Behavior Cloning Project

The goals / steps of this project are the following:

- Use the simulator to collect data of good driving behavior
- Build, a convolution neural network in Keras that predicts steering angles from images
- Train and validate the model with a training and validation set
- Test that the model successfully drives around track one without leaving the road
- Summarize the results in this report

Project Files

My project includes the following files:

- model.py containing the script to create and train the model
- <u>drive.py</u> for driving the car in autonomous mode
- <u>nvidia.h5</u> containing a trained nvidia[1] CNN for track 1
- <u>lenet.h5</u> containing a trained LeNet[2] CNN for track 1
- nvidia.t2.h5 trained CNN used for track 2
- A recorded video of the autonomous driving
- A track 2 autonomous video of the autonomous driving
- Visualization of CNN layers code is <u>visualize.py</u>
- A recorded video of the autonomous driving (using lenet model)

Code and its usage

The car can be driven autonomously around the track 1 by executing

python drive.py nvidia.h5

(To verify the driving using LeNet based model the file lenet.h5 can be used)

I got the track 2 also working! After a lot of effort. It can be run using

python drive.py nvidia.t2.h5

The trained models are different for both the tracks. But they can be merged, in a future work, which is discussed below.

The model.py file has the code, which uses Keras to build the models. It has 3 functions each to build either a basic, LeNet or Nvida model. It can be used to generate and train new models. It has helpful comments explaining the broader logic.

Also, you can use the command below to pass the arguments:

```
python model.py -h

Typical usage:

python model.py ./recordings/data/ 3 #For Nvidia

python model.py ./recordings/data/ 2 #For LeNet
```

Model Architecture and Training Strategy

I started following the udacity given instructions to arrive at my final models, which worked. I started with a basic model of just one fully connected layer. Subsequently I tried LeNet based ans Nvidia models.

The following are common to both the models tried.

Learning rate:	Adam optimizer was used. Which takes care of reducing learning rate.
Overfitting prevention:	Relu activation as well as maxpool layers were used to prevent overfitting
Relu	Relu layers are used after the conv layers for introducing non-linearity

Training data

My game playing skills are below par. But thankfully my family members have good skills there. So I requested them to drive the car in the simulator for me. I reviewed their driving and ensured it was recorded only for good drives. I gave tolerance to occasional swerving within the lane, as that would be the case in real world too.

They all saved in separate folders. I made changes to model.py to incorporate loading from multiple folders. Described in more details below.

Architecture and Training Documentation

Solution Design Approach

The overall strategy for deriving a model architecture was to be able to test drive on at least track 1 without going off the road. For that first I wanted to bring validation loss below or around 1 or 2 %.

I quickly rejected the basic model, as it was was too small to learn anything. I tried with LeNet. And with some modifications and data, it could work reasonably well. As can be seen in the <u>video_lenet.mp4</u>.

I had to augment data using all the suggested strategies:

- Using left and right images with a correction(0.2)
- Flipping the images

Then I tried the same with Nvidia model. And that also worked. And worked better! The <u>resultant automated video</u> of it, is much better driving than LeNet. And does not cut any side lane at all, and most times drives comfortably in the center!

The final step was to run the simulator to see how well the car was driving around track one.

At the end of the process, the vehicle is able to drive autonomously around the track without leaving the road for both LeNet and Nvidia models.

Of course to achieve that state, I had to run various combinations on the GPU for at least around 50 times. Please see below as snapshot of my local disk, for the saved models:

```
lenet.h5.gpu10.track1.epochs10.didnt_work
lenet.h5.gpu2.in_maxpool_2ndlayer
lenet.h5.gpu.2.in_maxpool_2ndlayer
lenet.h5.gpu.3.minhal2_more_laps
lenet.h5.gpu.5.llap_on_track2
lenet.h5.gpu.6.9 laps_and_udactty_data_didnt_work
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track2.worked_initially
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track2.worked_initially
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track2.worked_initially
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track1.epochs3.didntwork
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track2.worked_initially
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track1.epochs3.didntwork
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track1.epochs3.didntwork
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track1.epochs3.didntwork
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track1.epochs3.didntwork
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track1.epochs3.didntwork
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track2.worked_initially
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track1.epochs3.didntwork
lenet.h5.gpu.9.track2.popchg2.works_partially_better_than_before
nvidia.h5.gpu.9.track1.ram_3_opp.epochs5.runs_best_so_far
nvidia.h5.gpu.29.tl.iram_3_manzood_2.runs_best_so_far
nvidia.h5.gpu.29.tl.iram_3_manzood_2.runs_best_bet_but_cuts_side_lane_later_on
nvidia.h5.gpu.1.uiall3
nvidia.h5.gpu.30.udacity_straight.bad
nvidia.h5.gpu.10.both_tracks.didntwork
nvidia.h5.gpu.31.udaity_straight.best_so_far
nvidia.h5.gpu.10.both_tracks.didntwork
nvidia.h5.gpu.11.lilaps_bad
nvidia.h5.gpu.12.glaps_works_almost
nvidia.h5.gpu.13.lilaps_bad
nvidia.h5.gpu.14.lilaps_udacity_data_bad
nvidia.h5.gpu.15.all_data_both_tracks.bad
nvidia.h5.gpu.17.udacity_idata_bad
nvidia.h5.gpu.17.udacity_iram_didnt_work
nvidia.h5.gpu.18.12laps_bad
```

Track 2 driving

I am glad to be able to get my car to drive on track 2 as well. I learnt a few things in order to achieve it. Track 2 is more curvy, so with normal inputs used for track 1, was failing at the first major curve (where the fence is on the right)

I explored the forums and came across this lovely article by Vivek Yadav[3], which suggests a few novel(to me) augmentation techniques, two of which I used as described below:

- A lot of driving is straight especially in the track 1, which results a lot steering values with 0 value. So the training has a bias towards driving straight, which causes problem navigating curvy roads (especially like the ones in track 2). So I dropped around 90% of my values below a threshold (.002 for track 2, and .1 for track 1). (As a side effect of trying track 2, my trained model, drives much better on track 1 as well!)
 - 2) Applying horizontal and vertical shift, and also applying the corrected steering angle for the same. This augments a lot of great data. In fact for my track 2 training, I always used augmented data, with a possible max shift of 70 pixels in either direction. As a result, it was able to encounter all the curves of track 2, very smoothly, as can be seen in the recorded driving for track2.

Final Model Architecture

I tried successfully with two types of Models. One based on LeNet and other on Nvidia.

LeNet based model

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #	Details
lambda_1 (Lambda)	(None, 160, 320, 3)	0	None denotes variable no. of inputs. Also we use the layer to normalize the inputs (-0.5 to 0.5)
maxpooling2d_1 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 80, 160, 3)	0	Maxpool later used upfront, as an alternative resize (scaling down) of the image by 2x2
cropping2d_1 (Cropping2D)	(None, 45, 160, 3)	0	Note the size change because of cropping out the top and bottom rows.
convolution2d_1 (Convolution2D)	(None, 43, 158, 6)	168	1st conv layer. 6 Filters of 3x3 Activation: Relu
maxpooling2d_2 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 21, 79, 6)	0	Serves to reduce over fitting. Managing the size of the model.
convolution2d_2 (Convolution2D)	(None, 17, 75, 16)	2416	2nd conv layer. 16 Filters of 5x5 Activation: Relu
maxpooling2d_3 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 8, 37, 16)	0	Maxpool layer after conv layer
flatten_1 (Flatten)	flatten_1 (Flatten)	0	For flattening the data in a single row (to serve as inputs to FC layer ahead)
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 300)	1421100	1st FC layer
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 1)	301	2nd and final FC layer
Total params:	1,423,985		

Nvidia based model

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #	Details
Input layer	(None, 160, 320)	0	Images are of size 160x320

cropping2d_1 (Cropping2D)	(None, 90, 320, 3)	0	We improvise. At the outset I crop out the unneeded portions of the image i.e. top 50 and bottom 20 rows
lambda_1 (Lambda)	(None, 66, 235, 3)	0	We resize the image further to match the input height of Nvidia paper's architecture
lambda_2 (Lambda)	(None, 66, 235, 3)	0	Normalization layer To get the values between -0.5 to 0.5
convolution2d_1 (Convolution2D)	(None, 31, 116, 24)	1824	1st conv layer. 24 Filters of 5x5 Activation: Relu
convolution2d_2 (Convolution2D)	(None, 14, 56, 36)	21636	2nd conv layer. 36 Filters of 5x5 Activation: Relu
convolution2d_3 (Convolution2D)	(None, 5, 26, 48)	43248	3rd conv layer. 48 Filters of 5x5 Activation: Relu
convolution2d_4 (Convolution2D)	(None, 3, 24, 64)	27712	4th conv layer. 64 Filters of 3x3 Activation: Relu
convolution2d_5 (Convolution2D)	(None, 1, 22, 64)	36928	5th conv layer. 64 Filters of 3x3 Activation: Relu
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None, 1408)	0	For flattening the data in a single row (to serve as inputs to FC layer ahead)
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 100)	140900	1st FC layer
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 50)	5050	2nd FC layer
dense_3(Dense)	(None, 10)	(None, 10)	3rd FC layer
dense_4 (Dense)	(None, 1)	11	4th and final FC layer

Total params:	277,819

Here is a visualization of the Nvidia based architecture:

Original image:



Visualization layers:



The top layers (e.g. cnn1) left most image and few other images, clearly show the activation for the road boundaries. Also while visualizing had a key insight, that the layers need to get think vertically (less height), as ultimately we are interested in the steering angle (which is a horizontal selection). On enlarging the image, we can see whites in left and right border of few of the filters. Suggesting steering angle could be in between them.

Creation of the Training Set & Training Process

I used the simulator's record option to generate images for each set. I augmented the data with using left, right images as well as flipped the data. So as to have 6 times the training data.

At first I made the mistake of running my program (model.py) via a script over various folders. But this was erroneous, as I learnt painfully. In this case it would just learn based on the last folder provided. I had assumed that a *transfer learning* kind of approach would work. But it didn't.

So I decided to load the data all at once to train, modified my model.py suitably. The code for that is in <code>load_all_sub_dirs()</code> function in model.py

Also I used the generator concept, as advised by udacity material, to prevent any possible out of memory errors.

After some trial and error, I arrived at 5 epochs as a no. which works well. Although, sometimes the model/data combination worked fine for 3, and other times at 7 epochs.

The training set, validation set ration I settled for is 10% for validation.

My final validation accuracy, for good results was around 2% +/- .5%. But apparently the precise value had no direct correlation with how the car performed on the track. And I selected the results which looked good on the track, as this project is about behavior cloning.

Training for track 2

After getting things working on track 1, I tried for 2 days to get track 2 working, and it worked! As a result my training process also changed, in how I augment the data. The two techniques, one for filtering out a lot of near zero steering values, and other to generate more horizontally shifted pictures, to get more angles, helped to get it working for track 2. And also the driving for track 1 became smoother.

Summary of Learnings

- 1. Some training data, which looked good, did not yield best results. So finally I had to pick the one which gave the best results. I realize that it raises questions on the training method. But the reason, I accept this, is that perhaps in real world we need much more amount of training data. The Nvidia paper[1] talks of 72 hours of training data. The test result would improve in a giving a better feel, with more data. Just that, it may cut the lane marker at few more times.
- 2. Track 2 is difficult, it has some very sharp turns. Its very hard to train the network for those kind of cases. And the car would normally get stuck there.
- 3. By visualizing the CNN layers, I had an insight on why the later layers of CNN need to get thin vertically. This is a regression, and we are interested in the **horizontal** steering angle.
- 4. On curvy roads like those in track 2. We need to augment the data, by shifting the image and steering angle horizontally. For straighter driving, that is needed but the shifting may be less, for smoother driving. I used 140 pixels for track 2 and 70 pixels for track 1, for best results.
- 5. Removing the bias to drive straight. As described above, that was done by removing near zero steering values. The thresholds need to be different for different tracks, by my limited experience. As such this is pre processing, so it should be Okay to do it.
- 6. A good amount of time and research was spent, in pre processing the data. This must be remembered for any machine learning project.

Future work

One limitation of the current state of this project is that it needs differently trained models for track 2 and track 1, although the model architecture is same.

As it only differs in pre-processing, One can, differently pre process training data for those two tracks and train a model simultaneously. It needs to be verified that one model would be sufficient to autonomously drive the car in both tracks.

References

[1] Nvidia paper 'End to end self driving cars'

https://images.nvidia.com/content/tegra/automotive/images/2016/solutions/pdf/end-to-end-dl-using-px.pdf

[2] The (famous) LeNet model paper:

http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/publis/pdf/lecun-98.pdf

[3] Article by Vivek Yadav, of the 1st cohort

https://chatbotslife.com/using-augmentation-to-mimic-human-driving-496b569760a9