

**Main objects:**

Irreducible Coxeter groups (A,B,D,E,F,G,H) implemented as permutation group with minimal permutation degree.

Coxeter Group Type	Perm. Rep.	Perm. degree
A <sub>n</sub>	Sym(n)	n
B <sub>n</sub>	$2^n : \text{Sym}(n)$	2n
D <sub>n</sub>	$2^{n-1} : \text{Sym}(n)$	2n
E <sub>6</sub> , E <sub>7</sub> , E <sub>8</sub>		27, 30, 240
F <sub>4</sub>		24
G <sub>2</sub>	Dih(12)	6
H <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>4</sub>		7, 120

The permutation degrees above are proven to be minimal in (Table 1):

N. Saunders, Minimal faithful permutation degrees for irreducible Coxeter groups and binary polyhedral groups, *J. Group Theory* **17** (2014), 805 -- 832

There is also a function that constructs the Coxeter groups abstract. One can test that my permutation implementations are corrected by using the built-in IsomorphismGroups function.

**Methods & Functions:**

Let (W,S) be a Coxeter system and T be the set of reflections.

*Reduced factorisations:*

1. Compute the set  $\text{Red}_{\{T,n\}}(w) = \{ [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] \mid w = t_1 t_2 \dots t_n \}$  where T the set of reflections and w in W is a quasi-coxeter element (namely, W is generated by  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ ).
2. My algorithm works for any set of involutions T of W and w can be an arbitrary element.
3. The code is implemented as a GAP kernel function and still needs some work.

*Braid Action & Hurwitz Orbit :*

1. The function that computes the braid action was adapted from code by Tobias Jakobi (I recall fixing a couple of errors)
2. The braid action itself acts on tuples of reflections from T (it works for tuples of involutions in general)
3. Hurwitz orbit is just another name for orbit of tuple under the appropriate braid action.

Both *reduced factorisations* and *Hurwitz orbit* were developed to test the conjecture that  $w$  is quasi-Coxeter if and only if the braid action acts transitively on  $\text{Red}_{\{T,n\}}(w)$  (where  $n = \text{rank}(W)$ ). The *Hurwitz orbit* still has many uses.

#### *Quasi-Coxeter classes:*

This computes the conjugacy classes in  $W$  of quasi-Coxeter elements (including the Coxeter elements). It depends on the result that the Hurwitz action is transitive on  $\text{Red}_{\{T,n\}}(w)$  for a quasi-Coxeter element  $w$  of rank  $n$ .

1. Finds a random quasi-Coxeter element and then discards all of its  $W$ -conjugates.
2. Repeats this process until all classes are found (the number of classes for each type of Coxeter group is known)
3. The function returns pairs  $(w, wt)$  where  $w$  is a representative element of the class and  $wt$  is the tuples of reflections whose product is  $w$ .
4. The order of the pairs is random (This could possibly be fixed?)

Type	A_n	B_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8	F_4	G_2
# Classes	1	1	$\text{floor}(n/2)$	3	5	9	2	1

This function is pretty much in final draft form although it requires a lot of parameters.

#### *Non-crossing partitions:*

For a quasi-Coxeter element  $w$ , the non-crossing partition  $\text{NC}(w)$  is the poset whose elements are the products of prefixes of all the elements in  $\text{Red}_{\{T,n\}}(w)$ .

1. For each  $k$  in  $[1,n]$  and each  $x=[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  in  $\text{Red}_T(w)$  we compute both  $[t_1, \dots, t_k]$  and its product  $t_1 \dots t_k$ . The product is the actual element of  $\text{NC}(w)$  but it's useful to remember the tuple(s) that produced it.
2. Level  $k$ ,  $L(k)$  consists of the pairs  $(u, Ru)$  where  $u$  is a (parabolic quasi-Coxeter) element of  $W$  and  $Ru$  is the set of  $k$ -prefixes of  $\text{Red}_T(w)$  whose product is  $w$ .
3. Then  $\text{NC}(w)$  is the union of  $L(k)$  for  $k=0,1, \dots, n$ . (Here  $L(0) = [\text{id}, [\text{emptyset}]]$ ).

Total ordering,  $O = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p]$ , of the reflections  $T$  is said to be compatible with  $w$  if:  
For each  $k$  in  $[2,n]$ :

For each  $(u, Ru)$  in  $L(k)$  there exists a unique  $x$  in  $Ru$  such that  
 $x[1] < \dots < x[k]$  respect to  $O$ .

There is a conjecture that says it's enough to show it holds for  $k=2$ . It has been verified for  $D_4$ . The code only realistically works for  $D_4$  because we brute force through all possible total orderings for the reflections. In the  $D_4$  case there are  $12!$  cases, in  $D_5$  there are  $20!$  cases. The  $D_4$  case took several hours and there is no hope for the  $D_5$  case. A better approach is needed.

Calculating bowties has also been implemented but it's quite ad-hoc and needs to be corrected.