

$$\forall n \geq 1, 2^n \leq \frac{(2n)!}{n!^2}.$$

$n=1$

$$2^1 = 2 \leq \frac{2!}{1!^2} = 2$$

$$2^1 = 2 \leq \frac{(2)!}{1!^2} = 2$$

Assume

$$2^k \leq \frac{(2k)!}{k! k!}$$

Trying for the upper bound.

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k \leq 2 \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{k! k!} \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)! (k+1)!}$$

, by assumption.

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+1)}{(k+1)(k+1)} \frac{(2k)!}{k! k!}$$

$$= \frac{(2k+2)(k+1)(2k)!}{(k+1)! (k+1)!}$$



$$\frac{(2k+2)(2k+1)(2k)!}{(k+1)! (k+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!^2}$$

So we can conclude.

$$2^{k+1} \leq \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!, (k+1)!}$$

Def 2.1 Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We say " $b$  divides  $a$ " iff  $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$a = b \cdot c.$$

Notation:  $b | a$ .

or can write  $b \nmid a$  to mean  $\nexists$  such a complementary factor  $c$ .

e.g.  $2 | 10 \quad 10 = 2 \cdot 5$

$4 | 12 \quad 12 = 4 \cdot 3$       *no integer will work*

and  $3 \nmid 10$        $10 = 3 \cdot \underline{\quad}$       *here*

$a | b$  is a binary relation on the integers.

Theorem 2.1 Basic properties of divisibility.

1.  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a | a$ , ie. divisibility is reflexive

Proof       $a = a \underline{1}$ .

2. Transitivity.

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(a|b \text{ and } b|c)$   
then  $a|c$ .

Proof Assume  $a|b$  and  $b|c$ .

$$\Rightarrow \exists \underbrace{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}}_{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad b = \beta a, \quad c = \gamma b.$$
$$c = \gamma b = \gamma(\beta a)$$

$$\Rightarrow c = a \underbrace{\gamma \beta}_{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{clearly } \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
$$\Rightarrow a|c.$$

3. Div. of linear combinations.

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(a|b \text{ and } a|c)$   
then  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a|nb + mc$

Proof Assume  $a|b$  and  $a|c$ .

$$\Rightarrow \exists \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \quad b = \beta a, \quad c = \gamma a$$

$$\Rightarrow nb + mc = a \underbrace{(n\beta + m\gamma)}_{( ) \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{and } ( ) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow a|nb + mc$$

Q4.  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad 1|a$ . Proof  $a = \underline{a} \cdot 1$ .

Q5  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a|0$ . Proof  $0 = \underline{0} \cdot a$

Q6. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$   $0|a \Rightarrow a=0$

Proof Assume  $0|a$ , i.e.  $a = \dots \cdot 0 = 0$

7. If  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$   $c \neq 0$

$$a|b \Leftrightarrow ac|bc$$

Proof

$$a|b$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \beta \quad b = \beta a.$$

$$\text{(circle)} \quad \Leftrightarrow \exists \beta \quad bc = \beta ac., \text{ since } c \neq 0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ac|bc.$$

---

### 2.3 Common divisors.

Def 2.3  $x$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  means  $x|a$  &  $x|b$ .

Def 2.4  $\gcd(a, b)$  is the greatest common divisor.

e.g.  $\gcd(2, 4) = 2$

$$\gcd(30, 42) = 6$$

Def 2.5 The pair  $(a, b)$  is called a co-prime pair iff  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

e.g.  $(10, 21)$  are co-prime

There is an efficient algorithm, Euclidean Algorithm, for calculating  $\gcd(a, b)$

Built from the process of integer division with remainder.

e.g. divide 20 by 6.

"20 divided by 6 goes in 3 times with remainder 2"

Theorem 2.2 formalises this.

For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$

there exists a unique pair  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = qb + r, \quad 0 \leq r < |b|$$

Proof Read in your own time.

Theorem 2.3 If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero.

and if  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  then  $\exists n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$d = ma + nb.$$

and any common divisor of  $a, b$ , divides  $d$ .

Proof Consider set  $S$ .

$$S = \{ \alpha a + \beta b : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha a + \beta b > 0 \}$$

$S$  is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$

So by the well-ordered axiom it has a smallest element, called

$$d = ma + nb > 0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Claim  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ .

Proof (by contradiction)

Let's assume  $d \nmid a$ . and consider dividing  $a$  by  $d$  with remainder

$$a = qd + r, \quad 0 < r < d$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r &= a - qd \\ &= a - q(ma + nb) \\ &= (1-qm)a - qnb. > 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $r \in S$  and smaller than  $d$ , ie  $r < d$ .

This is a contradiction that comes from the assumption  $d \nmid a$ .

So therefore  $d \mid a$ . And similarly  $d \mid b$ .

So  $d$  is a common divisor of  $a, b$ .





The Extended Euclidean algorithm works backwards through the integer divisions to find Bezout's identity, i.e. the expression for  $\gcd(a, b)$  as a lin. comb. of  $a, b$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Q9. } 15 &= 90 - 75 \\ &= 90 - (525 - 5 \times 90) \\ &= 6 \times 90 - 525 \end{aligned}$$

Ex 2.5 Finding a Bezout's identity for  $6 = \gcd(\underline{12378}, \underline{3054})$

$$\begin{aligned} 6 &= 24 - 18 \\ &= 24 - (138 - 5 \times 24) \\ &= 6 \times 24 - 138 \\ &= 6 \times (162 - 138) - 138 \\ &= 6 \times 162 - 7 \times 138 \\ &= 6 \times 162 - 7(3054 - 18 \times 162) \\ &= -7 \times 3054 + 132 \times 162. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -7 \times 3054 + B_2(12378 - 4 \times 3054) \\
 &= 132 \times \cancel{12378} - 535 \times \cancel{3054}
 \end{aligned}$$

