

Computational Thinking - Sorting Algorithms Project (50%)

Dominic Carr

1 Project Specification

For this project you will write an application to benchmark five sorting algorithms. You will also write a report which introduces the algorithms, and discusses the results of the benchmarking.

The five sorting algorithms must be chosen according to the following criteria:

1. Bubble Sort
2. Selection Sort
3. Insertion Sort
4. An efficient comparison-based sort (Merge Sort, Quicksort or Heap Sort)
5. A non-comparison sort (Counting Sort, Bucket Sort or Radix Sort)

2 The Application (40%)

This application should include implementations of the five sorting algorithms, along with a main method which tests each of them. Note that it is fine to reuse or adapt code for sorting algorithms, as long as you add your own comments to the code, and acknowledge the source. Any comments should be your own, the comments express your own understanding.

To benchmark the algorithms, you should use arrays of randomly generated integers with different input sizes n . You should use a variety of different input sizes, e.g. $n=10$, $n=100$, $n=500$, ..., $n=10000$ etc. to test the effect of the input size on the running time of each algorithm. See the console output in Figure 1 for a selection of suggested sizes of n . You may test values of n which are higher than 10000 if you wish, e.g. 500000. Just be aware that algorithms such as Bubble Sort may take a long time to run!

Note that once an array is sorted, it is sorted. There is no point running the algorithm on sorted data. Try this out in a loop repeating the sort to see what I mean.

The running time (in milliseconds) for each algorithm should be measured 10 times, and the average of the 10 runs for each algorithm and each input size n should be output to the console when the program finishes executing. See sample console output in Figure 1 (note that the output is formatted to 3 decimal places and laid out neatly):

Size	100	250	500	750	1000	1250	2500	3750	5000	6250	7500	8750	10000
Bubble Sort	0.102	0.149	0.403	0.480	0.793	1.180	4.242	11.394	21.123	37.690	47.000	63.786	95.543
Selection Sort	0.012	0.059	0.201	0.406	0.672	0.998	4.518	12.229	20.606	35.831	54.218	67.590	93.471
Insertion Sort	0.012	0.060	0.200	0.408	1.270	1.178	4.618	8.946	19.700	36.823	49.433	64.449	91.628
Counting Sort	0.011	0.031	0.056	0.070	0.018	0.021	0.025	0.023	0.030	0.037	0.062	0.031	0.032
Merge Sort	0.027	0.029	0.061	0.097	0.098	0.089	0.184	0.285	0.381	0.486	0.596	0.701	1.568

Figure 1: Console Output from the application

The application will be graded as follows:

- Code Quality (10%)
- Commenting (10%)
- Elegance & efficiency of bench-marking approach (20%)

3 Report (60%)

- **Introduction (10%):** Introduce the concept of sorting and sorting algorithms, discuss the relevance of concepts such as complexity (time and space), performance, in-place sorting, stable sorting, comparator functions, comparison-based and non-comparison-based sorts, etc. Forward reference to where such issues arise in your report.
- **Sorting Algorithms ($5 \times 5 = 25\%$):** Introduce each algorithm, discuss its space and time complexity, and explain how each algorithm works using bespoke diagrams. As example input for the algorithms you **MUST** use the digits of your student number i.e. if your student number is G00398809 then use 3,9,8,8,0,9 as the input for your diagrams. If your diagrams are not original creations *you will get zero*, if you don't use your student number you will also get zero.
- **Implementation & Benchmarking (25%):** This section will describe the process followed when implementing the application, and present the results of benchmarking. Discuss how the measured performance of the algorithms differed – were the results similar to what you would expect, given the time complexity of each chosen algorithm? In this section you should use both a table and a graph to summarise the results obtained (see samples below).




Figure 2: Sample graph – note that the axes are labelled appropriately and include the correct units.

Size	100	250	500	750	1000	1250	2500	3750	5000	6250	7500	8750	10000
Bubble	0.134	0.207	0.519	0.533	0.787	1.176	4.399	10.657	18.325	29.051	47.164	64.957	95.915
Selection	0.012	0.059	0.202	0.406	0.674	1.005	3.754	9.002	26.442	34.325	52.65	75.001	94.126
Insertion	0.015	0.078	0.262	0.539	0.802	1.184	4.851	9.004	17.727	29	44.216	68.007	94.588
Counting	0.015	0.031	0.056	0.081	0.105	0.067	0.041	0.075	0.148	0.152	0.127	0.056	0.03
Merge	0.042	0.032	0.062	0.098	0.132	0.169	0.239	0.291	0.386	0.491	0.515	0.593	0.676

Table 1: Sample results table – all values are in milliseconds, and are the average of 10 repeated runs

4 Due Date

The date will be provided on Moodle. **Late submissions will be penalised, if it is up to one week late 20% will be subtracted, 10% more for every week thereafter. Max of 3 weeks, then zero will be awarded.**

5 Submission Instructions

- Code should be neatly laid out, well formatted and commented appropriately.
- Where diagrams are requested computer-generated diagrams or clear and legible scans (or pictures) of neat hand-drawn diagrams are acceptable.
- Where an explanation or discussion is requested, your answer is expected to be spell-checked, neatly laid out, and to use correct and appropriate grammar and terminology.

- Your report may be written using a standard word processor (e.g. MS Word) or LaTeX. **Please submit the final report in .pdf format.** You should also include all source code which was written for the project. All the files for your project submission are to be uploaded to Moodle in a single .zip folder (NOT in a .rar, .tar.gz, .7z etc.), with the naming convention g00123456.zip, where g00123456 is your student number.
- Separately the report is to be submitted to another link for analysis by the colleges plagiarism detection system Urkund. It should be included in the zip file and submitted to Urkund.

A failure to observe the above will incur a grading penalty.

6 Penalties

- Report is not a PDF (-5%)
- Project is not in a zip file (-5%)
- zip file is not named with student number (-2%)
- No diagram for a sorting algorithm (**0 for that section**)
- Student number not used as input for diagram (**0 for that section**)

7 Plagiarism

Plagiarism is passing off the work of another person as one's own.

While you are allowed to collaborate with your classmates and review online and print resources for high-level problem solving and background research, you are each expected to code, write and complete this assignment individually. If you use material from an external source (e.g. textbook, webpage, lecture notes) as part of your answer(s), you must explicitly acknowledge the source of the material. This should be done with in-text citations. An example of in-text citation can be found @ <https://guides.libraries.psu.edu/apaquickguide/intext>

Plagiarism is a serious academic offence, and may lead to a loss of marks and/or disciplinary proceedings.