

CAS 760
Simple Type Theory
Winter 2026

6 Theories

William M. Farmer

Department of Computing and Software
McMaster University

December 31, 2025



Outline

1. Axiomatic theories.
2. Theory extensions.
3. Conservative theory extensions.
4. Categorical theories.
5. Complete theories.
6. Fundamental Form of a Mathematical Problem.

1. Theories

Theories [1/3]

- An axiomatic theory (theory for short) of Alonzo is a pair $T = (L, \Gamma)$ where:
 1. L is a language of Alonzo.
 2. Γ is a set of sentences of L called the axioms of T .

The axioms serve as the assumptions of T .
- A general model M of L is a model of T , written $M \models T$, if $M \models \Gamma$.
- A formula \mathbf{A}_o of L is a valid in T or a theorem of T , written $T \models \mathbf{A}_o$, if $\Gamma \models \mathbf{A}_o$.
- T is satisfiable if Γ is satisfiable.
- The minimum theory is the theory $T_{\min} = (L_{\min}, \emptyset)$.

Theories [2/3]

- The closure of a theory T , written \overline{T} , is the set of theorems of T .
- Two theories T_1 and T_2 having the same language are equivalent, written $T_1 \equiv T_2$, if $\overline{T_1} = \overline{T_2}$.
- A theory T_1 is included in a theory T_2 , written $T_1 \preceq T_2$, if $\overline{T_1} \subseteq \overline{T_2}$.
- An axiomatization of T is any theory $T' = (L, \Gamma')$ that is equivalent to T .
 - ▶ T is finitely axiomatizable if there is an axiomatization $T' = (L, \Gamma')$ of T such that Γ' is finite.
 - ▶ T is recursively axiomatizable if there is an axiomatization $T' = (L, \Gamma')$ of T such that Γ' is decidable.

Theories [3/3]

- Two flavors of theories:
 1. Specifies a single structure.
 2. Specifies a collection of structures.
- Examples of computing-based theories:
 1. Theory of labeled binary trees.
 - ▶ Labeled binary trees is an inductive set.
 - ▶ Modeled on Peano arithmetic.
 2. Theory of the IP protocol.
 - ▶ Internet as a bipartite graph.
 - ▶ IP addresses.
 - ▶ IP datagrams.
 - ▶ Routing mechanism.

Mathematical Knowledge Modules

- Mathematical knowledge module (modules for short) define the following Alonzo objects:
 - ▶ Theories and theory extensions.
 - ▶ Developments and development extensions.
 - ▶ Theory translations and theory translation extensions.
 - ▶ Development translations and development translation extensions.
 - ▶ Definition, theorem, and group transports.
- Modules are used to construct libraries of mathematical knowledge.

Theory Definition Module

Theory Definition X.Y

Name: Name.

Base types: $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$.

Constants: $\mathbf{c}_{\alpha_1}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{\alpha_n}^n$.

Axioms:

1. \mathbf{A}_o^1 (description of \mathbf{A}_o^1).

⋮

p. \mathbf{A}_o^p (description of \mathbf{A}_o^p).

Theory of Monoids

Theory Definition (Monoids)

Name: MON.

Base types: S .

Constants: $\cdot_{S \rightarrow S \rightarrow S}, e_S$.

Axioms:

1. $\forall x, y, z : S . x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$ (associativity).
2. $\forall x : S . e \cdot x = x$ (left identity).
3. $\forall x : S . x \cdot e = x$ (right identity).

Theory of Weak Partial Orders

Theory Definition (Weak Partial Orders)

Name: WPO.

Base types: S .

Constants: $\leq_{S \rightarrow S \rightarrow o}$.

Axioms:

1. $\forall x : S . x \leq x$ (reflexivity).
2. $\forall x, y : S . (x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \Rightarrow x = y$ (antisymmetry).
3. $\forall x, y, z : S . (x \leq y \wedge y \leq z) \Rightarrow x \leq z$ (transitivity).

Peano Arithmetic [1/2]

Theory Definition (Peano Arithmetic)

Name: PA.

Base types: N .

Constants: $0_N, S_{N \rightarrow N}$.

Axioms:

1. $\text{TOTAL}(S)$ (S is total).
2. $\forall x : N . 0 \neq Sx$ (0 has no predecessor).
3. $\forall x, y : N . Sx = Sy \Rightarrow x = y$. (S is injective).
4. $\forall p : N \rightarrow o .$
$$(p0 \wedge \forall x : N . (px \Rightarrow p(Sx))) \Rightarrow \forall x : N . px$$
 (induction principle).

Peano Arithmetic [2/2]

- **Proposition.** There is a standard model of PA that defines the structure $(\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$ where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers, $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ is the natural number 0, and $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the successor function on \mathbb{N} .
- Let M be a model of PA. A member of D_N^M is a **finite element of M** if it is a member of

$$\{V_\varphi^M(S^m 0) \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \varphi \in \text{assign}(M)\},$$

where $S^m 0$ is the expression formed by applying $S_{N \rightarrow N}$ to 0_N $m \geq 0$ times.

- **Theorem.** A standard model of PA has no infinite elements.

Robinson Arithmetic

Theory Definition (Robinson Arithmetic)

Name: RA.

Base types: N .

Constants: $0_N, S_{N \rightarrow N}, +_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}, *_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}$.

Axioms:

1. $\text{TOTAL}(S)$ (S is total).
2. $\text{TOTAL2}(+)$ ($+$ is total).
3. $\text{TOTAL2}(*)$ ($*$ is total).
4. $\forall x : N . 0 \neq Sx$ (0 has no predecessor).
5. $\forall x, y : N . Sx = Sy \Rightarrow x = y.$ (S is injective).
6. $\forall x : N . (x = 0 \vee (\exists y : N . Sy = x))$ (every element is 0 or a successor).
7. $\forall x : N . x + 0 = x$ (definition of addition).
8. $\forall x, y : N . x + Sy = S(x + y)$ (definition of addition).
9. $\forall x : N . x * 0 = 0$ (definition of multiplication).
10. $\forall x, y : N . x * Sy = (x * y) + x$ (definition of multiplication).

2. Theory Extensions

Theory Extensions

- Let $L_i = (\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{C}_i)$ be a language and $T_i = (L_i, \Gamma_i)$ be a theory for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- T_2 is an extension of T_1 (or T_1 is a subtheory of T_2), written $T_1 \leq T_2$, if $L_1 \leq L_2$ and $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$.
- Proposition.** $T_{\min} \leq T$ for every theory T .
- Proposition.** $T_1 \leq T_2$ implies $T_1 \preceq T_2$.
- Proposition.** Let $T_1 \leq T_2$. T_2 is satisfiable implies T_1 is satisfiable.

Theory Extension Module

Theory Extension X.Y

Name: Name.

Extends Name-of-subtheory.

New base types: $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$.

New constants: $\mathbf{c}_{\alpha_1}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{\alpha_n}^n$.

New axioms:

p. \mathbf{A}_o^p (description of \mathbf{A}_o^p).

⋮

q. \mathbf{A}_o^q (description of \mathbf{A}_o^q).

Theory of Groups

Theory Extension (Groups)

Name: GRP.

Extends: MON.

New base types: (none).

New constants: $\cdot^{-1}_{S \rightarrow S}$.

New axioms:

$$4. \forall x : S . x^{-1} \cdot x = e \quad (\text{left inverse}).$$

$$5. \forall x : S . x \cdot x^{-1} = e \quad (\text{right inverse}).$$

Theory of Weak Total Orders

Theory Extension (Weak Total Orders)

Name: WTO.

Extends: WPO.

New base types: (none).

New constants: (none).

New axioms:

$$4. \forall x, y : S . x \leq y \vee y \leq x \quad (\text{totality}).$$

Dense Weak Total Orders without Endpoints

Theory Extension (Dense WTOs without Endpoints)

Name: DWTOWE.

Extends: WTO.

New base types: (none).

New constants: (none).

New axioms:

5. $\exists x, y : S . x \neq y$ (more than one element).
6. $\forall x, y : S . x < y \Rightarrow (\exists z : S . x < z < y)$ (density).
7. $\forall x : S . \exists y : S . y < x$ (no minimum).
8. $\forall x : S . \exists y : S . x < y$ (no maximum).

Semantics and Theory Extensions

- Let $T_1 \leq T_2$.
- Then the theorems of T_1 are theorems of T_2 .
- T_2 can compromise the semantics of T_1 in two ways:
 1. Some nontheorems of T_1 become theorems of T_2 .
 2. Some models of T_1 cannot be expanded to models of T_2 .
- Extreme case: T_1 is satisfiable, but T_2 is not.
- T_2 will not compromise the semantics of T_1 if it is a **conservative extension** of T_1 .

3. Conservative Theory Extensions

Basic Definitions and Results

- Let $T_1 \leq T_2$.
- T_2 is a **conservative extension** of T_1 , written $T_1 \trianglelefteq T_2$, if, for all formulas \mathbf{A}_o of L_1 , $T_2 \models \mathbf{A}_o$ implies $T_1 \models \mathbf{A}_o$.
- T_2 is a **model conservative extension** of T_1 , written $T_1 \trianglelefteq_m T_2$, if every model of T_1 expands to a model of T_2 .
- **Proposition.** Let $T_1 \trianglelefteq T_2$. Then T_1 is satisfiable iff T_2 is satisfiable.
- **Proposition.** $T_1 \trianglelefteq_m T_2$ implies $T_1 \trianglelefteq T_2$.
- We will define an extension PA^+ of PA that is conservative, but not model conservative.

Definitional Extensions

- T_2 is a **simple definitional extension** of T_1 , written $T_1 \trianglelefteq_{\text{sd}} T_2$, if:
 1. $\mathbf{c}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{C}_1$.
 2. $L_2 = (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \{\mathbf{c}_\alpha\})$.
 3. \mathbf{A}_α is a closed expression of L_1 .
 4. $T_1 \models \mathbf{A}_\alpha \downarrow$.
 5. $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1 \cup \{\mathbf{c}_\alpha = \mathbf{A}_\alpha\}$.
- T_2 is a **definitional extension** of T_1 , written $T_1 \trianglelefteq_d T_2$, if there is a sequence of theories U_1, \dots, U_n with $n \geq 2$ such that $T_1 = U_1 \trianglelefteq_{\text{sd}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\text{sd}} U_n = T_2$.

Example: Simple Definitional Extension

Theory Extension (Peano Arithmetic with 1)

Name: PA-with-1.

Extends: PA.

New base types: (none).

New constants: 1_N .

New axioms:

5. $1 = S 0$.

Specifical Extentions

- T_2 is a simple specifical extention of T_1 , written
 $T_1 \trianglelefteq_{ss} T_2$, if:
 1. $\mathbf{c}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{C}_1$.
 2. $L_2 = (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \{\mathbf{c}_\alpha\})$.
 3. $\exists \mathbf{x} : \alpha . \mathbf{B}_o$ is a sentence of L_1 .
 4. $T_1 \models \exists \mathbf{x} : \alpha . \mathbf{B}_o$.
 5. $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1 \cup \{\mathbf{B}_o[(\mathbf{x} : \alpha) \mapsto \mathbf{c}_\alpha]\}$.
- T_2 is a specifical extention of T_1 , written $T_1 \trianglelefteq_s T_2$, if there is a sequence of theories U_1, \dots, U_n with $n \geq 2$ such that $T_1 = U_1 \trianglelefteq_{ss} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{ss} U_n = T_2$.

Example: Simple Specificational Extension

Theory Extension (Peano Arithmetic with a Successor)

Name: PA-with-a-successor.

Extends: PA.

New base types: (none).

New constants: a-successor_N.

New axioms:

5. $0 \neq \text{a-successor}.$

Definition and Specification Extensions

Lemma.

1. $T_1 \trianglelefteq_d T_2$ implies that, for all formulas \mathbf{A}_o of L_2 , there is formula \mathbf{B}_o of L_1 such that $T_2 \models \mathbf{A}_o \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{B}_o$.
2. $T_1 \trianglelefteq_d T_2$ implies $T_1 \trianglelefteq_s T_2$.
3. $T_1 \trianglelefteq_d T_2$ implies that every model of T_1 can be expanded to a unique model of T_2 .
4. $T_1 \trianglelefteq_s T_2$ implies that every model of T_1 can be expanded to a model of T_2 .
5. $T_1 \trianglelefteq_d T_2$ implies $T_1 \trianglelefteq_m T_2$.
6. $T_1 \trianglelefteq_s T_2$ implies $T_1 \trianglelefteq_m T_2$.

Recursive Definitions

There are three ways of defining a function (e.g., $+_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}$) recursively in a theory (e.g., PA):

1. Theory extension.

- ▶ Add $+_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}$ to the constants of PA.
- ▶ Add the following two sentences to the axioms of PA:
 $\forall x : N . x + 0 = x$.
 $\forall x, y : N . x + S y = S(x + y)$.

2. Simple specifical extension.

- ▶ Add $+_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}$ to the constants of PA.
- ▶ Add the following sentence to the axioms of PA:
 $\forall x, y : N . x + 0 = x \wedge x + S y = S(x + y)$.

3. Simple definitional extension.

- ▶ Add $+_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}$ to the constants of PA.
- ▶ Add the following sentence to the axioms of PA:
 $+ = \lambda f : N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N .$
 $\forall x, y : N . f x 0 = x \wedge f x(S y) = S(f x y)$.

Recursive Definition Schemes

Schemes for verifying that a recursive definition of a function is well-defined:

1. Use the rules of **primitive recursion** to define the function.
 - ▶ Restricted to functions on natural numbers.
 - ▶ Guarantees that the function is total and computable.
2. Use the rules of **general recursion** to define a function.
 - ▶ Restricted to functions on natural numbers.
 - ▶ Guarantees that the function is computable.
3. Use **well-founded recursion** to define the function.
 - ▶ Most general form of recursion.
 - ▶ Special cases: **structural recursion** and **ordinal recursion**.
4. Use a **monotone functional** to define the function.
 - ▶ The monotone functional operates on partial functions.
 - ▶ Every monotone functional has a least fixed point.
 - ▶ The function is defined as this least fixed point.

Peano Arithmetic with $+$ and $*$ [1/2]

Theory Extension (Peano Arithmetic with $+$ and $*$)

Name: PA'.

Extends: PA.

New base types: (none).

New constants: $P_{N \rightarrow N}$, $+_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}$, $*_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N}$, $\leq_{N \rightarrow N \rightarrow o}$, $N_{\{N\}}$.

New axioms:

5. $P = \lambda x : N . \lambda y : N . S y = x$ (definition of predecessor function).
6. $+ = \lambda f : N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N .$

$$\forall x, y : N . f x 0 = x \wedge f x (S y) = S(f x y)$$

(definition of addition function).

7. $* = \lambda f : N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N .$

$$\forall x, y : N . f x 0 = 0 \wedge f x (S y) = (f x y) + x$$

(definition of multiplication function).

8. $\leq = \lambda x : N . \lambda y : N . \exists z : N . x + z = y$

(definition of weak total order).

9. $N_{\{N\}} = U_{\{N\}}$

(definition of quasitype for the type N).

Peano Arithmetic with $+$ and $*$ [2/2]

- Proposition. $\text{PA} \trianglelefteq_d \text{PA}'$.
- Proposition. $\text{RA} \preceq \text{PA}'$.

Peano Arithmetic with an Infinite Element

Theory Extension (Peano Arithmetic with ∞ Element)

Name: PA⁺.

Extends: PA.

New base types: (none).

New constants: C_N .

New axioms:

1. $C \neq 0$.
2. $C \neq S 0$.
3. $C \neq S(S 0)$.

⋮

Properties of PA^+

- Theorem.
 1. PA^+ is satisfiable.
 2. Every model of PA^+ has an infinite element.
 3. Every model of PA^+ is a nonstandard model.
 4. $\text{PA} \trianglelefteq \text{PA}^+$.
 5. $\text{PA} \not\triangleleftharpoonup_m \text{PA}^+$.
- Corollary. PA has a nonstandard model that has an infinite element.
- Corollary. There is a conservative extension that is not a model conservative extension.

4. Categorical Theories

Maximal Theories

- A theory T can be used to specify:
 1. A **collection of structures** (i.e., the structures defined by the models of T).
 2. A **collection of sentences that are valid in the structures** (i.e., the theorems of T).
- A **categorical theory** is a theory that is a maximal specification of the first kind.
- A **complete theory** is a theory that is a maximal specification of the second kind.

Basic Definitions and Results [1/2]

- A theory is **categorical** (in the general sense) if it has a unique model (up to isomorphism).¹
- A theory is **categorical in the standard sense** if it has a unique standard model (up to isomorphism).
- **Proposition.** Let $T_1 \trianglelefteq_d T_2$. Then:
 1. T_1 is categorical iff T_2 is categorical.
 2. T_1 is categorical in the standard sense iff T_2 is categorical in the standard sense.
- **Theorem (Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem).** Let T be a theory. If T has an infinite general model, then T has a general model of size and power κ for every cardinal $\kappa \geq \|L\|$.

¹This concept was introduced by Oswald Veblen in 1904.

Basic Definitions and Results [2/2]

- **Corollary.** Every theory that has an infinite general model is noncategorical.
- **Corollary.** Let T be a theory. Then the following are equivalent:
 1. T is categorical.
 2. T has a unique finite general model (up to isomorphism) and no infinite general models.
 3. T is categorical in the standard sense and has no infinite general models.

Categoricity of PA

- **Theorem.** PA is categorical in the standard sense.
- **Corollary.** PA' is categorical in the standard sense.
- **Corollary.** There is a theory categorical in the standard sense that uniquely specifies $(\mathbb{N}, 0, 1, +, *)$, the structure of natural number arithmetic.

Rational Numbers Order [1/2]

Theory Extension (Rational Numbers Order)

Name: RAT.

Extends: DWTOWE.

New base types: (none).

New constants: (none).

New axioms:

9. $\text{COUNT}(U_{\{S\}})$. (S is countable.)

Rational Numbers Order [2/2]

- **Proposition.** RAT is a specification in the standard sense of all countable dense weak total orders without endpoints.
- **Theorem.** RAT is categorical in the standard sense.
- The proof uses:

Theorem (Cantor). Every two countable dwtowes are order isomorphic.

5. Complete Theories

Basic Definitions and Results

- Let $T = (L, \Gamma)$ be a theory.
- T is **semantically complete** if either $T \models \mathbf{A}_o$ or $T \models \neg \mathbf{A}_o$ holds for all sentences \mathbf{A}_o of L .
- T is **syntactically complete in \mathfrak{A}** , if either $T \vdash_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathbf{A}_o$ or $T \vdash_{\mathfrak{A}} \neg \mathbf{A}_o$ holds for all sentences \mathbf{A}_o of L .
- **Theorem.** T is semantically complete iff T is syntactically complete in \mathfrak{A} .
- **Theorem.** Every complete theory is satisfiable.
- **Proposition.** Let $T_1 \trianglelefteq_d T_2$. Then T_1 is complete iff T_2 is complete.
- **Examples of complete theories:**
 - ▶ The complete theory of any general model.
 - ▶ Any categorical theory.

Gödel's First Incompleteness Thm. for Alonzo

- A theory T is **sufficiently strong** if $\text{RA} \preceq T$.
- A theory T is **essentially incomplete** if T is incomplete and every satisfiable, recursively axiomatizable extension of T is incomplete.
- **Theorem (Gödel's FIT for Alonzo).** Let T be a theory of Alonzo that is (1) satisfiable, (2) recursively axiomatizable, and (3) sufficiently strong. Then T is essentially incomplete.
- **Corollary.** RA is essentially incomplete.
- **Corollary.** PA is essentially incomplete.
- **Corollary.** There is no sound proof system for Alonzo that is complete in the standard sense.

Recursively Axiomatizable Theories

- There are complete, satisfiable, recursively axiomatizable theories that have only finite models.
 - ▶ Any categorical theory is an example.
- Is there a complete, satisfiable, recursively axiomatizable theory that has infinite general models?
- Theorem. Let T be a satisfiable, recursively axiomatizable theory. If T has only infinite general models, then T is essentially incomplete.
- Corollary. Let T be a satisfiable, recursively axiomatizable theory. Then T is complete iff it is categorical.
- So the answer is no!

Complete First-Order Theories

- There are several well-known first-order theories that can be shown to be complete in first-order logic using the method of quantifier elimination:
 - ▶ Presburger arithmetic.
 - ▶ Theory of real closed fields.
 - ▶ Theory of algebraically closed fields.
 - ▶ Theory of dense total orders without endpoints.
- The analogs of these theories expressed in Alonzo are usually incomplete.
- **Theorem.** The theory DWTOWE is incomplete.

6. Fundamental Form of a Mathematical Problem

Fundamental Form of a Mathematical Problem

- The fundamental form of a mathematical problem (FFMP) in Alonzo is

$$T \vDash^s \mathbf{A}_o$$

where $T = (L, \Gamma)$ is a theory of Alonzo and \mathbf{A}_o is a sentence of L .

- The problem is **positively** solved by proving that this statement holds and is **negatively** solved by proving that this statement does not hold.
- There are three principal approaches for solving a problem of this form:
 1. Model-theoretic approach.
 2. Proof-theoretic approach.
 3. Decision procedure approach.
- FFMP cannot generally be expressed in first-order logic.

The End.