



Introduction to Number Theory

Killian O'Brien

6G6Z0024 Applied Cryptography

Lecture Week 01 – Mon 29 September 2025

- Teaching team: Dr Killian O'Brien  and Dr Safiullah Khan . See Moodle for contact details.
- 6G6Z0024 Applied Cryptography (15 credits)
- Assessment is 100% coursework. A portfolio of exercises.
- Timetable
- Let's look at the [Moodle](#) page for the unit.

We deal with the positive and negative *counting* numbers, more properly named the *integers*, and denoted by the symbol \mathbb{Z} , (coming from the German *Zahl*, for number)

- $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- \mathbb{Z} is an *infinite* set.
- \mathbb{Z} obviously carries the operations of addition, $+$, and multiplication, \cdot , that you've known from primary school.

Modern cryptography relies heavily on techniques and facts from *number theory*, which is the mathematical study of the integers and their properties under $+$ and \cdot .

- The **divisibility relation** on \mathbb{Z} .
- **Greatest Common Divisors** (gcd) and the **Euclidean Algorithm**.
- The **congruence relation** and **modular arithmetic**.
- **Prime** numbers and
 - The **Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic** and **prime factorizations**
 - **Fermat's Little Theorem**
 - **Euler's totient** function
 - **Euler's theorem**
 - **Primality** testing, the **Miller-Rabin** test
- The **Chinese Remainder Theorem**
- **Discrete logarithms**

All these covered in [Stallings, Chapter 2: Introduction to Number Theory](#).

The divisibility relation

- Recall, a *relation* in computer science / mathematics is a formula $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, so that when values are supplied for the variables x_1, \dots, x_n , results in a *statement* $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, i.e. something which is true or false.
- For a pair of integers a, b , with $b \neq 0$, we say b *divides* a , and write $b \mid a$ if there exists an integer c such that

$$a = b \cdot c,$$

and if no such integer c exists then we say b does *not divide* a , and can write $b \nmid a$.

- So $b \mid a$ is a binary relation on a, b , i.e. a statement that is true or false, depending on the values of a, b .
- If $b \mid a$ then we say b is a *factor* or *divisor* of a .

Examples

- $3 \mid 15, 5 \mid 15, 1 \mid 15, 15 \mid 15$.
- $3 \nmid 10, 17 \nmid 20$.

The divisibility relation enjoys the following properties, which can all be demonstrated (and proved) using its definition and basic properties of the integers.

- If $a|1$ then $a = \pm 1$, i.e. $a = -1$ or $a = +1$.
- If $a|b$ and $b|a$ then $a = \pm b$.
- For all non-zero integers b , we have $b|0$, i.e. *everything divides 0*.
- If $a|b$ and $b|c$ then $a|c$, i.e. the divisibility relation is *transitive*, it travels through intermediaries.
- If $x|y$ and $x|z$ then for all pairs of integer coefficients α, β , we have

$$x|(\alpha \cdot y + \beta \cdot z),$$

i.e. x divides all *linear combinations* of y and z .

To familiarise yourself with these, work through some examples of the transitivity of divisibility and the divisibility of linear combinations.

Do you remember this kind of thing from primary school?

- 20 divided by 3, goes in 6 times, with remainder 2.
- $20 = 6 \cdot 3 + 2$

The *integer division algorithm* is simply a formalization of this. It is:

- Given any positive integer n and any non-negative integer a , we can divide a by n to get an integer quotient q and remainder r that satisfy
- $a = qn + r$, and $0 \leq r < n$, and $q = \lfloor a/n \rfloor$
- $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is defined as the largest integer less than x , the so-called *floor* function.

We write $\gcd(a, b)$ for the *greatest common divisor of a and b* . So gcd is defined by

- $\gcd(a, b) = d$, where d is the largest integer that divides both a and b .
- For neatness, we also define $\gcd(0, 0) = 0$.

For example

- $\gcd(60, 24) = 12$, $\gcd(100, 75) = 25$, $\gcd(15, 32) = 1$.
- Note that, by its definition, gcd will always be non-negative, i.e. $\gcd(-60, 24) = 12$.

For small arguments a, b , we can calculate $\gcd(a, b)$ *in our heads*, so to speak.

- $\gcd(25, 3) = ?$, $\gcd(99, 27) = ?$,
- But what about $\gcd(12349878973245, 324765)$?

In fact there is a classic algorithm that can quickly determine gcd, and establishes the following, non-obvious fact,

- $\gcd(a, b)$ is the smallest positive integer d that can be written in the form

$$d = x \cdot a + y \cdot b,$$

for integer coefficients x, y .

The Euclidean algorithm was known to ancient mathematicians and has several important uses and generalisations in mathematics and cryptography.

A detailed treatment is given in Stallings. The algorithm depends on the following property of gcd.

- If $a = qn + r$ then $\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(n, r)$.

This is true because

- if d is a common divisor of a and n , then since $r = a - qn$, i.e. r is a linear combination of a and n , then d divides r also. And so d is a common divisor of n and r .
- Similarly we can show that if e is a common divisor of n and r , then e divides a also. And so e will be a common divisor of a and n .
- So the pairs (a, n) and (n, r) have the exact same set of common divisors.
- Therefore,

$$\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(n, r).$$

The algorithm works by repeatedly applying the property from the last slide, to a sequence of integer divisions, until the gcd is clear. Best seen with a worked example

- What is $\gcd(710, 310)$?
- $710 = 2 \cdot 310 + 90$ so $\gcd(710, 310) = \gcd(310, 90)$,
- $310 = 3 \cdot 90 + 40$ so $\gcd(310, 90) = \gcd(90, 40)$,
- $90 = 2 \cdot 40 + 10$ so $\gcd(90, 40) = \gcd(40, 10)$,
- $40 = 4 \cdot 10 + 0$ so $\gcd(40, 10) = \gcd(10, 0) = 10$.

Note that

- The algorithm will terminate, since the remainders are a strictly decreasing sequence of non-negative integers.
- By definition of divisibility, $\gcd(x, 0) = x$, for all integers x .
- The gcd equations associated to the integer divisions all link together.
- So we can conclude that

$$\gcd(710, 310) = 10.$$

See Stallings for the full detail, a flowchart specification of the algorithm, and more examples.

For an integer a and a positive integer n we say that $a \bmod n$ is the remainder r in the integer division of a by n .

- $a = qn + r, \quad 0 \leq r < n$
- We write $(a \bmod n) = r$.
- n is called the *modulus* in this expression.

For example

- $(11 \bmod 7) = 4$ and $(-11 \bmod 4) = 1$.

There is an associated binary relation here.

We say that two integers a and b are *congruent modulo n* , written as

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n},$$

if

- $(a \bmod n) = (b \bmod n)$
- That is, if a and b leave the same remainder, after division by n .

Examples

- $23 \equiv 8 \pmod{5}$
- $-11 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$
- $81 \equiv 0 \pmod{27}$

The congruence relation has the following properties

- $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if $n \mid (a - b)$
- $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$, called *reflexivity*
- $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ implies that $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$, called *symmetry*
- If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$, called *transitivity*
- These last three properties mean congruence modulo n is an *equivalence relation* on \mathbb{Z} .

- The mod operator $(a \bmod n)$ maps all integers a into the set

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

- This is the set of *residues*, or *remainders*, modulo n .
- The familiar operations of $+$ and \cdot on \mathbb{Z} extend to \mathbb{Z}_n in a natural way.

$$(a \bmod n) + (b \bmod n) := ((a + b) \bmod n)$$

$$(a \bmod n) \cdot (b \bmod n) := ((a \cdot b) \bmod n)$$

This means that \mathbb{Z}_n , with the operations of $+$ and \cdot will form a *closed system* with respect to these operations, i.e. for any pair x, y from \mathbb{Z}_n , $x + y$ and $x \cdot y$ will again be elements of \mathbb{Z}_n .

See Stallings for worked examples of \mathbb{Z}_8 under $+$ and \cdot .

- So given x from \mathbb{Z}_n , x will have an *additive inverse*, $n - x$, which satisfies

$$x + (n - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

- Given x from \mathbb{Z}_n , if there exists a y in \mathbb{Z}_n which satisfies

$$x \cdot y \equiv 1 \pmod{n},$$

then we say y is the *multiplicative inverse of x modulo n* , and vice versa. We can write $y \equiv x^{-1} \pmod{n}$.

- But multiplicative inverses do not necessarily exist for every element of \mathbb{Z}_n .

This is connected to the issue of cancellation in \mathbb{Z}_n .

- If $(a + b) \equiv (a + c) \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$.
- If $(a \cdot b) \equiv (a \cdot c) \pmod{n}$ then it's not necessarily true that $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$.
- However, if $a^{-1} \pmod{n}$ exists then we can cancel from products as

$$a^{-1}(a \cdot b) \equiv a^{-1}(a \cdot c) \pmod{n}$$

and so

$$(a^{-1}a) \cdot b \equiv (a^{-1}a) \cdot c \pmod{n}$$

and so

$$b \equiv c \pmod{n}.$$

Using linear combinations and the Euclidean algorithm we can show that

- for a in \mathbb{Z}_n , a multiplicative inverse of a modulo n will exist if and only if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.
- **Terminology:** If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ then a, b are said to be *relatively prime*, or *coprime*.

Extended Euclidean algorithm

- If $\gcd(a, b) = d$ then the *Extended Euclidean Algorithm* is an algorithm that produces integer coefficients x, y such that

$$xa + yb = d.$$

- It works by using the integer division with remainder equations from the Euclidean algorithm applied to $\gcd(a, b)$ and a method of *back substitution* to generate the x, y .
- We will demonstrate it by finding x, y so that $x \cdot 710 + y \cdot 310 = 10$.

Connection with multiplicative inverses

- When $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and we find coefficients x, y such that

$$xa + yn = 1,$$

then $x \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{n}$.