Kyle DePace: scala.md 4/20/2022

Scala

Commentary

For this one, I didn't have to change to much. I started with my program from last time and reorganized the encrypt to be more like a functional manner. Decrypt didn't have to change at all, sweet. For solve, I googled if there was a .foreach function, and then when I learned it did, I looked up a way to generate a sequence. It easily gave me those answers given Scala's above average documentation and community and boom, I was done.

Google Searches

- · scala .foreach
- · scala generate sequence of int

Caesar Implementation

```
// run with scala caesar.scala
object Caesar extends App {
    println(encrypt("ATTACK AT ONCE", 4))
    println(decrypt("EXXEGO EX SRGI", 4))
    solve("abcdeFGHIJKLmnopqrstuvwxyz ,?;{[()]}", 26)
    def encrypt(input: String, shift: Int): String = {
            .toUpperCase()
            .map(chr =>
                if (chr.isUpper)
                    ((chr - 65 + shift) \% 26 + 65).toChar
                else
                    chr
            )
    }
    def decrypt(input: String, shift: Int): String = {
        encrypt(input, 26-shift)
    }
    def solve(input: String, maxShift: Int): Unit = {
        List.tabulate(maxShift)(_+0).foreach(i => println("Shift: " + i +
"\tResult: " + decrypt(input, i)))
}
```

Output

Kyle DePace: scala.md 4/20/2022

```
EXXEGO EX SRGI
ATTACK AT ONCE
Shift: 0
                Result: ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ ,?;{[()]}
                Result: ZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXY ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 1
                Result: YZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWX ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 2
Shift: 3
                Result: XYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVW ,?;{[()]}
                Result: WXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUV ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 4
                Result: VWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTU ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 5
Shift: 6
                Result: UVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRST ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 7
                Result: TUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 8
                Result: STUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQR ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 9
                Result: RSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 10
                Result: QRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNOP ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 11
                Result: PQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMNO ,?;{[()]}
                Result: OPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLMN ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 12
                Result: NOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLM ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 13
Shift: 14
                Result: MNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKL ,?;{[()]}
                Result: LMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJK ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 15
                Result: KLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJ ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 16
                Result: JKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHI ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 17
                Result: IJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGH ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 18
Shift: 19
                Result: HIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFG ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 20
                Result: GHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEF ,?;{[()]}
                Result: FGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDE ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 21
                Result: EFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABCD ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 22
                Result: DEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABC ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 23
Shift: 24
                Result: CDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZAB ,?;{[()]}
Shift: 25
                Result: BCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZA ,?;{[()]}
```

Log

Estimate: 1 hour

Date	Hours Spent	Accomplishments
4/13	.25	Re-install scala (i'm a minimalist, sorry)
4/13	.25	Re-organize encrypt, decrypt, solve

Discrepancy of time

I was expecting to have to change the program more. I also didn't expect to have to re-install scala, I had thought I didn't uninstall it. Ultimately, I ended up saving a lot of time on this one.

Overall Review

Scala is a pretty good language overall. Functionally, it is a good choice also. Similarly, to javascript, I think it hits a good combination of being capable as both. Very rarely would the best way to design an application be purely functional or imperative. Working with arrays makes a lot of sense with map functions. Although, I prefer JS out of comfort, I have to give the objective edge to scala here. The JS approach definitely involved

Kyle DePace: scala.md 4/20/2022

some "hacky" techniques but that is kinda par for the course in a JS world. Scala gets the edge for readability and loses for writability because this program was much more verbose than the JS version I wrote.

Ratings

Readability: 8/10

Writability: 7/10

Ranking: 2/5