third parties. Vendor oversight is a critical but often neglected part of AI governance.

Key questions for vendor oversight: - What AI is embedded in our vendor's products and services? - What access do we have to model cards, testing results, and incident reports? - Who is contractually responsible when the vendor's AI causes harm?

## The Board's Role

AI governance is increasingly a board-level responsibility. Boards should expect:

- Regular reporting on AI risk exposure
- Clear escalation paths for significant AI failures
- Evidence that management has an adequate governance framework in place

## Key Questions for Leaders

- Do we have a complete inventory of AI systems in use across our organisation?
  - Is accountability for each AI system clearly assigned?
  - How do we oversee AI systems provided by third-party vendors?
  - When did our board last receive a report on AI risk?
- 

← AI Strategy