

(1) For each function  $f(n)$  and time  $t$  in the following table, determine the largest size  $n$  of a problem that can be solved in time  $t$ , assuming that the algorithm takes  $f(n)$  **microseconds** to solve the problem

Item	1 second	1 miniute	1 hour	1 day	1 month	1 year	1 century
$\lg n$							
$n^{1/2}$							
$n$							
$n \lg n$							
$n^2$							
$n^3$							
$2^n$							
$n!$							

(2) Indicate for each pair of expressions (A,B) in the table below, whether A is  $O$ ,  $o$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $\omega$ , or  $\Theta$  of B. Assume that  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $c > 1$  are constants. Your answer should be in the form of the table with "yes" or "no" written in each box.

	A	B	$O$	$o$	$\Omega$	$\omega$	$\Theta$
a.	$lg^k n$	$n^\epsilon$					
b.	$n^k$	$c^n$		yes			
c.	$\sqrt{n}$	$n^{\sin n}$					
d.	$2^n$	$2^{n/2}$					
e.	$n^{lg c}$	$c^{lg n}$					
f.	$lg(n!)$	$lg(n^n)$					

Example:                      Apply L'Hospital's rule repeatedly to see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^k}{c^n} = 0$  to conclude that  $n^k = o(c^n)$ .

(3) Suppose we are comparing implementations of insertion sort and merge sort on the same machine. For inputs of size  $n$ , insertion sort runs in  $8n^2$  steps, while merge sort runs in  $64n \log(n)$  steps.

For which values of  $n$  does insertion sort beat merge sort?

(4) What is the smallest value of  $n$  such that an algorithm whose running time is  $100n^2$  runs faster than an algorithm whose running time is  $2^n$  on the same machine?

(5) show that for any real constants  $a, b$ , with  $b > 0$ ,  $(n+a)^b = \Theta(n^b)$

(hint: to show that  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  you need to show that it is both  $O(g(n))$  and  $\Omega(g(n))$ ).

(7) Prove that the running time of an algorithm is  $\Theta(g(n))$  if and only if its worst-case running time is  $O(g(n))$  and its best-case running time is  $\Omega(g(n))$ . (previous theorem)

(8) show that the function  $\lg(n)$  is polynomially bounded. Show the same for  $\lg(\lg(n))$

(9) Is  $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$ ? Is  $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$ ? ■

(10) Express the function  $n^3/1000 - 100n^2 - 100n + 3$  in terms of  $\Theta$ -notation. ■

(11) Let 
$$p(n) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i n^i$$

where  $a_d > 0$ , be a degree- $d$  polynomial in  $n$ , and let  $k$  be a constant. Use the definitions of the asymptotic notations to prove the following properties.

a. If  $k \geq d$ , then  $p(n) = O(n^k)$ .

b. If  $k \leq d$ , then  $p(n) = \Omega(n^k)$ .

c. If  $k = d$ , then  $p(n) = \Theta(n^k)$ .

d. If  $k > d$ , then  $p(n) = o(n^k)$ .

e. If  $k < d$ , then  $p(n) = \omega(n^k)$ .

- (13) Rank the following functions by order of growth; that is, find an arrangement  $g_1, g_2, \dots$  of the functions satisfying  $g_1 = \Omega(g_2)$ ,  $g_2 = \Omega(g_3)$ , ...,

$$n^2, (\sqrt{2})^{\lg n}, n!, (\lg n)^2, \ln n, 2^n, n \lg n, n^3, \ln \ln n$$

**Stirling approximation for large  $n$ :**

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sim e^{n \ln n - n}$$

The substitution method for solving recurrences entails two steps:

1. Guess the form of the solution.
2. Use mathematical induction to find the constants and show that the solution works.

(14) Show that the solution to  $T(n) = 2T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 17) + n$  is  $O(n \lg n)$ .

(15) Study by substitution

$$T(n) = T(n-a) + T(a) + n$$

where  $a$  is a constant  $a \geq 1$ . For simplicity set  $a=1$ .

In a **recursion tree**, each node represents the cost of a single subproblem somewhere in the set of recursive function invocations. We sum the costs within each level of the tree to obtain a set of per-level costs, and then we sum all the per-level costs to determine the total cost of all levels of the recursion. Recursion trees are particularly useful when the recurrence describes the running time of a divide-and-conquer algorithm.

(16) Use a recursion tree to determine a good asymptotic upper bound on the recurrence

$$T(n) = 3T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + n.$$

Verify your answer by the substitution method.

(17) Show that the solution to

$$T(n) = T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + n$$

is  $\Omega(n \lg n)$  by analyzing the recursion tree.

The master method provides a "cookbook" method for solving recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

where  $a \geq 1$  and  $b > 1$  are constants and  $f(n)$  is an asymptotically positive function. The master method requires memorization of three cases, but then the solution of many recurrences can be determined quite easily, often without pencil and paper.

The recurrence

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

describes the running time of an algorithm that divides a problem of size  $n$  into  $a$  subproblems, each of size  $n/b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants. The  $a$  subproblems are solved recursively, each in time  $T(n/b)$ . The cost of dividing the problem and combining the results of the subproblems is described by the function  $f(n)$ .

As a matter of technical correctness, the recurrence isn't actually well defined because  $n/b$  might not be an integer. Replacing each of the  $a$  terms  $T(n/b)$  with either  $T(\lfloor n/b \rfloor)$  or  $T(\lceil n/b \rceil)$  doesn't affect the asymptotic behavior of the recurrence, however.



- (18) The recurrence  $T(n) = 7T(n/2) + n^2$  describes the running time of an algorithm  $A$ . A competing algorithm  $A'$  has a running time of  $T'(n) = aT'(n/4) + n^2$ . What is the largest integer value for  $a$  such that  $A'$  is asymptotically faster than  $A$ ?
- (19) Can the master method be applied to the recurrence  $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n^2 \lg n$ ? Why or why not? Give an asymptotic upper bound for this recurrence.