Introduction to ApplicationCore.





Martin Hierholzer

MicroTCA Workshop - Tutorial "Introduction to ChimeraTK" 2017-12-05



- ► API abstraction:
 - ► Make your application independent of 3rd-party APIs
 - ► Example: use one common API for access to any register-based hardware independent of the transport protocol



- ► API abstraction:
 - ► Make your application independent of 3rd-party APIs
 - ► Example: use one common API for access to any register-based hardware independent of the transport protocol
 - ▶ Other example: OpenCL unifies CUDA, ATI stream and other GPGPU interfaces



- ► API abstraction:
 - ► Make your application independent of 3rd-party APIs
 - ► Example: use one common API for access to any register-based hardware independent of the transport protocol
 - ▶ Other example: OpenCL unifies CUDA, ATI stream and other GPGPU interfaces
- Conceptional abstraction:



- ► API abstraction:
 - Make your application independent of 3rd-party APIs
 - ► Example: use one common API for access to any register-based hardware independent of the transport protocol
 - ▶ Other example: OpenCL unifies CUDA, ATI stream and other GPGPU interfaces
- Conceptional abstraction:
 - Make your application independent even of concepts implied by the use of a particular technology



- ► API abstraction:
 - Make your application independent of 3rd-party APIs
 - ► Example: use one common API for access to any register-based hardware independent of the transport protocol
 - ▶ Other example: OpenCL unifies CUDA, ATI stream and other GPGPU interfaces
- Conceptional abstraction:
 - Make your application independent even of concepts implied by the use of a particular technology
 - ► Example: Printer driver allows to draw a line in the same way on vector plotters and pixel printers



- ► API abstraction:
 - Make your application independent of 3rd-party APIs
 - ► Example: use one common API for access to any register-based hardware independent of the transport protocol
 - ▶ Other example: OpenCL unifies CUDA, ATI stream and other GPGPU interfaces
- Conceptional abstraction:
 - Make your application independent even of concepts implied by the use of a particular technology
 - Example: Printer driver allows to draw a line in the same way on vector plotters and pixel printers
 - ▶ This is a difficult one! Not generally possible on the level of an entire application
 - ▶ Instead: break down application into abstract parts, combine them with little code



- ► API abstraction:
 - Make your application independent of 3rd-party APIs
 - ► Example: use one common API for access to any register-based hardware independent of the transport protocol
 - ▶ Other example: OpenCL unifies CUDA, ATI stream and other GPGPU interfaces
- Conceptional abstraction:
 - Make your application independent even of concepts implied by the use of a particular technology
 - Example: Printer driver allows to draw a line in the same way on vector plotters and pixel printers
 - ▶ This is a difficult one! Not generally possible on the level of an entire application
 - ▶ Instead: break down application into abstract parts, combine them with little code
- Both improve code quality a lot!
- Conceptional abstraction usualy involves also API abstraction



- ► Kick-off meeting for the OPC UA adapter with TU-DD (Summer 2016)
- ▶ Why do we treat control system variables and registers differently?



- ▶ Kick-off meeting for the OPC UA adapter with TU-DD (Summer 2016)
- Why do we treat control system variables and registers differently?
- ▶ Control system variable ⇒ Server
- ▶ DeviceAccess register ⇒ Client
- Conceptionally the same, can sometimes even be exchanged!




- ▶ Kick-off meeting for the OPC UA adapter with TU-DD (Summer 2016)
- Why do we treat control system variables and registers differently?
- ▶ Control system variable ⇒ Server
- ▶ DeviceAccess register ⇒ Client
- Conceptionally the same, can sometimes even be exchanged!
- DOOCS example:
 - ► Client write via RPC Server
 - ► Server send via 0MQ Client




- ► Kick-off meeting for the OPC UA adapter with TU-DD (Summer 2016)
- Why do we treat control system variables and registers differently?
- ▶ Control system variable ⇒ Server
- ▶ DeviceAccess register ⇒ Client
- Conceptionally the same, can sometimes even be exchanged!
- DOOCS example:
 - ► Client write via RPC server
 - ightharpoonup Server $\xrightarrow{\text{send via 0MQ}}$ Client
- ▶ Of course one has to decide for one implementation, but no fundamental difference in the application





The structure of ApplicationCore







Goal: provide framework for implementing applications which are "naturally" control-system independent

▶ If we abstract away differences between control system and device variables, we will less likely make our application sensitive to specific control systems!



- ▶ If we abstract away differences between control system and device variables, we will less likely make our application sensitive to specific control systems!
- ► Separate actual application code (algorithms etc.) from control system and device implementation details



- ▶ If we abstract away differences between control system and device variables, we will less likely make our application sensitive to specific control systems!
- Separate actual application code (algorithms etc.) from control system and device implementation details
- lacktriangle Encourage modular applications (o conceptional abstraction)



- ▶ If we abstract away differences between control system and device variables, we will less likely make our application sensitive to specific control systems!
- Separate actual application code (algorithms etc.) from control system and device implementation details
- lacktriangle Encourage modular applications (o conceptional abstraction)
- ightharpoonup Clean and simple interface, avoid boiler plate code as much as C++11 allows



- ▶ If we abstract away differences between control system and device variables, we will less likely make our application sensitive to specific control systems!
- Separate actual application code (algorithms etc.) from control system and device implementation details
- lacktriangle Encourage modular applications (o conceptional abstraction)
- ightharpoonup Clean and simple interface, avoid boiler plate code as much as C++11 allows
- Avoid the need for user callback functions (excessive use makes code unreadable)



- ▶ If we abstract away differences between control system and device variables, we will less likely make our application sensitive to specific control systems!
- Separate actual application code (algorithms etc.) from control system and device implementation details
- lacktriangle Encourage modular applications (o conceptional abstraction)
- ightharpoonup Clean and simple interface, avoid boiler plate code as much as C++11 allows
- Avoid the need for user callback functions (excessive use makes code unreadable)
- ▶ Allow publishing a device register into the control system with a single code line

Efficient multi-threading





Short refresh of C++ 11



Default arguments to member constructors with braces

```
struct SomeClass {
  std::string myText{"Hello World"};
};
```

Short refresh of C++ 11



Default arguments to member constructors with braces


```
struct SomeClass {
  std::string myText{"Hello World"};
};
```

Brace-initialisers when passing arguments

```
void someFunction(std::vector<int> values);
void main() {
  someFunction({1, 42, 33});
}
```

Direct access to device registers





Define the application (idealised code)



```
struct ExampleApp : public ctk::Application {
    ctk::DeviceModule heater{"oven","heater"};
    ctk::ControlSystemModule cs{"Bakery"};
    void defineConnections();
};
ExampleApp theExampleApp;
```

Define the application



```
struct ExampleApp : public ctk::Application {
    ExampleApp() : Application("exampleApp") {}
    ~ExampleApp() { shutdown(); }

    ctk::DeviceModule heater{"oven", "heater"};

    ctk::ControlSystemModule cs{"Bakery"};

    void defineConnections();
};

ExampleApp theExampleApp;
```

Description of connections (idealised code)



```
void ExampleApp::defineConnections() {
    cs("heatingCurrent") >> heater("heatingCurrent");
    heater("temperatureReadback") >> cs("temperatureReadback");
}
```

Description of connections (idealised code)



```
void ExampleApp::defineConnections() {
    cs("heatingCurrent") >> heater("heatingCurrent");
    heater("temperatureReadback") >> cs("temperatureReadback");
}
```

- ▶ Neither DeviceModule nor ControlSystemModule define their variable types
- DeviceAccess allows to read a register as any type
- ControlSystemAdater variables are created on-the-fly
- ▶ We need to specify the type and array length here!


Description of connections (idealised code)




```
void ExampleApp::defineConnections() {
    cs("heatingCurrent", typeid(int), 1) >> heater("heatingCurrent");
    heater("temperatureReadback", typeid(int), 1) >> cs("temperatureReadback");
}
```

- ▶ Neither DeviceModule nor ControlSystemModule define their variable types
- DeviceAccess allows to read a register as any type
- ControlSystemAdater variables are created on-the-fly
- ▶ We need to specify the type and array length here!





















Introduction of triggers





Introduction of triggers






Introduction of triggers



▶ When connecting a poll-type output (e.g. a PCle register) with a push-type input, a trigger for the transfer is needed



- Any push-type variable can act as a trigger, its value will be ignored
- ▶ It can come from a device, another ApplicationModule or even the ControlSystemAdapter

Description of connections (actual code!)



Description of connections (actual code!)



- DeviceAccess variables are by default poll-type
- Need to change this to push-type

Define the application (actual code!)



```
struct ExampleApp : public ctk::Application {
    ExampleApp() : Application("exampleApp") {}
    ~ExampleApp() { shutdown(); }

    ctk::DeviceModule heater{"oven", "heater"};
    ctk::DeviceModule timer{"Timer"};
    ctk::ControlSystemModule cs{"Bakery"};

    void defineConnections();
};
ExampleApp theExampleApp;
```

Define the application (actual code!)



```
ctk::DeviceModule heat be defined, neator ctk::Contraction shall be defined neator.

ctk::Contraction shall be defined neator ctk::Contraction shall be defined neator.
      ExampleApp theExampleApp;
```

Define the application (actual code!)



```
struct ExampleApp : public ctk::Application {
    ExampleApp() : Application("exampleApp") {}
    ~ExampleApp() { shutdown(); }


    ctk::DeviceModule heater{"oven", "heater"};
    ctk::DeviceModule timer{"Timer"};
    ctk::ControlSystemModule cs{"Bakery"};

    void defineConnections();
};
ExampleApp theExampleApp;
```

Live demo now! (01)

Make the application smarter!





Add an ApplicationModule (idealised code)



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
    ctk::ScalarInput<double> sp{"sp", "degC", "Description"};
    ctk::ScalarInput<double> rb{"rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{"cur", "mA", "..."};
    void mainLoop() {
      const double gain = 100.0;
                                                           Application Module "Controller"
      while(true) {
        rb.read():
                                                          heatingCurrent
        sp.read();
                                                        temperatureReadback → Module Thread
        cur = gain * (sp - rb);
        cur.write();
                                                        temperatureSetpoint
};
```

Add an ApplicationModule (towards actual code - step 1)



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
    ctk::ScalarInput<double> sp{this, "sp", "degC", "Description"};
    ctk::ScalarInput<double> rb{this, "rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{this, "cur", "mA", "..."};
                                      Need to know the owner!
    void mainLoop() {
      const double gain = 100.0;
     while(true) {
       rb.read():
        sp.read();
        cur = gain * (sp - rb);
        cur.write();
```

Application Module (towards actual code - step 2)



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
    using ctk::ApplicationModule::ApplicationModule; 
\[ Inherit constructor \]
    ctk::ScalarInput<double> sp{this, "sp", "degC", "Description"};
    ctk::ScalarInput<double> rb{this, "rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{this, "cur", "mA", "..."};
    void mainLoop() {
      const double gain = 100.0;
      while(true) {
       rb.read():
       sp.read();
        cur = gain * (sp - rb);
        cur.write();
```



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
    using ctk::ApplicationModule::ApplicationModule;
    ctk::ScalarPollinput <double > sp{this, "sp", "degC", "Description"};
    ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> rb{this, "rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{this, "cur", "mA", "..."};
                  Define the update mode. Outputs are always push-type.
    void mainLoop() {
      const double gain = 100.0;
     while(true) {
       rb.read();  // waits until update arrives
        sp.read(); // just reads latest value
        cur = gain * (sp - rb);
        cur.write();
};
```



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
    using ctk::ApplicationModule::ApplicationModule;
    ctk::ScalarPollinput <double > sp{this, "sp", "degC", "Description"};
    ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> rb{this, "rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{this, "cur", "mA", "..."};
                  Define the update mode. Outputs are always push-type.
    void mainLoop() {
      const double gain = 100.0;
      while(true) {
        rb.read();  // waits until update arrives
                                                              Application Module "Controller"
        sp.read(); // just reads latest value
        cur = gain * (sp - rb);
                                              output → heatingCurrent
        cur.write();
                                     push-type input → temperatureReadback → Module Thread
                                      poll-type input → temperatureSetpoint
};
```



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
   using ctk::ApplicationModule::ApplicationModule;
   ctk::ScalarPollInput<double> sp{this, "sp", "degC", "Description"};
   ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> rb{this, "rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{this, "cur", "mA", "..."};
    Interface from DeviceAccess

    ScalarPollInput / ScalarPushInput / ScalarOutput

         ⇒ ScalarRegisterAccessor
      ArrayPollInput / ArrayPushInput / ArrayOutput
         ⇒ OneDRegisterAccessor
};
```



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
   using ctk::ApplicationModule::ApplicationModule;
   ctk::ScalarPollInput<double> sp{this, "sp", "degC", "Description"};
   ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> rb{this, "rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{this, "cur", "mA", "..."};
    Interface from DeviceAccess

    ScalarPollInput / ScalarPushInput / ScalarOutput

         ⇒ ScalarRegisterAccessor
      ArrayPollInput / ArrayPushInput / ArrayOutput
         ⇒ OneDRegisterAccessor
       Actual inheritance!
      Only adds inversion of control
};
```



```
struct Controller : public ctk::ApplicationModule {
    using ctk::ApplicationModule::ApplicationModule;
    ctk::ScalarPollInput<double> sp{this, "sp", "degC", "Description"};
    ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> rb{this, "rb", "degC", "..."};
    ctk::ScalarOutput<double> cur{this, "cur", "mA", "..."};
    void mainLoop() {
      const double gain = 100.0;
     while(true) {
       rb.read();  // waits until update arrives
        sp.read(); // just reads latest value
        cur = gain * (sp - rb);
        cur.write();
};
```

Live demo now! (02)



Definition of connections for live demo 02





► Triggers are in many cases not strictly needed, one could do periodic polling in the ApplicationModule instead (i.e. poll-type input and sleep)



- ► Triggers are in many cases not strictly needed, one could do periodic polling in the ApplicationModule instead (i.e. poll-type input and sleep)
- ▶ If consistency (or at least near consistency) is required, periodic polling must be done in the same ApplicationModule



- ► Triggers are in many cases not strictly needed, one could do periodic polling in the ApplicationModule instead (i.e. poll-type input and sleep)
- ▶ If consistency (or at least near consistency) is required, periodic polling must be done in the same ApplicationModule
- Conceptional abstraction:
 - Keep a variable out an ApplicationModule if it doesn't belong there! Don't place supplyVoltages into the Controller module



- ► Triggers are in many cases not strictly needed, one could do periodic polling in the ApplicationModule instead (i.e. poll-type input and sleep)
- ▶ If consistency (or at least near consistency) is required, periodic polling must be done in the same ApplicationModule
- Conceptional abstraction:
 - Keep a variable out an ApplicationModule if it doesn't belong there! Don't place supplyVoltages into the Controller module
 - ▶ If an ApplicationModule acts upon change of an input, make that clear by using a push-type input



- ► Triggers are in many cases not strictly needed, one could do periodic polling in the ApplicationModule instead (i.e. poll-type input and sleep)
- ▶ If consistency (or at least near consistency) is required, periodic polling must be done in the same ApplicationModule
- Conceptional abstraction:
 - Keep a variable out an ApplicationModule if it doesn't belong there! Don't place supplyVoltages into the Controller module
 - If an ApplicationModule acts upon change of an input, make that clear by using a push-type input
- ▶ NB: Application-wide periodic triggers are like the cycle time in PLCs

Let's add some monitoring!




```
void ExampleApp::defineConnections() {
    mtca4u::setDMapFilePath("devices.dmap");
    auto triggerNr = timer("triggerNr", typeid(int), 1, ctk::UpdateMode::push);
    cs("temperatureSetpoint") >> controller.temperatureSetpoint;
    controller.heatingCurrent >> heater("heatingCurrent");
    heater("temperatureReadback") [ triggerNr ] >> controller.temperatureReadback
        >> cs("temperatureReadback");
    heater("supplyVoltages", typeid(int), 4) [ triggerNr ] >> cs("supplyVoltages");
    triggerNr >> cs("triggerNr");
```

Live demo now! (03)




- ▶ Connect all variables of module with variables of same name in other module
- Works recursively (variables can be grouped with VariableGroup)






- ▶ Connect all variables of module with variables of same name in other module
- Works recursively (variables can be grouped with VariableGroup)
- ▶ Does not care about additional variables in the target module
- Even acts on inputs and outputs simultaneously





- Connect all variables of module with variables of same name in other module
- Works recursively (variables can be grouped with VariableGroup)
- Does not care about additional variables in the target module
- Even acts on inputs and outputs simultaneously
- ▶ Works nicely with ControlSystemModule which creates variables on demand





```
void ExampleApp::defineConnections() {
    mtca4u::setDMapFilePath("devices.dmap");
    auto triggerNr = timer("triggerNr", typeid(int), 1, ctk::UpdateMode::push);
    cs("temperatureSetpoint") >> controller.temperatureSetpoint;
    controller.heatingCurrent >> heater("heatingCurrent");
    heater("temperatureReadback") [ triggerNr ] >> controller.temperatureReadback
        >> cs("temperatureReadback");
    heater("supplyVoltages", typeid(int), 4) [ triggerNr ] >> cs("supplyVoltages");
    triggerNr >> cs("triggerNr");
```



```
void ExampleApp::defineConnections() {
    mtca4u::setDMapFilePath("devices.dmap");
    auto triggerNr = timer("triggerNr", typeid(int), 1, ctk::UpdateMode::push);
    cs("temperatureSetpoint") >> controller.temperatureSetpoint;
    controller.heatingCurrent >> heater("heatingCurrent");
    heater("temperatureReadback") [ triggerNr ] >> controller.temperatureReadback;
    controller.temperatureReadback >> cs("temperatureReadback");
    heater("supplyVoltages", typeid(int), 4) [ triggerNr ] >> cs("supplyVoltages");
    triggerNr >> cs("triggerNr");
```



```
void ExampleApp::defineConnections() {
    mtca4u::setDMapFilePath("devices.dmap");
    auto triggerNr = timer("triggerNr", typeid(int), 1, ctk::UpdateMode::push);
   cs("temperatureSetpoint") >> controller.temperatureSetpoint;
    controller.heatingCurrent >> heater("heatingCurrent");
    heater("temperatureReadback") [ triggerNr ] >> controller.temperatureReadback;
    controller.temperatureReadback >> cs("temperatureReadback");
    heater("supplyVoltages", typeid(int), 4) [ triggerNr ] >> cs("supplyVoltages");
    triggerNr >> cs("triggerNr");
    controller.connectTo(cs); // could connect arbitrary number of variables
```

Live demo now! (04)



- Variables can have any number of tags attachted
- ► A tag is any alpha-numeric string (no spaces)
- Not visible outside the application
- Can be used to search for a subset of variables



```
// ApplicationModule "Controller":
ctk::ScalarPollInput<double> temperatureSetpoint{..., {"CS"}};
ctk::ScalarOutput<double> heatingCurrent{..., {"HEATER"}};
ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> temperatureReadback{..., {"CS", "HEATER"}};

// defineConnections():
controller.findTag("HEATER").connectTo(heater, triggerNr);
controller.findTag("CS").connectTo(cs);
```



```
// ApplicationModule "Controller":
ctk::ScalarPollInput<double> temperatureSetpoint{..., {"CS"}};
ctk::ScalarOutput<double> heatingCurrent{..., {"HEATER"}};
ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> temperatureReadback{..., {"CS", "HEATER"}};

// defineConnections():
controller.findTag("HEATER").connectTo(heater, triggerNr);
controller.findTag("CS").connectTo(cs);
```

```
/**

* Connect the entire module into another module. [...]

*

* If an optional trigger node is specified, this trigger node is applied to all

* poll-type output variables of the target module, which are being connected during

* this operation, if the corresponding variable in this module is push-type.

*/

void Module::connectTo(const Module &target, VariableNetworkNode trigger={}) const;
```



```
// ApplicationModule "Controller":
ctk::ScalarPollInput<double> temperatureSetpoint{..., {"CS"}};
ctk::ScalarOutput<double> heatingCurrent{..., {"HEATER"}};
ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> temperatureReadback{..., {"CS", "HEATER"}};

// defineConnections():
controller.findTag("HEATER").connectTo(heater, triggerNr);
controller.findTag("CS").connectTo(cs);
```

The order matters!

- ▶ The oder of connectTo() statements may matter
- Device and control system variables decide their direction from the context
- ► Swapping the two statements would feed temperatureReadback from the control system and pass a copy to the device



```
// ApplicationModule "Controller":
ctk::ScalarPollInput<double> temperatureSetpoint{..., {"CS"}};
ctk::ScalarOutput<double> heatingCurrent{..., {"HEATER"}};
ctk::ScalarPushInput<double> temperatureReadback{..., {"CS", "HEATER"}};

// defineConnections():
controller.findTag("HEATER").connectTo(heater, triggerNr);
controller.findTag("CS").connectTo(cs);
```

Live demo now! (05)



- ▶ A pipe is a standard ApplicationModule simply passing on a variable
- Very useful for direct connections between devices and control system



- ▶ A pipe is a standard ApplicationModule simply passing on a variable
- Very useful for direct connections between devices and control system



- ▶ A pipe is a standard ApplicationModule simply passing on a variable
- ▶ Very useful for direct connections between devices and control system

Live demo now! (06)



- ▶ A pipe is a standard ApplicationModule simply passing on a variable
- Very useful for direct connections between devices and control system

Pipes



flatten()

- Eliminates all hierarchies (just for the current operation)
- Common use case: device with flat hierarchy but register access scattered over many modules

```
// defineConnections() smarter:
findTag("HEATER").flatten().connectTo(heater, triggerNr);
findTag("CS").connectTo(cs);
```

Information model





- ▶ Model already improved a bit by introducing the "controller" directory
- Still, a bakery does not has a controller the oven has it!

Information model





- Model already improved a bit by introducing the "controller" directory
- Still, a bakery does not has a controller the oven has it!
- Assuming this application represents an entire bakery, we shall introduce the oven an entity in both the source code and the control system hierarchy
- ▶ In other words: pick the right level of conceptional abstraction for each part of the application

Group modules



Live demo now! (07)

Controlling multiple ovens



```
// Application:
std::vector<Oven> ovens;
std::vector<ctk::DeviceModule> heaters;

// defineConnections():
for(size_t i=0; i<2; ++i) {
   ovens.emplace_back(this, "oven"+std::to_string(i), "Oven "+std::to_string(i));
   heaters.emplace_back("oven"+std::to_string(i), "heater");

   ovens[i].findTag("HEATER").flatten().connectTo(heaters[i], triggerNr);
}</pre>
```

Live demo now! (08)

More possibilities for improving the information model




- excludeTag() is the opposite of findTag()
- findTag() and excludeTag() both support regular expressions
- Any level in the hierarchy might be "eliminated" if introduced only for technical reasons (e.g. need for readAll() on a VariableGroup), see Module::setEliminateHierarchy()
- ▶ In case of doubt: use Module::dump() or the result of findTag() etc., e.g.:


```
ovens[0].dump();
findTag("CS").dump();
```

Or use Module::dumpGraph() to generate GraphViz dot code






























- ► Tag "CH5": other modules also provide variables for Channel 5
- ► Tags "REG" and "CS": device registers and control system variables
- ► Tag "CALIB": global calibration values
- ► Tag "DAQ": variables go into the internal DAQ system (if enabled)





 For mor details about the LLRF server, come to my poster:
 ApplicationCore: A Framework for Modern Control Applications at the Example of a Facility Independent LLRF Server