

both symmetric and skew symmetric. A *differential s-form* is a skew-symmetric covariant tensor field of type $(0, s)$. For the calculus of differential forms, see, for example, [BG].

10. Lemma. Let μ be an n -form. If $V_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} W_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\mu(V_1, \dots, V_n) = (\det A) \mu(W_1, \dots, W_n).$$

The proof is a standard combinatorial argument.

TENSOR DERIVATIONS

Previous sections have dealt with tensor algebra; we now consider some tensor calculus.

11. Definition. A *tensor derivation* \mathcal{D} on a smooth manifold M is a set of \mathbf{R} -linear functions

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_s^r: \mathfrak{T}_s^r(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_s^r(M) \quad (r \geq 0, s \geq 0)$$

such that for any tensors A and B :

- (1) $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes B) = \mathcal{D}A \otimes B + A \otimes \mathcal{D}B$,
- (2) $\mathcal{D}(CA) = C(\mathcal{D}A)$ for any contraction C .

Thus \mathcal{D} is \mathbf{R} -linear, preserves tensor type, obeys the usual Leibnizian product rule, and commutes with all contractions. For a function $f \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$ recall that $fA = f \otimes A$; hence $\mathcal{D}(fA) = (\mathcal{D}f)A + f\mathcal{D}A$.

In the special case $t = s = 0$, \mathcal{D}_0^0 is a derivation on $\mathfrak{T}_0^0(M) = \mathfrak{F}(M)$ so, as discussed in Chapter 1, there is a unique vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}f = Vf \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathfrak{F}(M).$$

Since tensor derivations are generally not $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -linear the value of $\mathcal{D}A$ at a point $p \in M$ cannot usually be found from A_p alone. However it can be found from the values of A on any arbitrarily small neighborhood of p . This local character of tensor derivations can be expressed as follows.

12. Proposition. If \mathcal{D} is a tensor derivation on M and \mathcal{U} is an open set of M , then there is a unique tensor derivation $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}}$ on \mathcal{U} such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}}(A|_{\mathcal{U}}) = (\mathcal{D}A)|_{\mathcal{U}} \quad \text{for all tensors } A \text{ on } M.$$

($\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is called the *restriction* of \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{U} , and henceforth we omit the subscript \mathcal{U} .)

Scheme of Proof. Let $B \in \mathfrak{T}_s^r(\mathcal{U})$. If $p \in \mathcal{U}$ let f be a bump function at p with support in \mathcal{U} . Thus $fB \in \mathfrak{T}_s^r(M)$. Define

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}} B)_p = \mathcal{D}(fB)_p.$$

Then show: (1) This definition is independent of the choice of bump function. (2) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}} B$ is a smooth tensor field on \mathcal{U} . (3) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a tensor derivation on \mathcal{U} . (4) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the stated restriction property. (5) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is unique. ■

The Leibnizian formula $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes B) = \mathcal{D}A \otimes B + A \otimes \mathcal{D}B$ can be recast as follows.

13. Proposition (The Product Rule). Let \mathcal{D} be a tensor derivation on M . If $A \in \mathfrak{T}_s^r(M)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}[A(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, X_s)] &= (\mathcal{D}A)(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, X_s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r A(\theta^1, \dots, \mathcal{D}\theta^i, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, X_s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^s A(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}X_j, \dots, X_s). \end{aligned}$$

(The placement of parentheses is crucial here: on the left-hand side \mathcal{D} is applied to a function, on the right-hand side to the tensor A , to one-forms, and to vector fields.)

Proof. For simplicity let $r = s = 1$. We assert that

$$A(\theta, X) = \bar{C}(A \otimes \theta \otimes X),$$

where \bar{C} is a composition of two contractions. In fact, relative to a coordinate system $A \otimes \theta \otimes X$ has components $A_j^i \theta_k X^l$, while $A(\theta, X) = \sum A_j^i \theta_i X^j$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(A(\theta, X)) &= \mathcal{D} \bar{C}(A \otimes \theta \otimes X) = \bar{C} \mathcal{D}(A \otimes \theta \otimes X) \\ &= \bar{C}(\mathcal{D}A \otimes \theta \otimes X) + \bar{C}(A \otimes \mathcal{D}\theta \otimes X) + \bar{C}(A \otimes \theta \otimes \mathcal{D}X) \\ &= (\mathcal{D}A)(\theta, X) + A(\mathcal{D}\theta, X) + A(\theta, \mathcal{D}X). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

For a $(1, s)$ tensor expressed as an $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -multilinear function $A: \mathfrak{X}(M)^s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the tensor derivation obeys the same formal product rule, namely,

$$\mathcal{D}(A(X_1, \dots, X_s)) = (\mathcal{D}A)(X_1, \dots, X_s) + \sum_{i=1}^s A(X_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}X_i, \dots, X_s).$$

Both these versions of the product rule will frequently be solved for the term involving $\mathcal{D}A$. This gives a formula for \mathcal{D} of an arbitrary tensor in terms

of \mathcal{D} applied solely to functions, vector fields, and one-forms. But for a one-form

$$(\mathcal{D}\theta)(X) = \mathcal{D}(\theta X) - \theta(\mathcal{D}X).$$

Thus functions and vector fields suffice.

14. Corollary. If tensor derivations \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 agree on functions $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ and vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, then $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_2$.

Furthermore, from suitable data on $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ we can construct a tensor derivation.

15. Theorem. Given a vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and an \mathbf{R} -linear function $\delta: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that

$$\delta(fX) = VfX + f\delta(X) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathfrak{F}(M), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

there exists a unique tensor derivation \mathcal{D} on M such that $\mathcal{D}_0^0 = V: \mathfrak{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}_0^1 = \delta$.

Proof. \mathcal{D}_0^0 and \mathcal{D}_0^1 are given. The formula preceding Corollary 14 shows that \mathcal{D} on a one-form θ must be defined by

$$(\mathcal{D}\theta)(X) = V(\theta X) - \theta(\delta X) \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Using the formula given for δ it is easy to check that $\mathcal{D}\theta$ is $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -linear, hence is a one-form, and that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1^0: \mathfrak{X}^*(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^*(M)$ is \mathbf{R} -linear.

By the product rule (13), \mathcal{D} on an (r, s) tensor A with $r + s \geq 2$ must be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}A)(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, X_s) &= V(A(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, X_s)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^r A(\theta^1, \dots, \mathcal{D}\theta^i, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, X_s) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^s A(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^r, X_1, \dots, \delta X_j, \dots, X_s). \end{aligned}$$

(On the right-hand side, \mathcal{D} of a one-form is defined as above.)

Again it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{D}A$ is $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -multilinear hence is an (r, s) tensor, and that $\mathcal{D}: \mathfrak{X}_s^*(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s^*(M)$ is \mathbf{R} -linear. Furthermore, a direct computation shows that $\mathcal{D}(A \otimes B) = \mathcal{D}A \otimes B + A \otimes \mathcal{D}B$. (Take A and B of type $(1, 1)$ to see how this works.)

To prove that \mathcal{D} commutes with contraction, consider first the case $C: \mathfrak{X}_1^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(M)$. That $\mathcal{D}C = C\mathcal{D}$ on tensor products $\theta \otimes X$ is immediate from the definition of \mathcal{D} on one-forms. Hence $\mathcal{D}C = C\mathcal{D}$ on sums of terms of

the form $\theta \otimes X$. Since \mathcal{D} is local and C pointwise it suffices to prove $\mathcal{D}C = C\mathcal{D}$ on coordinate neighborhoods. But there Lemma 5 shows that every $(1, 1)$ tensor can be written as such a sum.

The extension to arbitrary contractions is an exercise in parentheses. Taking $A \in \mathfrak{T}_2^1(M)$ for example,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}C_2^1 A)(X) &= \mathcal{D}((C_2^1 A)(X)) - (C_2^1 A)(\mathcal{D}X) \\ &= \mathcal{D}(C\{A(\cdot, X, \cdot)\}) - C\{A(\cdot, \mathcal{D}X, \cdot)\} \\ &= C\{\mathcal{D}(A(\cdot, X, \cdot)) - A(\cdot, \mathcal{D}X, \cdot)\} \\ &= C\{(\mathcal{D}A)(\cdot, X, \cdot)\} = (C_2^1 \mathcal{D}A)(X). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{D}C_2^1 A = C_2^1 \mathcal{D}A$. ■

Here is an application of the theorem.

16. Definition. If $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the tensor derivation L_V such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_V(f) &= Vf & \text{for all } f \in \mathfrak{T}(M), \\ L_V(X) &= [V, X] & \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \end{aligned}$$

is called the *Lie derivative* relative to V .

The definition is valid since L_V on vector fields satisfies the hypothesis on δ in the theorem:

$$L_V(fX) = [V, fX] = VfX + f[V, X] = VfX + fL_VX.$$

SYMMETRIC BILINEAR FORMS

Semi-Riemannian geometry involves a particular kind of $(0, 2)$ tensor on tangent spaces. To study these in general, let V be a real vector space (finite-dimensional where the context so indicates). A *bilinear form* on V is an \mathbf{R} -bilinear function $b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, and we consider only the symmetric case: $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$ for all v, w .

17. Definition. A symmetric bilinear form b on V is

- (1) *positive [negative] definite* provided $v \neq 0$ implies $b(v, v) > 0$ [< 0],
- (2) *positive [negative] semidefinite* provided $b(v, v) \geq 0$ [≤ 0] for all $v \in V$,
- (3) *nondegenerate* provided $b(v, w) = 0$ for all $w \in V$ implies $v = 0$.

Also b is *definite [semidefinite]* provided either alternative in (1) [(2)] holds. If b is definite then it is obviously both semidefinite and nondegenerate; the converse follows from Exercise 12.