Back-end Peer Review

***peer review for assessment 1***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Student\_1 | Max Mulder |
| Student\_2 | Rick Groot |
| Date | 16-03 |
| Teacher | [**Randy Huls**](https://app.slack.com/team/UST0XSNNL) |

**Checklist**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source code is available on GitHub | ja |
| Project is documented and has a readme.md | ja |
| Cites the sources used; code comments or docs | ja |

**Project install**

Git clone the repository of your fellow student and install it follow the installation guidelines from the readme. Can you get it up and running?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Yes, the project works without technical problems! | ja |
| Kinda, the project runs but gives errors. |  |
| No, because reasons; |  |

**1. Application**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Are node\_modules included in the repository? | no |
| Is the package.json correct? Any unnecessary dependencies? | Ja |
| Does the repository and package.json have a license? | Ja |
| Are dependencies and devdependencies split? | Ja |
| Is there a .env file or .env\_sample? | no |

**Node, NPM & Express**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ☹️  The project has a package.json and packages from NPM are installed; works without | ➔ | 😁  A node server runs with express, and serves up static files | ➔ | 🤓  A complex node server runs with express, middleware is used |

**Templating & routing**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ☹️  You can navigate to routes and the server responds with a resource | ➔ | 😁  A templating engine is used to render, the projects has partials and includes | ➔ | 🤓  Advanced express routing, middleware use is complex and query parameters are used |

**User input**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ☹️  The user can fill in a input with post and the server receives the data | ➔ | 😁  The user can fill in a decent form with multiple input types using post and delete | ➔ | 🤓  The data the server receives is displayed on the client with the templating engine |

**Database & session**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ☹️  A connection is made to the database and the user input is stored in the database | ➔ | 😁  Data is stored in the database in the correct type and send back to the client, conditionally displayed with a session | ➔ | 🤓  Users have different roles on the website based on data and session; the application manages state |

**Comments**

|  |
| --- |
| De backend is goed uitgewerkt, visueel alleen nog niet top |

**2. Understanding**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ☹️  The student can explain some parts of their code, how some parts works together, and tech stack | ➔ | 😁  The student can explain every part of their code, how everything works together | ➔ | 🤓  A nerdy conversation can be held; the student can make live changes, explain why software is used instead of alternatives |

**Comments**

|  |
| --- |
| Snapt het goed. |

**3. Quality**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Does the readme.md cover what the project does? (concept) | no |
| Does the readme.md include how to install the project? (install) | no |
| Is it clear how the database is structured? | ja |

## Code quality

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ☹️  There is a distinction between ES5 versus ES6, there are code comments throughout the code | ➔ | 😁  The code is readable and consistent, uses modern JavaScript syntax | ➔ | 🤓  Code quality is good and enforced, the project is structured logically |

## Documentation

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ☹️  The code, project, and process are partially documented | ➔ | 😁  The docs cover the process and what the project is and does | ➔ | 🤓  Docs are more than useful and professional |

**Comments**

|  |
| --- |
| In de readme beter aangeven wat je site doet. |