


Grade	72.00 / 100.00
Graded on	Friday, 5 January 2018, 6:24 PM
Graded by	 Ioannis Benardis



General Comments

- Very good introduction setting the scope of the report and outlining the sections to follow.
- Figure 1 is not very descriptive. Interrelation is mentioned and is adequate.

Originality 18/25

- The way the author has structured implications for developers and users within each theme provides useful insights that are thematically discrete and easy to follow.
- In addition, the discussion and conclusion sections include notions such as the societal discipline that could be further elaborated to provide new views on the topic.

Rigour 18/25

- The three entities are defined nicely and they are explored at a good level of thoroughness. There is a slight imbalance in terms of privacy and Ideally, this would have to be justified or equalised.
- Parts of the report require additional support from sources. Remember even individual claims need a point of reference to build upon.

Clarity 17/25

- There needs to be a linking part to link the definitions with the individual sections as well as the sections between them to make the report more fluent.
- The titles could be more descriptive and provide a hierarchy to make the report and concepts more easy to follow (e.g. 3. Challenges with Privacy, 3.1 Privacy issues and solutions in Development Processes etc.)
- The expression is generally good and proofreading could help alleviate small mistakes.

Significance 19/25

- The author identifies implications for designers and users of mobile and pervasive systems and separates them nicely into the three core themes. This helps a lot with the understanding of each concept. The examples used further help with this. That being said some of them were at a quite high/general level to provide a strong argument.
- The concepts in the discussion and conclusion show that the author can argue on the topic and has a clear thesis. Some future work implications are mentioned and this is appreciated.
- An improvement of this would be if the author could isolate generalisable observations and perhaps provide an overview (in a table?) of the suggestions to provide practical and methodological contributions to users and designers.

Overall 72/100

- A very good report that shows a comprehensive understanding of the topic described. The author shows the ability to argue on the topic but the higher level concepts mentioned could have been explored further for a more scholarly approach. The suggestions were sensible and the expression was overall good. Structuring and linking could be improved.

Feedback
comments