BSc/BEng individual project assessment guidelines

The College's grade boundaries for BSc/BEng are the following:

First-class	>=70%
2:1	60-69%
2:2	50-59%
Pass	40-49%
Fail	<40%

90% - 100% A++ (Outstanding)	
Introduction	Defines the project area and its place within the subject, economic, customer and/or commercial context. Proceeds from the wider concerns of Informatics to the specific subject of the report by way of an orderly and natural progression of ideas.
Review	Reviews the background to the project comprehensively. Incorporates relevant issues succinctly. Omits the irrelevant. Identifies all the main issues, which are then analysed and developed. The Review is a penetrating analysis, showing an impressive grasp of the subject and excellent judgement in the selection of previous work that should be applied in project. It gives new insights into the project area, not immediately identifiable from literature.
Specification and Design	From the Review explains the rationale behind the design for the artefact, comparing alternatives and justifying any choices made. Describes the requirements for the artefact succinctly, but in enough detail for reader to appreciate all significant points. There is something distinctly ambitious about what is being attempted and the student has carried off the attempt with style, rarely defeated by problems encountered and more typically finding some clever solution.
Implementation	Displays a systematic and carefully planned approach, with a clear understanding of the material and the methodology. There is something distinctly ambitious about what has been attempted and the student has carried off the attempt with style, rarely defeated by problems encountered and more typically finding some clever solution. Shows a clever application of testing and/or verification techniques as well as a deep

	knowledge and understanding of software maintenance.
Evaluation	Evaluates the artefact, comparing results expected from
	theory (including those for alternative designs) with those
	obtained in practice.
Legal, social, ethical, and	Clearly indicates those professional standards, codes of
professional issues	conduct and legislation of relevance to the project
	conducted and succinctly describes their implications. It
	includes a thoughtful discussion of all relevant aspects
	such as: effects on the public well-being, computer
	security, environmental and sustainability aspects,
	economic and commercial factors, globalization,
	accessibility, Intellectual Property and related issues,
	software trustworthiness.
Conclusion	States clear conclusions from the work done, making
	accurate predictions of results that would be obtained by
	applying the present work in new areas, future lines of
	work or extensions.
Report Quality	Clear, coherent, logical structure to the report.
	Grammatical, expressive style. Appropriate use of
	references, diagrams, figures etc. Consistent formatting
	and correct use of bibliographical conventions.

80% - 89% A+ (Excellent)	
Introduction	Defines the project area and its place within the subject, economic, customer and/or commercial context.
Review	Reviews the background to the project comprehensively. Incorporates relevant issues succinctly, omitting the irrelevant. Identifies all the main issues, most of which are then analysed and developed.
Specification and Design	From the Review explains the rationale behind the design for the artefact, comparing alternatives and justifying any choices made. Describes the requirements for the artefact succinctly, but in enough detail for reader to appreciate all significant points.
Implementation	Displays a systematic and carefully planned approach, with a clear understanding of the material and the methodology. There is something distinctly ambitious about what has been attempted and the student has carried off the attempt with style, rarely defeated by problems encountered and more typically finding some clever solution. Shows a clever application of testing and/or verification techniques as well as a deep knowledge and understanding of software maintenance.

Evaluation	Evaluates the artefact, comparing results expected from
Evaluation	,
	theory with those obtained in practice.
Legal, social, ethical, and	Clearly indicates most of those professional standards,
professional issues	codes of conduct and legislation of relevance to the
	project conducted. It includes a thoughtful discussion of
	most of the relevant aspects such as: effects on the public
	well-being, computer security, environmental and
	sustainability aspects, economic and commercial factors,
	globalization, accessibility, Intellectual Property and
	related issues, software trustworthiness.
Conclusion	States clear conclusions from the work done, making
	accurate predictions of results that would be obtained by
	applying the present work in new areas, future lines of
	work or extensions.
Report Quality	Clear, coherent, logical structure to the report.
	Grammatical, expressive style. Appropriate use of
	references, diagrams, figures etc. Consistent formatting
	and correct use of bibliographical conventions.

70% - 79% A (Very Good)	
Introduction	Defines the project area and its place within the subject,
	economic, customer and/or commercial context.
Review	Reviews the background to project. Incorporates all
	relevant issues. Identifies the main issues, most of which
	are then analysed and developed.
Specification and Design	Explains the rationale behind the design for the artefact comparing some alternatives and justifying choices made. Describes the design for the artefact in enough detail for the reader to appreciate all significant points. Reveals
	more than mere competence in the application of
	standard knowledge.
Implementation	Displays a systematic and carefully planned approach, with a clear understanding of the material and the methodology. Reveals more than mere competence in the application of standard knowledge. There is a satisfying quality and thoroughness about the work and it is free from any significant defects. Shows a clever application of testing and/or verification techniques.
Evaluation	Evaluates the artefact, comparing results expected from
	theory with those obtained in practice.
Legal, social, ethical, and professional issues	Clearly indicates some of those professional standards, codes of conduct and legislation of relevance to the project conducted. It includes a thoughtful discussion of

	some relevant aspects such as: effects on the public well-being, computer security, environmental and sustainability aspects, economic and commercial factors, globalization, accessibility, Intellectual Property and related issues, software trustworthiness.
Conclusion	States most of the conclusions that can reasonably be drawn from the work done
Report Quality	Clear, coherent, logical structure to the report. Grammatical, expressive style. Appropriate use of references, diagrams, figures etc. Consistent formatting and correct use of bibliographical conventions.

60% - 69% B (Quite Good)	
Introduction	Defines the project area.
Review	Reviews the background to project. Incorporates some
	relevant issues. Identifies the main issues, most of which are then analysed and developed.
Specification and Design	Explains the rationale behind the design for the artefact comparing some alternatives and justifying choices made. Describes the design for the artefact in enough detail for the reader to appreciate all significant points. Reveals competence in the application of standard knowledge.
Implementation	The relevant problems were mostly solved and some unexpected difficulties were resolved to obtain a worthwhile result. Displays a systematic and carefully planned approach, with a clear understanding of the material and the methodology. Shows a correct application of testing and/or verification techniques.
Evaluation	Evaluates the artefact, comparing results expected from theory with those obtained in practice.
Legal, social, ethical, and professional issues	Indicates some professional standards, codes of conduct and legislation of relevance to the project conducted. It includes a discussion of some relevant aspects such as: effects on the public well-being, computer security, environmental and sustainability aspects, economic and commercial factors, globalization, accessibility, Intellectual Property and related issues, software trustworthiness.
Conclusion	States some conclusions that can be drawn from the work done.
Report Quality	Clear, coherent, logical structure to the report. Grammatical style, possibly with some errors. Appropriate use of references, diagrams, figures etc. Consistent

50% - 59% C (Good)	
Introduction	Defines the project area.
Review	Reviews the background to project. Identifies the main
	issues, some of which are then analysed.
Specification and Design	Explains the rationale behind the design for the artefact
	comparing some alternatives. De- scribes the design for
	the artefact in enough detail for the reader to appreciate
	all significant points. Reveals some competence in the
	application of standard knowledge.
Implementation	The relevant problems were mostly solved and some
	unexpected difficulties were resolved to obtain a
	worthwhile result. Testing and/or verification are poorly
	conducted.
Evaluation	Evaluates the artefact
Legal, social, ethical, and	Indicates a few professional standards, codes of conduct
professional issues	and legislation of relevance to the project conducted. It
	includes a discussion of a few relevant aspects such as:
	effects on the public well-being, computer security,
	environmental and sustainability aspects, economic and commercial factors. globalization. accessibility.
	commercial factors, globalization, accessibility, Intellectual Property and related issues, software
	trustworthiness.
Conclusion	States some conclusions that can be drawn from the work
Conclusion	done
Report Quality	Coherent structure to the report. Grammatical style,
nepore equality	possibly with some errors. Use of references, diagrams,
	figures etc. Consistent formatting and correct use of
	bibliographical conventions.

40% - 49% D (Satisfactory)	
Introduction	Defines the project area.
Review	Reviews the background to project. The review is roughly right in what it says but has little to show that the writer really understands the subject. It only considers a limited number of references.
Specification and Design	Lists some design issues, most of which are relevant. Describes the artefact itself.

	Discusses very little above a "nuts and bolts" level.
Implementation	The student has done more or less what was intended but
	has abandoned some aims when faced with unexpected
	difficulties. The final result is rather modest.
Evaluation	Evaluates some aspects of the artefact and in a rather
	limited way.
Legal, social, ethical, and	Mentions a few professional standards, codes of conduct
professional issues	and legislation of relevance to the project conducted.
Conclusion	States some conclusions that can be drawn from the work
	done
Report Quality	Structured report. Some explanations lack clarity.
	Comprehensible but not necessarily grammatical style.
	Correct use of bibliographical conventions

33% - 39% F (Relatively Poor)	
Introduction	Defines the project area.
Review	Lists some issues, some of which are relevant to the project
Specification and Design	Describes the artefact
Implementation	The artefact is likely to be fully developed but the original aims of the project are unfulfilled
Evaluation	
Legal, social, ethical, and professional issues	
Conclusion	States some conclusions that can be drawn from the work done
Report Quality	Comprehensible but not necessarily grammatical style. Correct use of bibliographical conventions

20% - 32% FF (Poor)	
Introduction	Defines the project area.
Review	Lists some issues, a few of which are relevant to the
	project
Specification and Design	Describes the artefact
Implementation	The artefact has not been fully developed
Evaluation	
Legal, social, ethical, and	

professional issues	
Conclusion	States some conclusions that can be drawn from the work
	done
Report Quality	Comprehensible but not necessarily grammatical style.
	Correct use of bibliographical
	conventions

0% - 19% FF (Very Poor)		
Introduction	Defines the project area.	
Review	States at least one issue relevant to the project	
Specification and Design	Describes some aspects of the artefact but the description is incomplete	
Implementation	The artefact has not been fully developed	
Evaluation		
Legal, social, ethical, and professional issues		
Conclusion		
Report Quality		