- Name: Kendrick Kee

- UOW ID: 7366814

```
In [183]:
```

```
#To import relevant libraries
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import random
import sys
import math
```

Define a function to split dataset

```
In [184]:
```

```
# Split the data into training set and testing set
def train_test_split(data, test_size):
    if isinstance(test_size, float):
        test_size = round(test_size * len(data))

    data_index = data.index.tolist()
    test_index = random.sample(population=data_index, k=test_size)

    test_set = data.loc[test_index]
    train_set = data.drop(test_index)

    return train_set, test_set
```

Define a function to get a summary "dictionary" of dataset as parameter

```
In [185]:
```

```
# Get relevant details about the feature of the dataset for each class label
# If a continuous feature
        ### a tuple of mean, standard deviation and length of dataset is returned
# If data is categorical and is one hot encoded
        ### a tuple of value(1 or 0) and its count with respect to the class (0,1,2)
        ### along with a count of the class is returned
def get summary(dataset):
    #dictionary to stores details
    #of each label class
    summary = {}
    #loop over unique target values
    for i in dataset.iloc[:,-1].unique():
        #list of details of features for each class (i)
        a = []
        #loop for all the features except label column
        for j in range(len(dataset.columns)-1):
            #store the size of unique values in current feature
            size = len(dataset.iloc[:,j].unique())
            #if size is less than 5, then categorical feature
            if(size < 5):
                lst = list()
                #subset dataset for each class(i)
                #store as df
                df = dataset[dataset.iloc[:,-1]==i]
                #loop for unique values in categorical features
                for k in dataset.iloc[:,j].unique():
                    #for each unique values, store the
                    #value and count of the value in feature
                    lst.append(k)
                    lst.append(len(df[df.iloc[:,j] == k]))
                #make a tuple out of the list
                a.append(tuple([1st[0], 1st[1], 1st[2], 1st[3], len(df)]))
            #else continuous feature
            else:
                a.append((dataset[dataset.iloc[:,-1]==i].mean(axis=0)[j],dataset[dat
        summary[i] = a
    return summary
```

Define Gaussian Naive Bayes function

```
In [186]:
```

```
# Calculate probability for continuous fetaures
# Calculate the Gaussian probability distribution function for x
def calculate_probability_Gaussian(x, mean, stdev,total_rows):
    if stdev == 0 or isnan(stdev):
        return 1/total_rows#if stdev is 0, return the probability of "Add one count

exponent = exp(-((x-mean)**2 / (2 * stdev**2 )))
    if exponent == 0:
        #number overflow occurs exponent == smallest possibe float by system
        exponent = sys.float_info.min
    return 1 / (sqrt(2 * pi) * stdev) * exponent
```

To prevent numerical underflow, when exponent underflows out of pythons float precision, exponent will become small possible float by system

To prevent the zero frequency/count problem, this function catches occurences of 0/null standard deviation and returns the probablity of 1/number of observations

Define Navie Bayes function

```
In [187]:
```

```
# Calculate probability for categorical features
def calculate_probability(x, X1, count_1, X2, count_2, class_count,total_rows):
    if x == X1:
        #if zero frequency occurs, add 1 to count and return the probability
        if count_1/class_count == 0:
            return 1/total_rows

        return count_1/class_count
else:
        #if zero frequency occurs, add 1 to count and return the probability
        if count_2/class_count == 0:
            return 1/total_rows

        return count_2/class_count
```

Similarly, to prevent 0 frequency error, returning "add one count" probabilty instead of 0

Define functions for probability computation

```
In [188]:
```

```
lculate the probabilities of predicting each class for a given row
r continuous features use Gaussian probability function
r categorical feature calculate probability function
calculate class probabilities(summaries, row):
#get the length of the dataset
#sum up all the counts of each label class
total rows = sum([summaries[label][0][2] for label in summaries])
#instantiate a dictionary to store probability
#of each label class for a given row
probabilities = dict()
#get the class value: class value
#get the summaries for each class: class summaries
for class value, class summaries in summaries.items():
    #get the probability of each label class e.g.
    #if class label 1 has a length of 12345
    #and length of dataset is 234567
    #then this probability is 12345/234567
    probabilities[class value] = summaries[class value][0][2]/float(total rows)
    #loop over all the class summaries
    #i.e. summaries of each feature
    for i in range(len(class summaries)):
        #since the summaries for categorical variable contains 5 values,
        #while the ones for continuous variable contains 3 values
        #categorical fetaure
        if len(class summaries[i]) > 3:
            X1, count 1, X2, count 2, class count = class summaries[i]
            probabilities[class value] = \
            probabilities[class value] * calculate probability(row[i], X1, count 1, X
        #continuous feature
        else:
            mean, stdev, _ = class_summaries[i]
            probabilities[class value] = \
            probabilities[class value] * calculate probability Gaussian(row[i], mean
return probabilities
```

```
In [189]:
```

```
# Predict the class for a given row
def predict(summaries, row):
    probabilities = calculate_class_probabilities(summaries, row)

#instantiate variable to store
    #best label: best_label
    #best probability: best_prob
    best_label, best_prob = None, -1

#get the best probability
for class_value, probability in probabilities.items():
    if best_label is None or probability > best_prob:
        best_prob = probability
        best_label = class_value
    return best_label
```

Driver function for Naive Bayes

```
In [190]:
```

```
# Naive Bayes Algorithm
# predict values for the test set

def naive_bayes(train, test):
    summary = get_summary(train)
    predictions = list()
    for row in test.values:
        output = predict(summary, row)
        predictions.append(output)
    return(predictions)
```

Accuracy function to determine regression metrics

```
In [191]:
```

```
# Calculated as:
# check for equality of predicted value and labels in test set
# calculates the sum of correct prediction
# divides the sum by length of test set
def accuracy(predictions, data set):
    y test = list(data set.iloc[:,-1])
    correct count = 0
    sum error = 0.0
    rsme error = 0.0
    for i in range(len(y test)):
        if predictions[i] == y_test[i]:
            correct count += 1
        sum error += abs(predictions[i] - y test[i])
        prediction error = abs(predictions[i] - y test[i])
        rsme error = (prediction error**2)
    print(f'Number of exact matches in predictions: {correct count}/{len(y test)}')
    print(f'MEAN SQUARED ERROR: {np.square(np.subtract(y_test,predictions)).mean()}
    print(f'ROOT MEAN SQUARED ERROR: {sqrt(rsme error/float(len(y test)))}')
    print(f'MEAN ABSOLUTE ERROR: {sum error/float(len(y test))}')
    return (round(correct count/len(data set)*100,3))
```

This function computes common regression metrics such as MSE, MAE and RSME to allow for easy evaluation of the model.

Loading the Dataset

```
In [192]:
```

Out[192]:

	Sex	Length	Diameter	Height	Whole weight	Shucked weight	Viscera weight	Shell weight	Rings
0	М	0.455	0.365	0.095	0.5140	0.2245	0.1010	0.150	15
1	М	0.350	0.265	0.090	0.2255	0.0995	0.0485	0.070	7
2	F	0.530	0.420	0.135	0.6770	0.2565	0.1415	0.210	9
3	М	0.440	0.365	0.125	0.5160	0.2155	0.1140	0.155	10
4	1	0.330	0.255	0.080	0.2050	0.0895	0.0395	0.055	7

Data Preprocessing

In [193]:

Check for missing data and type of data df.head().info()

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 5 entries, 0 to 4
Data columns (total 9 columns):
#
    Column
                  Non-Null Count Dtype
                  _____
    ----
 0
    Sex
                 5 non-null
                                 object
                 5 non-null
 1
    Length
                                 float64
                 5 non-null
 2
   Diameter
                                 float64
 3 Height
                 5 non-null
                                 float64
 4 Whole weight 5 non-null
                                 float64
    Shucked weight 5 non-null
 5
                                 float64
 6
    Viscera weight 5 non-null
                                 float64
 7
    Shell weight 5 non-null
                                 float64
                                 int64
8
    Rings
                 5 non-null
dtypes: float64(7), int64(1), object(1)
memory usage: 488.0+ bytes
```

In [194]:

```
encode = {"Sex": {"M":1,"F":2,"I":3}}
df = df.replace(encode)
df.head()
```

Out[194]:

	Sex	Length	Diameter	Height	Whole weight	Shucked weight	Viscera weight	Shell weight	Rings
0	1	0.455	0.365	0.095	0.5140	0.2245	0.1010	0.150	15
1	1	0.350	0.265	0.090	0.2255	0.0995	0.0485	0.070	7
2	2	0.530	0.420	0.135	0.6770	0.2565	0.1415	0.210	9
3	1	0.440	0.365	0.125	0.5160	0.2155	0.1140	0.155	10
4	3	0.330	0.255	0.080	0.2050	0.0895	0.0395	0.055	7

In [195]:

Get the statistical info of the numeric features df.describe()

Out[195]:

	Sex	Length	Diameter	Height	Whole weight	Shucked weight	Visc wei
count	4177.000000	4177.000000	4177.000000	4177.000000	4177.000000	4177.000000	4177.0000
mean	1.955470	0.523992	0.407881	0.139516	0.828742	0.359367	0.180
std	0.827815	0.120093	0.099240	0.041827	0.490389	0.221963	0.109(
min	1.000000	0.075000	0.055000	0.000000	0.002000	0.001000	0.000
25%	1.000000	0.450000	0.350000	0.115000	0.441500	0.186000	0.093
50%	2.000000	0.545000	0.425000	0.140000	0.799500	0.336000	0.171(
75%	3.000000	0.615000	0.480000	0.165000	1.153000	0.502000	0.2530
max	3.000000	0.815000	0.650000	1.130000	2.825500	1.488000	0.7600

In [196]:

df.head()

Out[196]:

	Sex	Length	Diameter	Height	Whole weight	Shucked weight	Viscera weight	Shell weight	Rings
0	1	0.455	0.365	0.095	0.5140	0.2245	0.1010	0.150	15
1	1	0.350	0.265	0.090	0.2255	0.0995	0.0485	0.070	7
2	2	0.530	0.420	0.135	0.6770	0.2565	0.1415	0.210	9
3	1	0.440	0.365	0.125	0.5160	0.2155	0.1140	0.155	10
4	3	0.330	0.255	0.080	0.2050	0.0895	0.0395	0.055	7

In [197]:

df.info()

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 4177 entries, 0 to 4176
Data columns (total 9 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Sex	4177 non-null	int64
1	Length	4177 non-null	float64
2	Diameter	4177 non-null	float64
3	Height	4177 non-null	float64
4	Whole weight	4177 non-null	float64
5	Shucked weight	4177 non-null	float64
6	Viscera weight	4177 non-null	float64
7	Shell weight	4177 non-null	float64
8	Rings	4177 non-null	int64
٠.	63 . 64.5		

dtypes: float64(7), int64(2)

memory usage: 293.8 KB

In [198]:

```
# Split the dataset into training and testing
train_set, test_set = train_test_split(df, 0.3)
train_set.describe()
```

Out[198]:

	Sex	Length	Diameter	Height	Whole weight	Shucked weight	Visc wei
count	2924.000000	2924.000000	2924.000000	2924.000000	2924.000000	2924.000000	2924.0000
mean	1.962722	0.523092	0.407030	0.139390	0.819409	0.355485	0.1786
std	0.830264	0.118374	0.097669	0.042868	0.481450	0.219325	0.1080
min	1.000000	0.110000	0.090000	0.000000	0.008000	0.002500	0.000
25%	1.000000	0.450000	0.350000	0.115000	0.439750	0.183500	0.092
50%	2.000000	0.540000	0.420000	0.140000	0.787000	0.330500	0.168
75%	3.000000	0.610000	0.480000	0.165000	1.137000	0.495000	0.249
max	3.000000	0.800000	0.630000	1.130000	2.657000	1.488000	0.590(

```
In [199]:
```

```
test set.describe()
```

```
Out[199]:
```

	Sex	Length	Diameter	Height	Whole weight	Shucked weight	Visc wei
count	1253.000000	1253.000000	1253.000000	1253.000000	1253.000000	1253.000000	1253.0000
mean	1.938547	0.526093	0.409868	0.139812	0.850521	0.368428	0.185
std	0.822151	0.124035	0.102824	0.039305	0.510173	0.227832	0.1130
min	1.000000	0.075000	0.055000	0.000000	0.002000	0.001000	0.000
25%	1.000000	0.455000	0.350000	0.115000	0.449500	0.191500	0.0950
50%	2.000000	0.550000	0.430000	0.145000	0.820500	0.349500	0.1770
75%	3.000000	0.620000	0.485000	0.165000	1.177500	0.517000	0.258
max	3.000000	0.815000	0.650000	0.250000	2.825500	1.348500	0.7600

In [200]:

```
# Test the model on training set
train_pred = naive_bayes(train_set, train_set)
print('Accuracy of prediction for training set:', accuracy(train_pred, train_set))
```

```
Number of exact matches in predictions: 485/2924 MEAN SQUARED ERROR: 26.74863201094391 ROOT MEAN SQUARED ERROR: 0.20342484840021002 MEAN ABSOLUTE ERROR: 3.6542407660738716 Accuracy of prediction for training set: 16.587
```

In [201]:

```
# Test the model on testing set
test_pred = naive_bayes(train_set, test_set)
print('Accuracy of prediction for testing set:', accuracy(test_pred, test_set))
```

```
Number of exact matches in predictions: 217/1253
MEAN SQUARED ERROR: 26.62809257781325
ROOT MEAN SQUARED ERROR: 0.0
MEAN ABSOLUTE ERROR: 3.6608140462889067
Accuracy of prediction for testing set: 17.318
```

Observations

The accuracy of the model is extremely poor at 17% as it is unable to predict the exact number of rings. This is expected as predicting the number of rings poses as more of a regression problem than a classification one due to the continuous nature of "Rings" in this context

Therefore, we will observe its other metrics commonly used in regression to evaluate the model.

The MSE of both models are very close for both the training and testing dataset, this suggests that no overfitting occured. However, the MAE for both models is 3.6, this means that the model on average predicts the number of rings to be +- 3.6 which depending on the context and importance of accuracy of getting the

exact ring count may deem this model to be good or bad.

The RMSE for the testing dataset appears to be 0 whist its training counterpart has a 0.2 RMSE. This could mean that the model used for the testing dataset is alot more accurate than its training counterpart based on that metric.