

Quadratic residues in  $U(p) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$   
in the group of units modulo  $p$ , i.e. the  
non-zero elements mod  $p$ , where  $p$   
is an odd prime, i.e.  $p > 2$ .

Def Let  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

$n$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$

$\iff \exists u \in U(p)$  such that

$$u^2 \equiv n \pmod{p}.$$

$n$  is a quadratic non-residue otherwise

We've seen

- ~~that~~ there  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  residues mod  $p$

- $$\left( \frac{n}{p} \right) = \begin{cases} +1, & n \text{ is a quad. res.} \\ -1, & n \text{ is a quad non-res} \end{cases}$$

"Legendre symbol"

- it's completely multiplicative.

$$\left( \frac{nm}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) \left( \frac{m}{p} \right).$$

• Euler's criterion.

$$(n|p) \equiv n^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$$

An application of this showed

$$(-1|p) = \begin{cases} +1, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \\ -1, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Q! Good ways to  
evaluate  $(n|p) = ?$

$(2|p)$  will follow a regular pattern  
based on  $p$ .

A second application of Euler's  
criterion.

$$(2|p) \equiv 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv ?$$

Approach  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$  modulo  $p$  in two  
ways.

First.

$$2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2i$$

$$= 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(p-1)}{2} \right)$$

$$= 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)!$$

Secondly, look at product of all even cong. classes in a different way. (mod p).

$$p-1 \equiv -1 \equiv (-1)^1 1$$

$$2 \equiv 2 \equiv (-1)^2 2$$

$$p-3 \equiv -3 \equiv (-1)^3 3$$

$$4 \equiv 4 \equiv (-1)^4 4$$

$$p-5 \equiv -5 \equiv (-1)^5 5$$

$\vdots$

$$S \equiv \dots \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{p-1}{2}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{(p-1)/2} 2i \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^j j$$

$$= \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^j \right] \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)!$$

$$a^b a^c = a^{b+c}$$

$$= (-1)^{\underbrace{1+2+3+\dots+\frac{p-1}{2}}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)!$$

$$1+2+3+\dots+N = \frac{1}{2} N(N+1) \quad \text{Arithmetic Formula.}$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{p-1}{2} \left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right)} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)!$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(p^2-1)} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)!$$

Equating these two expressions for  $\prod_{i=1}^{(p-1)/2} 2i$  we get.

$$2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{(p^2-1)/8}{1}} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \pmod{p}.$$

$$\Rightarrow 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{(p^2-1)/8}{1}} \pmod{p}$$

since  $\gcd(p, \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!) = 1$  and

so  $\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$  is invertible modulo  $p$ .

So remembering Euler's criterion

$$(2|p) \equiv 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{(p^2-1)/8}{1}} \pmod{p}.$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} +1, & (p^2-1)/8 \text{ is even.} \\ -1, & (p^2-1)/8 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} +1, & p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8}. \\ -1, & p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

$$p \bmod 8 \quad p \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \bmod 8$$

$$\text{eg. } p = 8m + 7$$

$$p^2 - 1 = 64m^2 + 112m + 49 - 1$$

$$= 64m^2 + 112m + 48$$

$$\frac{p^2 - 1}{8} = 8m^2 + 14m + 6.$$

which is even.

So

$$(2|p) \equiv \begin{cases} +1, & p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8} \\ -1, & p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

We could continue applying Euler's criterion to seek to understand  $(3|p)$ ,  $(5|p)$  etc.

Recall from yesterday.

~~#~~ If  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{a_i}$  is the prime factorization of  $n$ .

$$(n|p) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{a_i} | p \right).$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^r (q_i | p)^{a_i}, \text{ since } (\cdot | p) \text{ is multiplicative.}$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ a_i \text{ odd}}}^r (q_i | p)$$

We see here that to understand  $(n|p)$  we just need to understand  $(q|p)$  for pairs of primes  $q, p$ .

Let's investigate  $(q|p)$

From our plotting we see very systematic behaviour of the agreement/disagreement of  $(p|q)$  and  $(q|p)$ , alternating behaviour across the odd integers. i.e. pattern based on prime modulo 4.

These plots reveal the "Law of Quadratic Reciprocity" which is

$$(p|q) = \begin{cases} -(q|p), & p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ (q|p), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$




Remember any odd prime  $p$   
satisfies  $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$

A proof based on Euler's  
criterion is given in the notes  
but many other proofs exist.

Main application of L.Q.R.

is to an algorithm for calculating  
 $(n/p)$ .  $(p/q) = (-1)^{(p/q)} \text{ on } p, q \text{ mod } 4$   
L.Q.R. FLIP



Eg. Let's determine residue status  
of 219 modulo 383 (a prime).  
ie.  $(219/383)$

$$= (3 \cdot 73 \mid 383)$$

$$= (3 \mid 383) (73 \mid 383), \text{ by factoring}$$

$$= (- (383 \mid 3)) (383 \mid 73), \text{ by L.Q.R}$$

$$= (- (\underbrace{2 \mid 3}) (18 \mid 73))$$

$$= (18 \mid 73), \text{ since } (2 \mid 3) = -1 \text{ as } 2 \text{ is the non-residue modulo } 3$$

$$= (2 \mid 73) (\underbrace{3 \mid 73}), \text{ since } 18 = 2 \cdot 3^2$$

$$= (2 \mid 73)$$

$$= +1, \text{ by } (2 \mid p) \text{ result and } 73 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

$$\text{So } (219 \mid 383) = +1.$$

So 219 is a Quad.  
residue modulo 383

A second example.

$461 \bmod 773$ . A residue  
or not?  $\nwarrow$  a prime

$$(461 | 773)$$

$$= (773 | 461), \quad \text{by L.Q.R.}$$

$$= (312 | 461)$$

$$\underline{461 \equiv 1 \bmod 4}$$

, by reduction since

$$773 \equiv 312 \bmod 461$$

$$= (2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 13 | 461)$$

$$= (2 | 461) (3 | 461) (13 | 461)$$

$$= (-1) (3 | 461) (13 | 461)$$

, by  $(2/p)$  result and  
 $461 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

$$= (-1)(461/3)(461/13)$$

, by L.Q.R.

$$= - (2/3)(6/13)$$

, by reduction mod 3  
and mod 13

$$= (6/13), \text{ since } 2 \text{ is a non-residue mod } 3$$

$$= (2/13)(3/13)$$

$$= - (13/3), \text{ by } (2/p) \text{ result and L.Q.R.}$$

$$= - (1/3), \text{ by reduction}$$

$$= -1$$

$$\text{So } (461/773) = -1$$

so 461 is a non-residue  
modulo 773