

APPENDIX

A. Proof of Theorem 9

We begin by stating two essential lemmas.

Lemma 3. For all $\tilde{z}_{[n+m]} \in \mathcal{Z}^{n+m}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{U_{[n]}} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]})] = 0. \quad (26)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{U_{[n]}} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]})] \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n+m}{n}} \sum_{u_{[n]}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in \bar{u}_{[m]}} \ell(w, z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in u_{[n]}} \ell(w, z_i) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n+m}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \left(\frac{1}{m} \binom{n+m-1}{n} \ell(w, z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \binom{n+m-1}{n-1} \ell(w, z_i) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

□

Lemma 4. If $\ell(w, z) \in [0, 1]$ for all $\lambda > 0$, $w \in \mathcal{W}$, $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, then

$$\log \mathbb{E}_{U_{[n]}} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) \right) \right] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 C_{n,m}(n+m)}{8nm}. \quad (28)$$

Proof. We start by constructing a martingale difference sequence as

$$D_i = \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_i] - \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}], \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (29)$$

Then we define

$$A_i := \inf_u \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}, U_i = u] - \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}], \quad (30)$$

$$B_i := \sup_u \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}, U_i = u] - \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}]. \quad (31)$$

Observe that $A_i \leq D_i \leq B_i$ and define $\Delta_i := B_i - A_i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i &= \sup_u \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}, U_i = u] - \inf_u \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}, U_i = u] \\ &= \sup_{u, u'} (\mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}, U_i = u] - \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1}, U_i = u']) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (\ell(w, z_{u'}) - \ell(w, z_u)) + \frac{1}{\binom{n+m-i}{n-i}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \binom{n+m-i-1}{n-i} \ell(w, z_{u'}) - \frac{1}{n} \binom{n+m-i-1}{n-i-1} \ell(w, z_{u'}) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\binom{n+m-i}{n-i}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \binom{n+m-i-1}{n-i} \ell(w, z_u) - \frac{1}{n} \binom{n+m-i-1}{n-i-1} \ell(w, z_u) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \cdot \frac{m}{n+m-i} - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{n-i}{n+m-i} \right) (\ell(w, z_{u'}) - \ell(w, z_u)) \\ &= \frac{n+m}{n(n+m-i)} (\ell(w, z_{u'}) - \ell(w, z_u)) \\ &\leq \frac{n+m}{n(n+m-i)} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

By iteration, we decompose $\mathbb{E} [\exp (\lambda \mathcal{E} (w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}))]$ and apply Hoeffding's lemma to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \mathbb{E} [\exp (\lambda \mathcal{E} (w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}))] &= \log \mathbb{E} [\exp (\lambda (\mathcal{E} (w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}) - \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{E} (w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]})]))] && \text{(Lemma 3)} \\
&= \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right) \right] \\
&= \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i \right) \mathbb{E} [\exp (\lambda D_n) | U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n-1}] \right] && \text{(tower expectation)} \\
&\leq \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i \right) + \frac{\lambda^2 \Delta_i^2}{8} \right] && \text{(Hoeffding's lemma)} \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(n+m-i)^2} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8n^2} \sum_{i=m}^{n+m-1} \frac{1}{i^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Now consider an equivalent setup where we use $U_{[m]}$ to pick the test set, then we can also obtain an upper bound that resembles (33). This thus gives a tightened upper bound as

$$\log \mathbb{E} [\exp (\lambda \mathcal{E} (w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}))] \leq \min \left(\frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8n^2} \sum_{i=m}^{n+m-1} \frac{1}{i^2}, \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8m^2} \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} \frac{1}{i^2} \right). \tag{34}$$

Note that when $m = 1$ or $n = 1$, the RHS of (34) can be directly computed as

$$\log \mathbb{E} [\exp (\lambda \mathcal{E} (w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}))] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8 \max(n^2, m^2)}. \tag{35}$$

Otherwise, (34) is further upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \mathbb{E} [\exp (\lambda \mathcal{E} (w, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}))] &\leq \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8} \min \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=m}^{n+m-1} \frac{1}{i(i-1)}, \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} \frac{1}{i(i-1)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8} \min \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{1}{n+m-1} \right), \frac{1}{m^2} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n+m-1} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8(n+m-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{nm - \min(n, m)}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Combining (35) and (36) together completes the proof. \square

Now, by the Donsker–Varadhan variational representation of KL divergence, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{W, U_{[n]} | \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} [\lambda \mathcal{E} (W, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]})] \\
&\leq I^{\tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} (W; U_{[n]}) + \log \mathbb{E}_{W | \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} \mathbb{E}_{U_{[n]} | \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} [\exp (\lambda \mathcal{E} (W, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}))] && \text{(Donsker-Varadhan)} \\
&= I^{\tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} (W; U_{[n]}) + \log \mathbb{E}_{W | \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} \mathbb{E}_{U_{[n]}} [\exp (\lambda \mathcal{E} (W, \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}))] && (U_{[n]} \perp\!\!\!\perp \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) \\
&\leq I^{\tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} (W; U_{[n]}) + \frac{\lambda^2 C_{n,m}(n+m)}{8mn}. && \text{(Lemma 4)}
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

After optimizing λ and taking expectations over $\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{W, U_{[n]}, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} [\mathcal{E} (W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]})] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} \left[\inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} I^{\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} (W; U_{[n]}) + \frac{\lambda C_{n,m}(n+m)}{8mn} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{C_{n,m}(n+m)}{2mn}} I^{\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} (W; U_{[n]}) \right] \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{C_{n,m}(n+m)}{2mn}} I (W; U_{[n]} | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}). && \text{(Jensen's inequality)}
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This concludes the proof.

B. Proof of Theorem 7

The corresponding expected generalization error with respect to (13) is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}} \left[\lambda \mathcal{E} \left(W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]} \right) \right] &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}} \left[\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}} \left[\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

Again, by using the Donsker-Varadhan inequality, we upper bound each summand of the above expression, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}_{W, U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} | \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} \left[\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right] \leq I^{\tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} \left(W; U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) + \log \mathbb{E}_{W | \tilde{z}_{[n+m]}} \mathbb{E}_{U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)}} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right]. \quad (40)$$

Notice that Lemma 4 is also applicable to each individual partition, where n and m are scaled to $\frac{n}{k}$ and $\frac{m}{k}$, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log \mathbb{E}_{U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)}} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] &\leq \min \left(\frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8n^2} \sum_{i=\frac{n}{k}}^{\frac{n+m}{k}-1} \frac{1}{i^2}, \frac{\lambda^2 (n+m)^2}{8m^2} \sum_{i=\frac{n}{k}}^{\frac{n+m}{k}-1} \frac{1}{i^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2 C_{n,m}^k k(n+m)}{8mn}.\end{aligned}\quad (41)$$

The result now follows by optimizing λ and averaging over $\tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}$, as done in (38).

C. Proof of Theorem 8

Starting from (39), by marginalizing out partitions that do not appear in each summand of (39), we further simplify the expected generalization error as

$$\mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}} \left[\lambda \mathcal{E} \left(W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)}} \left[\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right]. \quad (42)$$

Using the Donsker-Varadhan inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{W, U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} | \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}} \left[\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right] &\leq I^{\tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}} \left(W; U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) + \log \mathbb{E}_{W | \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}} \mathbb{E}_{U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)}} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \mathcal{E}_i \left(w, \tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}, u_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= I^{\tilde{z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}^{(i)}} \left(W; U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)} \right) + \frac{\lambda^2 C_{n,m}^k k(n+m)}{8mn}.\end{aligned}\quad (43)$$

The rest of the argument also follows from (38).

D. Proof of Lemma 2

For brevity, we omit the subscript of each set (e.g., $U^{(i)}$ for $U_{[\frac{n}{k}]}^{(i)}$) as the set size is clear from the context. To prove $I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}) \leq I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z})$, we let $\tilde{Z}^{\setminus(i)} = \tilde{Z} \setminus \tilde{Z}^{(i)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}) - I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}) \\ &= I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}, \tilde{Z}^{\setminus(i)}) - I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}) \\ &= I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}, \tilde{Z}^{\setminus(i)}) + I(U^{(i)}; \tilde{Z}^{\setminus(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}) \\ &\quad - I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}) \\ &= I(W, \tilde{Z}^{\setminus(i)}; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}) - I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}) \\ &= I(U^{(i)}; \tilde{Z}^{\setminus(i)} | \tilde{Z}^{(i)}, W) \\ &\geq 0\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

E. Proof of Theorem 10

Lemma 5. When m tends to infinity and k, n are constants, we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I(W; \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I(W; \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}) = 0$.

Proof. Using the tower property of conditional expectation and the fact that $U_{[n]} \perp\!\!\!\perp \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} &= \mathbb{E}_{U_{[n]}} [P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n+m}{k}\right)^k} \sum_{u_{[n]}} P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}}(w|\tilde{z}_{[n+m]}, u_{[n]}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n+m}{k}\right)^k} \sum_{u_{[n]}} P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{U_{[n]}}}(w|\tilde{z}_{u_{[n]}}). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Let $g_w : \mathcal{Z}^{n+m} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined as $g_w(\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) := P_{W=w|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}}$. Given a supersample set $\tilde{z}_{[n+m]} \in \mathcal{Z}^{n+m}$, let $\tilde{z}'_{[n+m]}$ equal $\tilde{z}_{[n+m]}$ for all instances except the i -th, i.e., $\tilde{z}'_{[n+m]} = \tilde{z}_{[n+m]} \setminus \{\tilde{z}_i\} \cup \{\tilde{z}'_i\}$, where \tilde{z}'_i is an independent copy of \tilde{z}_i . For each summand in (45), it is shown that $P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{U_{[n]}}}(w|\tilde{z}_{u_{[n]}})$ and $P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{U_{[n]}}}(w|\tilde{z}'_{u_{[n]}})$ only differ when the index i is included by $u_{[n]}$. Thus, the absolute difference between $g_w(\tilde{z}_{[n+m]})$ and $g_w(\tilde{z}'_{[n+m]})$ can be bounds as:

$$\begin{aligned} |g_w(\tilde{z}_{[n+m]}) - g_w(\tilde{z}'_{[n+m]})| &= \left| \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n+m}{k}\right)^k} \sum_{u_{[n]}: i \in u_{[n]}} P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{U_{[n]}}}(w|\tilde{z}_{u_{[n]}}) - P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{U_{[n]}}}(w|\tilde{z}'_{u_{[n]}}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n+m}{k}\right)^k} \sum_{u_{[n]}: i \in u_{[n]}} |P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{U_{[n]}}}(w|\tilde{z}_{u_{[n]}}) - P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{U_{[n]}}}(w|\tilde{z}'_{u_{[n]}})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n+m}{k}\right)^k} \cdot \left(\frac{n+m}{k}\right)^{k-1} \cdot \left(\frac{n+m}{k} - 1\right) \\ &= \frac{n}{n+m}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

With the difference property in (46), applying McDiarmid's inequality gives

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ |g_w(\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) - \mathbb{E}[g_w(\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]})]| \geq \epsilon \right\} \leq \exp \left(-\frac{2(n+m)\epsilon^2}{n^2} \right). \quad (47)$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[g_w(\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]})] = P_{W=w}$, in probability we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{W=w|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} = P_{W=w}$. Next, by definition of mutual information, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I(W; \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [D(P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} \| P_W)] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_w \mathbb{E} \left[P_{W=w|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}} \log \frac{P_{W=w|\tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}}}{P_{W=w}} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

By the chain rule of mutual information,

$$I(W; \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) = I(W; \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}) + I(W; \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{k-1}{k}(n+m)]} | \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}) , \quad (49)$$

where $\tilde{Z}_{[\frac{k-1}{k}(n+m)]} = \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]} \setminus \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}$. Due to the non-negativity of conditional mutual information and take $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty}$ on both sides, we finally get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I(W; \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n+m}{k}]}) = 0. \quad (50)$$

□

We first provide the proof for the IPCIMI bound. According to the proposed supersample setting, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}, U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) &= I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}}^{(i)}, \tilde{Z}_{\tilde{U}_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}}^{(i)}, U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) \\
&= I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}}^{(i)}\right) + I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{\tilde{U}_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}}^{(i)}, U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}}^{(i)}\right) \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}}^{(i)}\right) \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} I\left(W; Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where (a) is due to the fact that W is independent of other random variables given $\tilde{Z}_{U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}}$, and (b) is according to the supersample set structure where $Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}$ lead to the same training set. Next, using the chain rule of mutual information, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W; U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}, U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) - I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) \\
&= I\left(W; Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) \\
&= I\left(W; Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where the last step is by Lemma 5. Finally, it is simple to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{C_{n,m}^k k(n+m)}{2nm}} I\left(W; U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{n,m}^k k(n+m)}{2nm}} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W; U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\frac{k}{2n}} I\left(W; Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Specially, when $k = 1$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$, the $(\infty, 1)$ -IPCIMI bound reduces to the MI bound as

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{C_{n,m}^k (n+m)}{2nm}} I\left(W; U_{[n]} | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n}} I\left(W; Z_{[n]}\right), \tag{54}$$

while the (∞, n) -IPCIMI bound recovers the IMI bound:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{C_{n,m}^k (n+m)}{2m}} I\left(W; U_{[1]}^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor + 1}^{(i)}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} I\left(W; Z_i\right). \tag{55}$$

For the IPCIMI bound, with the principle argument derived from the equivalence between the mutual information terms, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W; U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}\right) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) - I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}\right) \\
&= I\left(W; Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W; \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{n+m}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right) \\
&= I\left(W; Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

repeating the same procedure above completes the proof.

F. Calculation Details for the Bernoulli Example

Before presenting the calculation details, we introduce some useful approximations as below.

Lemma 6. Let X and Y be two independent binomial random variables following $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ and $Y \sim \text{Bin}(m, p)$, respectively. If $\bar{X} = n - X$, then $\bar{X} \sim \text{Bin}(n, 1 - p)$. If $Z = X + Y$, then $Z \sim \text{Bin}(n + m, p)$.

Lemma 7. If X is a binomial random variable following $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ and $a > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\log(X + a)] = \log(np + a) - \frac{np(1-p)}{2(np + a)^2 \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \tag{57}$$

Lemma 8. If X is a binomial random variable following $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[X \log X] = np \log np + \frac{1-p}{2 \ln 2} + \frac{1-p^2}{12np \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \quad (58)$$

Lemma 9. If a, b, c, d are all constants, then $\log \frac{an+b}{cn+d}$ scales as $\log \frac{a}{c} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$.

Lemma 10 (Bounds for a binomial coefficient [16]). If $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{8k(n-k)}} 2^{nH(\frac{k}{n})} \leq \binom{n}{k} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi k(n-k)}} 2^{nH(\frac{k}{n})}. \quad (59)$$

1) MI Case:

$$I(W; Z_{[n]}) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log \binom{n}{X} + X \log p + \bar{X} \log(1-p)\right], \quad (60)$$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ and $\bar{X} = n - X \sim \text{Bin}(n, 1-p)$.

First, by Lemma 10, the lower bound of the expected binomial coefficient can be approximated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\log \binom{n}{X}\right] &\geq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\log n - \log X - \log \bar{X} - 3) + nH\left(\frac{X}{n}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log n - \frac{3}{2} - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\log X + \log \bar{X}) + X \log \frac{X}{n} + \bar{X} \log \frac{\bar{X}}{n}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log n - \frac{3}{2} - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\log X + \log \bar{X}) + X \log X + \bar{X} \log \bar{X} - X \log n - \bar{X} \log n\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log n - \frac{3}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\log np - \frac{1-p}{2np \ln 2} + \log n(1-p) - \frac{p}{2n(1-p) \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ np \log np + \frac{1-p}{2 \ln 2} + \frac{1-p^2}{12np \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ n(1-p) \log n(1-p) + \frac{p}{2 \ln 2} + \frac{1-(1-p)^2}{12n(1-p) \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.- np \log n - n(1-p) \log n\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log np(1-p) - \frac{1}{2 \ln 2} - \frac{3}{2} - np \log p - n(1-p) \log(1-p) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= nH(p) - \frac{1}{2} \log n - \frac{\log 8ep(1-p)}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Accordingly, the MI bound is upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} I(W; Z_{[n]})} &= \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2n} \mathbb{E}\left[\log \binom{n}{X} + X \log p + \bar{X} \log(1-p)\right]} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \left(-nH(p) + \frac{1}{2} \log n + \frac{\log 8ep(1-p)}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - np \log p - n(1-p) \log(1-p)\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} H(p) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\log n}{n} + \frac{\log 8ep(1-p)}{4n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} H(p)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \frac{\log n}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Likewise, the approximated lower bound can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} I(W; Z_{[n]})} &\geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \frac{\log n}{n} + \frac{\log 2\pi ep(1-p)}{4n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \frac{\log n}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Thus, the MI bound scales as $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}\right)$.

2) *IMI Case:*

$$I(W; Z_i) = H(p) - \log n + p\mathbb{E}[\log(X+1)] + (1-p)\mathbb{E}[\log(\bar{X}+1)], \quad (64)$$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, p)$ and $\bar{X} = n-1-X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, 1-p)$.

In order to obtain the order of the above expression, we apply the approximation introduced in Lemma 7 and get

$$\begin{aligned} I(W; Z_i) &= H(p) - \log n + p \log((n-1)p+1) - \frac{(n-1)(1-p)p}{2((n-1)p+1)^2 \ln 2} \\ &\quad + (1-p) \log((n-1)(1-p)+1) - \frac{(n-1)(1-p)p}{2((n-1)(1-p)+1)^2 \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ &= H(p) + p \log \frac{np+1-p}{n} + (1-p) \log \frac{(1-p)n+p}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

We further apply Lemma 9 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(W; Z_i) &= H(p) + p \log p + (1-p) \log(1-p) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Plugging which back to (7) implies that the IMI bound is of order $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$.

3) *IPMI Case:*

$$\begin{aligned} I(W; Z_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^{(i)}) &= \sum_{y=0}^{n-\frac{n}{k}} \binom{n-\frac{n}{k}}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-\frac{n}{k}-y} \sum_{x=0}^{\frac{n}{k}} \binom{\frac{n}{k}}{x} p^x (1-p)^{\frac{n}{k}-x} \log \frac{\binom{n-\frac{n}{k}}{y}}{\binom{n}{x+y} p^x (1-p)^{\frac{n}{k}-x}} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\log \binom{n-\frac{n}{k}}{Y} - \log \binom{n}{X+Y} - X \log p - \bar{X} \log(1-p) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(\frac{n}{k}, p)$, $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n-\frac{n}{k}, p)$ and $\bar{X} = \frac{n}{k} - X \sim \text{Bin}(\frac{n}{k}, 1-p)$.

4) *LOO-CMI Case:* Under this case, the learner is simplified as $W = \frac{1}{n}(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{Z}_i - \tilde{Z}_U)$, and by Bayes rule we get

$$P_{U|W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}}(u|w, \tilde{z}_{[n+1]}) = \frac{P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}, U}(w|\tilde{z}_{[n+1]}, u) P_{\tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}}(\tilde{z}_{[n+1]}) P_U(u)}{\sum_u P_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}, U}(w, \tilde{z}_{[n+1]}, u)}. \quad (68)$$

For $w = \frac{k}{n}$, it is easy to verify that the number of 1's in $\tilde{z}_{[n+1]}$ is either k when $\tilde{z}_u = 0$ or $k+1$ when $\tilde{z}_u = 1$ since otherwise $P_{W|\tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}, U}$ is zero. When $\tilde{z}_u = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{z}_i = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{U|W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}}(u|w, \tilde{z}_{[n+1]}) &= \frac{\frac{1}{n+1} p^k (1-p)^{n+1-k}}{\frac{n+1-k}{n+1} p^k (1-p)^{n+1-k}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Likewise, it holds when $\tilde{z}_u = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{z}_i = k+1$:

$$P_{U|W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}}(u|w, \tilde{z}_{[n+1]}) = \frac{1}{k+1}. \quad (70)$$

For $w = \frac{k}{n}$, combining (69) and (70) gives

$$P_{U|W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}}(u|w, \tilde{z}_{[n+1]}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1-k} & \text{If } \tilde{z}_u = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{z}_i = k \\ \frac{1}{k+1} & \text{If } \tilde{z}_u = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{z}_i = k+1 \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (71)$$

By definition, we compute the mutual information as

$$\begin{aligned}
I(W; U | \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}) &= \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}, U} \left[\log \frac{P_{U|W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}}}{P_U} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n+1-k}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n+1-k} \log \frac{n+1}{n+1-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k+1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k+1} p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-k} \log \frac{n+1}{k+1} \\
&= \log(n+1) - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} ((1-p) \log(n+1-k) + p \log(k+1)).
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Let X be a binomial random variable $\text{Bin}(n, p)$, then the above mutual information can be rewritten as

$$I(W; U | \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}) = \log(n+1) - \mathbb{E}[(1-p) \log(n+1-X) + p \log(X+1)]. \tag{73}$$

Using the approximations in Lemma 7, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I(W; U | \tilde{Z}_{[n+1]}) &= \log(n+1) - p \left(\log(np+1) - \frac{np(1-p)}{2(np+1)^2 \ln 2} \right) - (1-p) \left(\log(n+1-np) - \frac{np(1-p)}{2(n+1-np)^2 \ln 2} \right) \\
&= p \log \frac{n+1}{np+1} + (1-p) \log \frac{n+1}{(1-p)n+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
&= -p \log p - (1-p) \log(1-p) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
&= H(p) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where we apply Lemma 9 in the third step. Finally, it is shown that the LOO bound converges to a constant $\sqrt{H(p)}$.

5) *ICIMI Case*: Akin to the LOO case, the mutual information can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
I(W; R_i | \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_{i+n}) &= \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_{i+n}, R_i} \left[\log \frac{P_{W|R_i, \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_{i+n}}}{P_{W|\tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_{i+n}}} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_{i+n}, R_i} \left[\log \frac{\binom{n-1}{k-\tilde{Z}_{i+R_i}n} p^{k-\tilde{Z}_{i+R_i}n} (1-p)^{n-1-k+\tilde{Z}_{i+R_i}n}}{\frac{1}{2} \binom{n-1}{k-\tilde{Z}_i} p^{k-\tilde{Z}_i} (1-p)^{n-1-k+\tilde{Z}_i} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-1}{k-\tilde{Z}_{i+n}} p^{k-\tilde{Z}_{i+n}} (1-p)^{n-1-k+\tilde{Z}_{i+n}}} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_{i+n}, R_i} \left[\log \frac{2 \binom{n-1}{k-\tilde{Z}_{i+R_i}n} p^{-\tilde{Z}_{i+R_i}n} (1-p)^{\tilde{Z}_{i+R_i}n}}{\binom{n-1}{k-\tilde{Z}_i} p^{-\tilde{Z}_i} (1-p)^{\tilde{Z}_i} + \binom{n-1}{k-\tilde{Z}_{i+n}} p^{-\tilde{Z}_{i+n}} (1-p)^{\tilde{Z}_{i+n}}} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-k} \log \frac{2}{\frac{k}{n-k} \cdot \frac{1-p}{p} + 1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{n+1-k} \log \frac{2}{\frac{n-k}{k} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p} + 1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{n+1-k} \log \frac{2}{\frac{n-k}{k} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p} + 1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-k} \log \frac{2}{\frac{k}{n-k} \cdot \frac{1-p}{p} + 1} \\
&= 2p(1-p) \log 2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-k} \log \left(\frac{k}{n-k} \cdot \frac{1-p}{p} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{n-k-1}{k+1} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p} + 1 \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \left(\frac{X}{n-X} \cdot \frac{1-p}{p} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{n-X-1}{X+1} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p} + 1 \right) \right] \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \left(\frac{\alpha X}{\bar{X}+1} + 1 \right) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \left(\frac{\beta \bar{X}}{X+1} + 1 \right) \right] \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, p)$, $\alpha = \frac{1-p}{p}$ and $\beta = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Without generality, we assume $p < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha > 1$, $\beta < 1$. Using Lemma 7, one can approximate the above expression as

$$\begin{aligned}
I(W; R_i | \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_{i+n}) &= p(1-p) \left(2 - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \frac{(\alpha-1)X + n}{\bar{X} + 1} + \log \frac{n - (1-\beta)\bar{X}}{\bar{X} + 1} \right] \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 - \mathbb{E} \left[\log(\alpha-1) + \log \left(X + \frac{n}{\alpha-1} \right) - \log(\bar{X} + 1) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \log(1-\beta) + \log \left(\frac{n}{1-\beta} - \bar{X} \right) - \log(X+1) \right] \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 - \log(\alpha-1)(1-\beta) - \log \left((n-1)p + \frac{n}{\alpha-1} \right) + \frac{(n-1)(1-p)p}{2 \left((n-1)p + \frac{n}{\alpha-1} \right)^2 \ln 2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \log((n-1)(1-p) + 1) - \frac{(n-1)(1-p)p}{2 \left((n-1)(1-p) + 1 \right)^2 \ln 2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \log \left(\frac{n}{1-\beta} - (n-1)(1-p) \right) + \frac{(n-1)(1-p)p}{2 \left(\frac{n}{1-\beta} - (n-1)(1-p) \right)^2 \ln 2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \log((n-1)p + 1) - \frac{(n-1)(1-p)p}{2 \left((n-1)p + 1 \right)^2 \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 - \log(\alpha-1)(1-\beta) + \log \frac{(n-1)(1-p) + 1}{(n-1)p + \frac{n}{\alpha-1}} + \log \frac{(n-1)p + 1}{\frac{n}{1-\beta} - (n-1)(1-p)} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 - \log(\alpha-1)(1-\beta) + \log \frac{1-p}{p + \frac{1}{\alpha-1}} + \log \frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-\beta} - (1-p)} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 + \log \frac{1-p}{p(\alpha-1) + 1} + \frac{p}{1 - (1-p)(1-\beta)} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \\
&= p(1-p) \left(2 + \log \frac{1-p}{2-2p} + \log \frac{p}{2p} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Thus, the ICIMI bound under the Bernoulli case is of order $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$.

6) *LmO-CMI Case*: Generalized from the LOO case, the learner in the LmO case is $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{Z}_{U_i}$. Consider realizations $w = \frac{x}{n}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \tilde{z}_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{z}_{u_i} = y$, then the mutual information is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
I(W; U_{[n]} | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) &= \mathbb{E}_{W, \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}} \left[\log \frac{P_{W | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}, U_{[n]}}}{P_{W | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{x=0}^n \sum_{y=0}^m \frac{\binom{x+y}{x} \binom{n+m-x-y}{n-x}}{\binom{n+m}{n}} \binom{n+m}{x+y} p^{x+y} (1-p)^{n+m-x-y} \log \frac{\binom{n+m}{n}}{\binom{x+y}{x} \binom{n+m-x-y}{n-x}} \\
&= \log \binom{n+m}{n} - \sum_{x=0}^n \sum_{y=0}^m \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \binom{m}{y} p^y (1-p)^{m-y} \log \binom{x+y}{x} \binom{n+m-x-y}{n-x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Let X and Y be two binomial random variables following $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ and $Y \sim \text{Bin}(m, p)$, respectively, then the above mutual information can be rewritten as

$$I(W; U_{[n]} | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) = \log \binom{n+m}{n} - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \binom{X+Y}{X} \binom{\bar{X} + \bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} \right], \tag{78}$$

where $\bar{X} = n - X$ and $\bar{Y} = m - Y$.

Next, we will approximate each binomial coefficient term with a slight abuse of Lemma 10. Specifically, we have

$$\log \binom{n+m}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n+m}{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + (n+m)H\left(\frac{n}{n+m}\right). \quad (79)$$

Then, for the expected binomial coefficients, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\log \binom{X+Y}{X} \right] &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\log(X+Y) - \log X - \log Y - c) + X \log \frac{X+Y}{X} + Y \log \frac{X+Y}{Y} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\log Z - \log X - \log Y - c) + Z \log Z - X \log X - Y \log Y \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\log(n+m)p - \frac{1-p}{2(n+m)p \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+m)^2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \log np + \frac{1-p}{2np \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) - \log mp + \frac{1-p}{2mp \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + (n+m)p \log(n+m)p + \frac{1-p}{2 \ln 2} + \frac{1-p^2}{12(n+m)p \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+m)^2}\right) \\ &\quad - np \log np - \frac{1-p}{2 \ln 2} - \frac{1-p^2}{12np \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) - mp \log mp - \frac{1-p}{2 \ln 2} - \frac{1-p^2}{12mp \ln 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n+m}{nm} + np \log \frac{n+m}{n} + mp \log \frac{n+m}{m} - \frac{\log p + (1-p) \log e}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{12p \ln 2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n+m} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+m)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

Likewise, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\log \binom{\bar{X} + \bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} \right] &\geq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n+m}{nm} + n(1-p) \log \frac{n+m}{n} + m(1-p) \log \frac{n+m}{m} - \frac{\log(1-p) + p \log e}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{p(p+1)}{12(1-p) \ln 2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n+m} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+m)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Then combine all the results, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I(W; U_{[n]} | \tilde{Z}_{[n+m]}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n+m}{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + (n+m)H\left(\frac{n}{n+m}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n+m}{nm} - np \log \frac{n+m}{n} - mp \log \frac{n+m}{m} + \frac{\log p + (1-p) \log e}{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{12p \ln 2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n+m} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+m)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n+m}{nm} - n(1-p) \log \frac{n+m}{n} - m(1-p) \log \frac{n+m}{m} + \frac{\log(1-p) + p \log e}{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{p(p+1)}{12(1-p) \ln 2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n+m} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+m)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{nm}{n+m} + \frac{\log \frac{p(1-p)e}{\pi} - 1}{2} - \frac{5p^2 - 5p + 2}{12p(1-p) \ln 2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n+m} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(n+m)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

7) *LOFO-IPCM* Case: Let X be the number of 1's in the test batch, i.e., $X = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{k}} \tilde{Z}_i^{(U)}$, where $0 \leq x \leq k$. Since each batch sample is Bernoulli distributed, then X is a binomial random variable and its PMF at $X = x$, denoted by q_x , is a Bernstein polynomial expressed as

$$q_x = \binom{k}{x} p^x (1-p)^{k-x}. \quad (83)$$

Furthermore, let y be the number of training batches, in each of which the number of 1's is x , where $0 \leq y \leq \frac{n}{k}$. We witness each such training batch as a "successful trial", and thus the joint probability is also a binomial PMF. Then, we can compute the mutual information as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I(W; U | \tilde{Z}_{[n+k]}) &= \sum_{x=0}^k q_x \sum_{y=0}^{\frac{n}{k}} \binom{\frac{n}{k}}{y} q_x^y (1-q_x)^{\frac{n}{k}-y} \log \frac{\frac{n}{k} + 1}{y + 1} \\ &= \log \left(\frac{n}{k} + 1 \right) - \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [\log(Y+1) | X]] \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(k, p)$ and $Y \sim \text{Bin}(\frac{n}{k}, q_x)$.

8) *LOSFO-IPCIMI Case*:

$$I(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n}{m}+1]}^{(i)}) = \log\left(\frac{n}{m} + 1\right) - p\mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(Y + 1 + \frac{\alpha X \bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + 1}\right)\right] - (1-p)\mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(\bar{Y} + 1 + \frac{\beta \bar{X} Y}{X + 1}\right)\right], \quad (85)$$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(n - \frac{n}{m}, p)$, $Y \sim \text{Bin}(\frac{n}{m}, p)$, $\bar{X} = n - \frac{n}{m} - X \sim \text{Bin}(n - \frac{n}{m}, 1-p)$, $\bar{Y} = \frac{n}{m} - Y \sim \text{Bin}(\frac{n}{m}, 1-p)$, $\alpha = \frac{1-p}{p}$ and $\beta = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Through the above expression, One can validate the argument that the PLOO-CMI bound is a general case of the LOO-CMI and ICIMI bounds. With detailed calculations omitted, we note that letting $m = 1$ reduces (85) to the LOO-CMI bound in (73), whereas setting $m = n$ leads to the ICIMI bound shown in (75). In the following, we continue to derive the order of (85).

Let $f(X, Y)$ be any deterministic function of X and Y . By multivariate Taylor expansion, we approximate $f(X, Y)$ at points $X = \mathbb{E}[X]$ and $Y = \mathbb{E}[Y]$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X, Y) &\approx f(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y])(X - \mathbb{E}[X]) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) \frac{(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X \partial Y}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y])(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial Y^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) \frac{(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Take expectation on both sides and use the independence of X and Y , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(X, Y)] &\approx f(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]}{2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial Y^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) \frac{\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])^2]}{2} \\ &= f(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) \frac{m-1}{2m} np(1-p) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial Y^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) \frac{n}{2m} p(1-p). \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

On the one hand, by letting $f_1(X, Y) = \log\left(Y + 1 + \frac{\alpha X \bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + 1}\right)$ (where \bar{X} and \bar{Y} are deterministic functions of X and Y , respectively), we now compute $f_1(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y])$ and prove that both $\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial X^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y])$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial Y^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y])$ are of order $O(\frac{1}{n^2})$. First, plugging $X = \mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{m-1}{m} np$ and $Y = \mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{n}{m} p$ into $f_1(X, Y)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) &= \log\left(\frac{n}{m} p + 1 + \frac{(1-p)^2 \frac{n}{m} \frac{m-1}{m} n}{(1-p) \frac{m-1}{m} n + 1}\right) \\ &= \log\frac{(1-p)(m-1)n^2 + ((1-p)(m-1) + p)mn + m^2}{(1-p)(m-1)mn + m^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Next, we compute the following partial derivatives of f_1 as

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X}(X, Y) = \frac{\alpha \left(\frac{m-1}{m} n + 1\right) Y}{(\bar{X} + 1)^2 (Y + 1) + \alpha (\bar{X} + 1) XY}, \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial X^2}(X, Y) = \frac{\alpha \left(\frac{m-1}{m} n + 1\right) (\alpha XY + (2-\alpha)\bar{X}Y + 2\bar{X} + (2-\alpha)Y + 2) Y}{\left((\bar{X} + 1)^2 (Y + 1) + \alpha (\bar{X} + 1) XY\right)^2}, \quad (90)$$

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial Y}(X, Y) = \frac{\bar{X} - \alpha X + 1}{(\bar{X} + 1)(Y + 1) + \alpha X \bar{Y}}, \quad (91)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial Y^2}(X, Y) = -\frac{(\bar{X} - \alpha X + 1)^2}{((\bar{X} + 1)(Y + 1) + \alpha X \bar{Y})^2}. \quad (92)$$

At points $X = \frac{m-1}{m} np$, $\bar{X} = \frac{m-1}{m} n(1-p)$, $Y = \frac{n}{m} p$ and $\bar{Y} = \frac{n}{m} (1-p)$, one can verify that

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial X^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad (93)$$

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial Y}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial Y^2}(\mathbb{E}[X], \mathbb{E}[Y]) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \quad (94)$$

Plugging (88), (93) and (94) back into (87) yields

$$\mathbb{E}[f_1(X, Y)] = \log\frac{(1-p)(m-1)n^2 + ((1-p)(m-1) + p)mn + m^2}{(1-p)(m-1)mn + m^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (95)$$

On the other, we let $f_2(X, Y) = \log \left(\bar{Y} + 1 + \frac{\beta \bar{X} Y}{\bar{X} + 1} \right)$ and follow a similar procedure to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[f_2(X, Y)] = \log \frac{p(m-1)n^2 + (p(m-1) + 1 - p)mn + m^2}{p(m-1)mn + m^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (96)$$

Combining all the results yields

$$\begin{aligned} I\left(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{n}{m}+1]}^{(i)}\right) &= \log\left(\frac{n}{m} + 1\right) - p\mathbb{E}[f_1(X, Y)] - (1-p)\mathbb{E}[f_2(X, Y)] \\ &= p\left(\log\left(\frac{n}{m} + 1\right) - \log\frac{(1-p)(m-1)n^2 + ((1-p)(m-1) + p)mn + m^2}{(1-p)(m-1)mn + m^2}\right) \\ &\quad + (1-p)\left(\log\left(\frac{n}{m} + 1\right) - \log\frac{p(m-1)n^2 + (p(m-1) + 1 - p)mn + m^2}{p(m-1)mn + m^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= p\log\left(1 + \frac{(1-p)mn}{(1-p)(m-1)n^2 + ((1-p)(m-1) + p)mn + m^2}\right) \\ &\quad + (1-p)\log\left(1 + \frac{pmn}{p(m-1)n^2 + (p(m-1) + 1 - p)mn + m^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{p(1-p)mn}{(1-p)(m-1)n^2 + ((1-p)(m-1) + p)mn + m^2} + \frac{p(1-p)mn}{p(m-1)n^2 + (p(m-1) + 1 - p)mn + m^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

plugging which into (23) implies that PLOO-CMI bound scales as $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$.

9) (m, n) -IPCIMI Case:

$$I\left(W; U^{(i)} | \tilde{Z}_{[\frac{m}{n}+1]}^{(i)}\right) = \log\left(\frac{m}{n} + 1\right) - p\mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(Y + 1 + \frac{\beta \bar{X} \bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + 1}\right)\right] - (1-p)\mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(\bar{Y} + 1 + \frac{\alpha XY}{\bar{X} + 1}\right)\right], \quad (98)$$

where $X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, p)$, $Y \sim \text{Bin}(\frac{m}{n}, p)$, $\bar{X} = n-1-X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, 1-p)$, $\bar{Y} = \frac{m}{n} - Y \sim \text{Bin}(\frac{m}{n}, 1-p)$, $\alpha = \frac{1-p}{p}$ and $\beta = \frac{p}{1-p}$.