

Assignment 2

2.1.1 We want to show that $\text{Kar}(\text{prime Vec}) \cong \text{Vec}$. It suffices to find a functor, $F: \text{Kar}(\text{prime Vec}) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ that is full, faithful and essentially surjective on objects by Proposition 1.3.18.

Let us first understand the category $\text{Kar}(\text{prime Vec})$. We have $\text{ob}(\text{Kar}(\text{prime Vec})) = \{(V \in \text{Ob}(\text{prime Vec}), p: V \rightarrow V) \mid p^2 = p\}$ and $\text{Kar}(\text{prime Vec})(((V, p) \rightarrow (W, q))) = \{f \in \text{primeVec}(V \rightarrow W) \mid f \circ p = f = q \circ f\}$. If p is an idempotent on a vector space V , then $V \cong pV \oplus (1-p)V$ as $x \in V$, we can write $x = px + (1-p)x$ and $pV \cap (1-p)V = \{0\}$ since $p(1-p) = 0$. Besides, $f \circ p = q \circ f$ implies f is zero on $(1-p)V$ and its image lies entirely in qW since $qW = q^2W \oplus q(1-q)W$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q \circ f(pV \oplus (1-p)V) && \text{by construction} \\ &= f \circ p(pV \oplus (1-p)V) && \text{by assumption} \\ &= f(pV). \end{aligned}$$

So, f is really a map from pV to qW .

Next, we define a functor, $F: \text{Kar}(\text{prime Vec}) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ on objects wise and $F: \text{Kar}(\text{prime Vec})(((V, p) \rightarrow (W, q))) \rightarrow \text{Vec}(pV \rightarrow qW)$ on morphism wise as explained in the previous paragraph.

It is functorial by construction. To see this, if we have $((V, p) \xrightarrow{f} (W, q)) \xrightarrow{g} ((X, r))$, then $F(g \circ f) = g \circ f = F(g) \circ F(f)$ and for $((V, p) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{(V, p)}} (V, p))$, we get $F(\text{Id}_{(V, p)}) = (pV \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{pV}} pV) = (F(V, p) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{F(V, p)}} F(V, p)) = \text{Id}_{F(V, p)}$.

Furthermore, it is full because given $f \in \text{Vec}(F(V, p), F(W, q))$, we get $f: pV \rightarrow qW$. Since p and q are idempotents, f satisfies $f = f \circ p = q \circ f$ as $f \circ p(pV) = f(pV) = qW$ and $q \circ f(pV) = qf(pV) = qqW = qW$ and $f \in \text{primeVec}(V, W)$ as $p: V \rightarrow V$ and $q: W \rightarrow W$.

To see it is faithful, suppose $f_1 = f_2$ in $\text{Vec}(F(V, p), F(W, q))$.

But, by fullness, $f_1, f_2 : (V_p) \rightarrow (W_q)$ and $f_1 = f_2$ by assumption.

Finally, it is essentially surjective on objects! If $V \in \text{Vec}$ has prime dimension, then we have $F(V, I_V) = I_V V = V$ which is a perfectly fine element in $\text{ob Kar}(\text{prime Vec})$ since $I_V I_V = I_V$ and $I_V : V \rightarrow V$ with $V \in \text{prime Vec}$. If $O \in \text{Vec}$ has zero dimension, pick any $W \in \text{prime Vec}$ and $O_w : W \rightarrow O$, the unique map sending W to zero vector space, we get $F(W, O_w) = O_w W = O$. If $V \in \text{Vec}$ has dimension n which is not prime and is not zero, we can pick $W \in \text{prime Vec}$ with prime dimension $m > n$ and p , the projection map from m -dimensional vector space to n -dimensional vector space which clearly satisfies $p^2 = p$ such that $F(W, p) = p W = W^n \cong V$.

Assignment 2

Kar(\mathcal{C})

2. 1.2 We want to construct an equivalence $l_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})$.
 By Proposition 1.3.18, it suffices to find a functor that is full, faithful and essentially surjective on objects.

Let us first understand the category $\text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}))$.
 We have $\text{ob}(\text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}))) = \{(X, p) \in \text{ob}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})) \mid f^2 = f\}$ and $\text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}))((X, p), (X', p')) = \{\underline{\Psi} \in \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})((X, p) \rightarrow (X', p')) \mid \underline{\Psi}f = \underline{\Psi} = f'\underline{\Psi}\}$

Now, we define $l_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})$
 $((X, p), f) \mapsto (X, f)$

on object wise and $l_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})$ on
 $\underline{\Psi} \mapsto \underline{\Psi}$ on object

morphism wise. We see that it is well-defined, since
 $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $f \in \mathcal{C}(X \rightarrow X)$, and $f^2 = f$ as
 $f \in \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})(X, p) \rightarrow (X, p)$. It is also well-defined on morphism
 since $\underline{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}(X \rightarrow X')$ and $\underline{\Psi}f = \underline{\Psi} = f'\underline{\Psi}$ by the definition of $\underline{\Psi}$.

It is functorial by construction. To see this, if we have
 $((X, p), f) \xrightarrow{\underline{\Psi}} ((X', q), f') \xrightarrow{\underline{\Phi}} ((X'', q''), f'')$, then $l_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{\Phi} \circ \underline{\Psi}) = \underline{\Phi} \circ l_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{\Psi})$
 $= l_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{\Phi})$

For $((X, p), f) \xrightarrow{l_{\mathcal{C}}((X, p), f)} ((X, p), f)$, then $l_{\mathcal{C}}(l_{\mathcal{C}}((X, p), f))$
 $= ((X, f)) \xrightarrow{l_{\mathcal{C}}(X, f)} (X, f)$
 $= l_{\mathcal{C}}((X, p), f) \xrightarrow{l_{\mathcal{C}}((X, p), f)} l_{\mathcal{C}}((X, p), f)$
 $= l_{\mathcal{C}}((X, p), f)$

Next, we see that it is full because given $((X, p), f) \xrightarrow{((X, p), f)} ((X', p'), f')$
 $\in \text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}))$, $\underline{\Psi} \in \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})(X, f) \rightarrow (X', f')$, then $l_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{\Psi}) = \underline{\Psi}$ by
 construction since we can get $\underline{\Psi} \in \text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}))((X, p), f) \rightarrow ((X', p'), f')$ because $\underline{\Psi}p = \underline{\Psi}f_p = \underline{\Psi}f = \underline{\Psi} = f'\underline{\Psi} = p'$, $\underline{\Psi} = f'\underline{\Psi} = p'$, $\underline{\Psi} = p'\underline{\Psi}$
 as $\underline{\Psi}f = f'\underline{\Psi} = \underline{\Psi}$, $f_p = p'f = f$, and $p'f = f$, $p' = f'$.

To see it is faithful, consider $\underline{\Psi}_1 = \underline{\Psi}_2$ in $\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})(X, f) \rightarrow (X', f')$.
 But, by fullness, $\underline{\Psi}_1, \underline{\Psi}_2$ are in $\text{Kar}(\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}))((X, p), f) \rightarrow (X', p'), f')$
 and $\underline{\Psi}_1 = \underline{\Psi}_2$ by assumption.

Finally, it is essentially surjective on object. Given

$(X, f) \in \text{Kar}(\mathcal{L})$, we can find $((X, f), \tilde{f})$ such that $\text{L}_{\mathcal{L}}((X, f), \tilde{f}) = (X, f)$ and $((X, f), \tilde{f})$ is a perfectly fine element in $\text{ob Kar Kar}(\mathcal{L})$ since $(X, f) \in \text{Kar}(\mathcal{L})$, $f \in \mathcal{L}(X \rightarrow X)$, $\tilde{f} = f$, and so $f : (X, f) \rightarrow (X, f)$.

Assignment 2

2.1.3 We want to show there is a fully faithful functor,
 $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})$.
 $X \mapsto (X, I_X)$

First, we see that F is well-defined on object wise since $X \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$, $I_X: X \rightarrow X$, and $I_X I_X = I_X$. We also define, for any $p: X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{C} , $F(p) = p: (X, I_X) \rightarrow (X', I_{X'})$, which is well-defined since $p \in \mathcal{C}(X, X')$ and $p I_X = p = I_{X'} p$, $X, X' \in \mathcal{C}$ and

Next, F is full because given $p: (X, I_X) \rightarrow (X', I_{X'})$, we get $F(p) = p$. The reason is such p must satisfy the condition being a morphism in \mathcal{C} , that is, $p \in \mathcal{C}(X, X')$.

Finally, F is faithful because given $p_1 = p_2$ in $\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})(^((X, I_X) \rightarrow (X', I_{X'})))$, we automatically get $p_1 = p_2$ in $\mathcal{C}(X \rightarrow X')$ by assumption.

2.1.4 Given a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow D$, there is a functor $\text{Kar}(F): \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Kar}(D)$ given by $\text{Kar}(F)(X, p) = (F(X), F(p))$
 $\text{Kar}(F)(f: (X, p) \rightarrow (X', p')) = F(f)$.
 We see it is well-defined on object wise because $F(X) \in \text{ob } D$, $F(p): F(X) \rightarrow F(X')$ as $p: X \rightarrow X'$ and F is a functor, as well as $(F(p))^2 = F(p)F(p)$
 $= F(p^2)$ as F is a functor,
 $= F(p)$, as p is an idempotent,
 so $F(p)$ is an idempotent. Moreover, we see that $F(f): (F(X), F(p)) \rightarrow (F(X'), F(p'))$ since F is a functor, $F(f) \in D(F(X) \rightarrow F(X'))$, and
 $F(f)F(p) = F(f)p$ as F is a functor,
 $= F(f)$ by assumption,
 $= F(p'f)$ by assumption,
 $= F(p')F(f)$ as F is a functor,
 as desired.

Now, given a functor $F: \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Kar}(D)$, we want to show that it is determined up to natural isomorphism by its restriction $F|_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Kar}(D)$, by proving $F \cong (l_D \circ \text{Kar}(F|_{\mathcal{C}}))$.

Note that \mathcal{C} is a full subcategory of $\text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})$ by $X \mapsto (X, \text{id}_X)$ as it is deemed as an embedding, by Corollary 1.3.19.

By Lemma 1.3.11, it suffices to find an isomorphism $\alpha_{(X, p)}: F(X, p) \rightarrow (l_D \circ \text{Kar}(F|_{\mathcal{C}}))(X, p)$ for all $(X, p) \in \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C})$ and show the naturality axiom holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note that } (l_D \circ \text{Kar}(F|_{\mathcal{C}}))(X, p) &= l_D(F|_{\mathcal{C}}(X), F|_{\mathcal{C}}(p)) \\ &= l_D(F(X, \text{id}_X), F(p)) \\ &= (\pi_1(F(X, \text{id}_X)), F(p)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_1(F(X, \text{id}_X))$ is the underlying object of $F(X, \text{id}_X)$ and $F|_{\mathcal{C}}(p) = F(p)$ because $p: X \rightarrow X$ defines $p: (X, \text{id}_X) \rightarrow (X, \text{id}_X)$ as $p \text{id}_X = \text{id}_X p = p$.

Assignment 2

2.1.4

We claim that $d_{(x,p)}^{-1} := F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p))$. This is because $F(p) : F(X, I_x) \rightarrow F(X, p)$, that is, $F(p) : \pi_1(F(X, I_x)) \rightarrow \pi_1(F(X, p))$. Similarly, $d_{(x,p)} := F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x))$ as $F(p) : \pi_1(F(X, p)) \rightarrow \pi_1(F(X, I_x))$. Note that, on morphism wise, $F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p)) = F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f \circ f} (X, p))$ $= F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p))$ and $F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x))$ $= F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f \circ f} (X, I_x)) = F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x))$ which implies $d_{(x,p)}^{-1}$ and $d_{(x,p)}$ are indeed morphisms in $\text{Kar}(D)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } d_{(x,p)}^{-1} \circ d_{(x,p)} &= F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p)) \circ F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x)) \\ &= F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x)) \text{ as } F \text{ is a functor,} \\ &= F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f \circ f} (X, I_x)) \\ &= \text{id}_{F(X, I_x)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } d_{(x,p)} \circ d_{(x,p)}^{-1} &= F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x)) \circ F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p)) \\ &= F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p)) \\ &= F((X, p) \xrightarrow{f \circ f} (X, p)) \\ &= \text{id}_{F(X, p)} \end{aligned}$$

which show that they are inverses to each other.

To see that it satisfies the naturality axiom, consider a morphism $g : (X, p) \rightarrow (Y, q)$ and the commutativity square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi_1 F(X, I_x), F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, I_y))) & \xrightarrow{\text{LD} \text{ Kar}(F(\text{le})) (g) := F(g)} & (F(Y, I_y), F((Y, I_y) \xrightarrow{g} (Y, I_y))) \\ F((X, I_y) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p)) \downarrow & & \downarrow F((Y, I_y) \xrightarrow{g} (Y, q)) \\ F(X, p) & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(Y, q) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{commutes since } F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{f} (X, p) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, q)) \\ &= F((X, I_x) \xrightarrow{g} (Y, I_y) \xrightarrow{g} (Y, q)) \end{aligned}$$

which is true by Karoubi envelope relation, that is, $g \circ f = f \circ g = g$ and $g \in \text{le}(X, Y)$ implies $g \in \text{Kar}(\text{le})(F(X, I_x) \rightarrow F(Y, I_y))$ as $g \circ I_x = I_y \circ g = g$.

NO:

DATE

Assignment 2

2.2.1 Given a functor $F: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, the limit of $\lim_{\leftarrow} F$ is a terminal object in the category of cones over F . Explicitly, a cone consists of

- (a) an object $X \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$
- (b) for each $j \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{T}$, a map $f_j: X \rightarrow F(j)$,
- (c) such that for any $g: j \rightarrow j'$, $F(g) \circ f_j = f_{j'}$.

We claim that the category of cones is the comma category $(\mathcal{T} \downarrow F)$, where we interpret $\mathcal{T} \in [\mathcal{C}, [\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}]]$ and $F \in [\mathcal{I}, [\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}]]$, so that it makes sense in the comma category.

To do this, we define $\widetilde{F}(\cdot) := F$ and $\widetilde{F}(\cdot^{\text{id}}) := \text{id}_F$. We also define, for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C) := (j \mapsto C)$ and for all $g \in \mathcal{T}(j, j')$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C)(g) := (g: j \rightarrow j' \mapsto \text{id}_C)$.

The objects are pairs $(C \in \mathcal{C}, f: \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C) \rightarrow F)$. Then, a map $(C, f) \rightarrow (C', f')$ in $\mathcal{T} \downarrow F$ is a map $g: C \rightarrow C'$ in \mathcal{C} making the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(g)} & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C') \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow f' \\ F & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Since f is a natural transformation, then for every $g: j \rightarrow j'$ in \mathcal{T} , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C = \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C)(j) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C)(g) = \text{id}_C} & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(C)(j') = C \\ f_j \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{j'} \\ F(j) & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(j') \end{array}$$

commutes. Then, this corresponds to the components in the cone since for any $g: j \rightarrow j'$, $F(g) \circ f_j = f_{j'}$ for all $j \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{T}$ and an $X \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$, a map $f_j: X \rightarrow F(j)$.

To make $\tilde{J}(q)$ a natural transformation, we define for all $j \in J$, $\tilde{J}(q)_j = q$. Then, for every map $g: j \rightarrow j'$ in J , the square

$$c = \tilde{J}(c)(j) \xrightarrow{\tilde{J}(c)(q) = \text{id}_c} \tilde{J}(c)(j') = c$$

$$\tilde{J}(q)_j = q \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \tilde{J}(q)_{j'} = q$$

$$c' = \tilde{J}(c')(j) \xrightarrow{\tilde{J}(c')(q) = \text{id}_{c'}} \tilde{J}(c')(j') = c'$$

commutes because $q \circ \text{id}_c = q = \text{id}_{c'} \circ q$.

So, the first triangle gives us for all $j \in J$ and a $g: j \rightarrow j'$

$$c = \tilde{J}(c)(j) \xrightarrow{\tilde{J}(q)_j = q} \tilde{J}(c')(j) = c'$$

$$f_j \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow f_{j'}$$

$$F(j) \quad \quad \quad F(j')$$

the relation $q \circ f_{j'} = f_j$ which is the condition for a morphism $(c, (f_j)) \rightarrow (c', (f_{j'}))$ in cone.

In short, all the condition in comma category $(J \downarrow F)$ corresponds to the criteria satisfied by a cone.

Assignment 2.

2.3.1 We want to prove the Yoneda lemma.

The Yoneda lemma states if \mathcal{A} is a locally small category, then $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X) \cong X(A)$ naturally in $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$.

The idea is to define a bijection between the sets $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X)$ and $X(A)$ for all A and X . Then, we need to show that the bijection is natural in A and X .

First, pick $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$. We then define functions

$$[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X) \xleftrightarrow{(\hat{\cdot})} X(A)$$

and show that they are mutually inverse.

Given $f: H_A \rightarrow X$, we define $\hat{f} \in X(A)$ by $\hat{f} := f_A(I_A)$. Let $\xi \in X(A)$. We have to define a natural transformation $g^\xi: H_A \rightarrow X$ such that for each $C \in \mathcal{A}$, we get $g_C^\xi: H_A(C) = \mathcal{A}(C, A) \rightarrow X(C)$ where the family $g^\xi = (g_C^\xi)_{C \in \mathcal{A}}$ satisfies naturality.

Pick $C \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(C, A)$, define $g_C^\xi(\varphi) := (X(\varphi))(\xi) \in X(C)$ since $X(\varphi)$ is a map from $X(A) \rightarrow X(C)$. To see the naturality holds, consider any map $\theta: C_2 \rightarrow C_1$ in \mathcal{A} , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(C_1, A) & \xrightarrow{H_A(\theta)} & \mathcal{A}(C_2, A) \\ \varphi \downarrow g_{C_1}^\xi & & \downarrow g_{C_2}^\xi \\ X(C_1) & \xrightarrow{X(\theta)} & X(C_2) \end{array}$$

$$(X(\varphi))(\xi) \xrightarrow{X(\theta)} (X(\varphi \circ \theta))(\xi) = (X(\theta \circ \varphi))(\xi) = X(\theta)(X(\varphi)(\xi))$$

commutes since $X(\varphi \circ \theta)(\xi) = (X(\theta \circ \varphi))(\xi) = X(\theta)(X(\varphi)(\xi))$ by the functoriality.

of contravariant functors X .

Now, given $\tilde{z} \in X(A)$, we have to show $\tilde{z} = z$.
 Indeed, $\tilde{z} \mapsto \tilde{z} = g^{\tilde{z}} \mapsto g^{\tilde{z}} = g_A^{\tilde{z}}(\mathbb{I}_A) = X(\mathbb{I}_A)(\tilde{z})$
 $= \mathbb{I}_{X(A)}(z)$ by functionality
 $= z$ since $\tilde{z} \in X(A)$.

Next, given any $f: H_A \rightarrow X$, we have to show that $\tilde{f} = f$. It suffices to show that $(\tilde{f})_c = f_c$ for all $c \in A$ because two natural transformations are equal if and only if all their components are equal. But, it all boils down to show that $(\tilde{f})_c(\varphi) = f_c(\varphi)$ for every $c \in A$ and $\varphi: C \rightarrow A$ in A since two functions from $H_A(C) = A(C, A)$ to $X(c)$ are equal if and only if they take same values at every element of the domain.

By definition, $(\tilde{f})_c(\varphi) = g_c^{\tilde{f}}(\varphi) = X(\varphi)(\tilde{f}) = X(\varphi)(f_A(\mathbb{I}_A))$. To see $X(\varphi)(f_A(\mathbb{I}_A)) = f_c(\varphi)$, the only tool at our disposal is the naturality of f . Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A(A, A) & \xrightarrow{H_A(\varphi) = - \circ \varphi} & A(C, A) \\
 \downarrow f_A \quad \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow f_c \quad \downarrow \varphi = \varphi \\
 X(A) & \xrightarrow{X(\varphi)} & X(C) \\
 f_A(\mathbb{I}_A) & \xrightarrow{X(\varphi)(f_A(\mathbb{I}_A)) = f_c(\varphi)} &
 \end{array}$$

and it gives $X(\varphi)(f_A(\mathbb{I}_A)) = f_c(\varphi)$, as desired.

Finally, we want to show that the bijection is natural in A and X . By Exercise 1.3.29, it is natural in the pair (A, X) if and only if it is natural in A for each fixed X and natural in X for each fixed A . By Lemma 1.3.11, it is enough to check the naturality of (\cdot) .

Assignment 2

2.3.1 Naturality in A states that for each $X \in [\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$ and $\varphi: C \rightarrow A$ in \mathbb{A} , the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X) & \xrightarrow{- \circ H_{\varphi}} & [\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_C, X) \\ \downarrow f \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow f \circ H_{\varphi} \downarrow \varphi \\ X(A) & \xrightarrow[X]{X(\varphi)} & X(C) \end{array}$$

$$f_A(I_A) \xrightarrow{} X(\varphi)(f_A(I_A)) = (f \circ H_{\varphi})_C(I_C)$$

has to commute which is true because

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ H_{\varphi})_C(I_C) &= f_C(H_{\varphi}(I_C)) \quad \text{by definition of composition in } [\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}], \\ &= f_C(\varphi \circ I_C) \quad \text{by definition of } H_{\varphi}, \\ &= f_C(\varphi) \\ &= X(\varphi)(f) \quad \text{by naturality of } f. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, naturality in X states that for each $A \in \mathbb{A}$ and map $\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{x} \text{Set}$ in $[\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X) & \xrightarrow{\theta \circ -} & [\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X') \\ \downarrow f \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta \circ f \downarrow \theta \\ X(A) & \xrightarrow{\theta_A} & X'(A) \end{array}$$

$$f_A(I_A) \xrightarrow{} \theta_A(\alpha_A(I_A)) = (\theta \circ f)_A(I_A)$$

has to commute which is simply true as $(\theta \circ f)_A = \theta_A \circ f_A$ by definition of composition in $[\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$, so the square does commute.

In addition, we can check naturality of (\sim) in \mathcal{A} . For each $X \in [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$ and $\varphi: C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{A} , we need to show the square below commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X) & \xrightarrow{- \circ H_{\varphi}} & [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_C, X) \\ \uparrow \begin{matrix} \tilde{\xi} = g^{\tilde{\xi}} \\ (\sim) \end{matrix} & & \uparrow \begin{matrix} \tilde{\xi} = g^{\tilde{\xi}} \\ (\sim) \\ g^{\tilde{\xi}} \circ H_{\varphi} \\ g_{X(\varphi)} \end{matrix} \\ X(A) & \xrightarrow{X \cdot \varphi} & X(C) \\ \uparrow \begin{matrix} \tilde{\xi} \\ - \end{matrix} & & \uparrow \begin{matrix} X(\varphi) \\ \tilde{\xi} \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

To see the two natural transformation agree, use the same argument before, for all $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $f: B \rightarrow C$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{\tilde{\xi}} \circ H_{\varphi})_B(f) &= (g_B^{\tilde{\xi}})(H_{\varphi})_B(f) && \text{by definition of composition in } [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}] \\ &= g_B^{\tilde{\xi}}(\varphi \circ f) && \text{by definition of } H_{\varphi} \\ &= X(\varphi \circ f)(\tilde{\xi}) && \text{by definition} \\ &= X(f) \circ X(\varphi)(\tilde{\xi}) && \text{since } X \text{ is a presheaf} \\ &= g_B^{X(\varphi)(\tilde{\xi})}(f) && \text{by definition of } g_B^{\tilde{\xi}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, naturality in X states that for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and map $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\Theta} \text{Set}$ in $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X) & \xrightarrow{\Theta \circ -} & [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](H_A, X') \\ \uparrow \begin{matrix} \tilde{\xi} = g^{\tilde{\xi}} \\ (\sim) \end{matrix} & & \uparrow \begin{matrix} \tilde{\xi} = g^{\tilde{\xi}} \\ (\sim) \\ \Theta \circ g^{\tilde{\xi}} \\ g_{\Theta(\tilde{\xi})} \end{matrix} \\ X(A) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_A} & X'(A) \\ \uparrow \begin{matrix} \tilde{\xi} \\ - \end{matrix} & & \uparrow \Theta_A(\tilde{\xi}) \end{array}$$

commutes. To see $\Theta \circ g^{\tilde{\xi}} = g^{\Theta_A(\tilde{\xi})}$, take any $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $f: B \rightarrow A$, Note that the following square commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(B) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_B} & X'(B) \\ X(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow X'(f) \\ X(A) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_A} & X'(A) \end{array}$$

$$(g^{\tilde{\xi}})_B(f) = \Theta_B \circ g_B^{\tilde{\xi}}(f) \quad \text{by definition}$$

$$= \Theta_B \circ X(f)(\tilde{\xi}) \quad \text{by definition}$$

$$= X'(f) \Theta_A(\tilde{\xi}) \quad \text{since } \Theta \text{ is natural,}$$

$$= g_{\Theta_A(\tilde{\xi})}(f).$$

Assignment 2

2.3.2 We want to show $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D^{\text{op}})$.

Suppose $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ and $f: C' \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{C} .
 Then, if $F \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D)$, then $F(f): F(C) \rightarrow F(C')$ in D .
 On the other hand, if $G \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D^{\text{op}})$, then $G(f): G(C') \rightarrow G(C)$ in D^{op} and so $G(f): G(C) \rightarrow G(C')$ in D . We see they behave the same.

Now, we define $\Phi: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D^{\text{op}})$

$$F \mapsto \bar{F}$$

and $\Psi: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D)$.

$$\bar{F} \mapsto F$$

If they are well-defined, clearly they are inverses of each other since $\Phi(\Psi)(\bar{F}) = \Phi(\bar{F}) = \bar{F} = \mathbb{I}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D^{\text{op}})}$ and $\Psi(\Phi)(F) = \Psi(F) = F = \mathbb{I}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D)}$.

First, we easily see that both Φ and Ψ are well-defined on object as $\text{ob}(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}) = \text{ob}(\mathcal{B})$ for any category \mathcal{B} by definition. To see that if $F \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D)$, then $\bar{F} \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D^{\text{op}})$, given $f: C' \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{C} , we get $F(f): F(C) \rightarrow F(C')$ in D , by construction, which is a functor in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D^{\text{op}})$ since, by definition, $D^{\text{op}}(F(C), F(C')) = D(F(C'), F(C))$. Similarly, given $f: C' \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{C} , $\bar{F}(f): \bar{F}(C) \rightarrow \bar{F}(C')$ which corresponds to a functor in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D)$. The functoriality follows from the construction itself.

2.3.2 We want to prove that $\text{Set}^{\text{op}} \not\cong \text{Set}$. Suppose, on the contrary, Set^{op} is equivalent to Set . Then, the corresponding functor is full, faithful and essentially surjective on objects, by $F: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\text{op}}$ Proposition 1.3.18. Proposition 1.3.18.

Let's divert for a moment and look at the lemma below

Lemma: A full, essentially surjective functor takes initial objects to initial objects and takes terminal objects to terminal objects
Proof. and Suppose $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the described functor and $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is initial. Since F is essentially surjective, for any $D \in \mathcal{D}$, we can write $D = F(C')$ for some $C' \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, consider the map $\varphi: F(C) \rightarrow F(C')$ for some C' such that $F(C') \cong D$, for all D . Since F is full, $\varphi = F(g)$ for some $g: C \rightarrow C'$. But, since C is initial, g is unique. If φ' is another map $\varphi': F(C) \rightarrow F(C')$ with $\varphi' = F(g')$ for some $g': C \rightarrow C'$, then $g = g'$ since C is initial. If ϕ is the isomorphism from $F(C)$ to D , then it remains to show $\phi \circ F(g)$ is unique. But, if ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are such isomorphisms, then $\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_2(F(g))) = F(g)$ since $\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_2(F(g)))$ is the unique map from $F(C)$ to $F(C')$. Hence, this implies $\phi_2(F(g)) = \phi_1(F(g))$ as desired.
 By duality, the proof works for the terminal object.

Now, consider $F: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\text{op}}$ where $F(\emptyset) \cong 1$ and $F(1) \cong \emptyset$. If $S \neq \emptyset$, then we have $1 \xrightarrow{\cong} S$ in Set and $F(1) \xrightarrow{F(\iota)} F(S)$ in Set^{op} which is equal to $\emptyset \cong F(1) \xleftarrow{F(\iota^{\text{op}})} F(S)$ in Set . But, this implies $F(S) = \emptyset$ since there is no map $F(S) \rightarrow \emptyset$ in Set unless $F(S) = \emptyset$. Hence, we get $F(S) \cong \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S = \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ which shows that F is not essentially surjective.

Assignment ?

2.3.2 We want to show that $\text{fdVec}^{\text{op}} \cong \text{fdVec}$. It suffices to find a functor that shows the equivalence.

From Example 1.2.12, we have a contravariant functor $(\)^* = \text{Hom}(-, k) : \text{fdVec}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{fdVec}$ where k is the underlying field.

Now, consider fdVec^{op}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (\)^* & \\ \nearrow & & \searrow \\ \text{fdVec}^{\text{op}} & & \text{fdVec} \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & (\)^* & \end{array}$$

Then, $(\)^* \circ (\)^* = (\)^{**} \cong \mathbb{I}_{\text{fdVec}}$ as we show in assignment 1.

But, from the first part, $\text{Func}(\text{fdVec}, \text{fdVec}) = \text{Func}(\text{fdVec}^{\text{op}}, \text{fdVec}^{\text{op}})$. If d is the natural isomorphism from $(\)^{**}$ to $\mathbb{I}_{\text{fdVec}}$, then it is also a natural isomorphism from $(\)^{**}$ to $\mathbb{I}_{\text{fdVec}^{\text{op}}}$. This is because $\text{ob}(\text{fdVec}) = \text{ob}(\text{fdVec}^{\text{op}})$ and the naturality square in fdVec is exactly the same as in fdVec^{op} . For $f: V \rightarrow W$ in fdVec , we get $V^{**} \xrightarrow{f^{**}} W^{**}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{d.f.} & & \downarrow d_W \\ & & \\ & & \mathbb{I}(V) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{I}(W) \end{array}$$

in fdVec , but we get $f^{\text{op}}: W \rightarrow V$ in fdVec^{op} and $(f^{\text{op}})^{**}: W^{**} \rightarrow V^{**}$ in fdVec^{op} which is equal to $(f^{\text{op}})^{**}: V^{**} \rightarrow W^{**}$ in fdVec . Similarly, it works for $\mathbb{I}_{\text{fdVec}^{\text{op}}}$.

Hence, we get $(\)^* \circ (\)^* = (\)^{**} \cong \mathbb{I}_{\text{fdVec}^{\text{op}}}$ as well.

NO:.....

DATE:.....