

Chapter 2: Likelihood-based approach (Part 3)

Back to motivating example (Example 2.2)

- Let t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n be an IID sample from a distribution with density $f_T(t) = f(t; \theta)$.
- Instead of observing t_i , we observe (y_i, δ_i) where

$$y_i = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } \delta_i = 1 \\ c_i & \text{if } \delta_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_i \leq c_i \\ 0 & \text{if } t_i > c_i, \end{cases}$$

where c_i is a known censoring time for unit i .

- Marginal density of (y_i, δ_i) :

$$f(y_i, \delta_i) = \begin{cases} f(y_i; \theta) & \text{if } \delta_i = 1 \\ P(T > c_i; \theta) & \text{if } \delta_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Justification for (1): $\delta_i = 1$ case

- For any measurable set B ,

$$\begin{aligned}P(Y \in B, \delta_i = 1) &= \int P(Y \in B, \delta_i = 1 \mid \mathbf{t}_i) f_T(\mathbf{t}_i) d\mathbf{t}_i \\&= \int I(\mathbf{t}_i \in B) f_T(\mathbf{t}_i) d\mathbf{t}_i \\&= \int_B f_T(\mathbf{t}_i) d\mathbf{t}_i\end{aligned}$$

- By definition, the density f_1 for $(y_i, \delta_i = 1)$ should satisfy

$$P(Y \in B, \delta_i = 1) = \int_B f_1(y) dy$$

- Therefore, since the two terms are equal for any measurable B , we have

$$f_1(y) = f_T(y)$$

for all y almost everywhere.

Justification for (1): $\delta_i = 0$ case

- For any measurable set B , we have

$$P(Y_i \in B, \delta_i = 0) = \begin{cases} P(\delta_i = 0) & \text{if } c_i \in B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Thus, the marginal density of (y_i, δ_i) for $\delta_i = 0$ is equal to the marginal density of $\delta_i = 0$.
- Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\delta_i = 0) &= \int P(\delta_i = 0 \mid \mathbf{t}_i) f_T(\mathbf{t}_i) d\mathbf{t}_i \\ &= \int I(\mathbf{t}_i > c_i) f_T(\mathbf{t}_i) d\mathbf{t}_i \\ &= P(T > c_i). \end{aligned}$$

- If $f_T(t; \theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta t) I(t > 0)$ for $\theta > 0$, then

$$f(y_i, \delta_i) = \begin{cases} \theta \exp(-\theta y_i) & \text{if } \delta_i = 1 \\ \exp(-\theta y_i) & \text{if } \delta_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Observed log-likelihood

$$\ell_{\text{obs}}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \log(\theta) - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

- Observed score function

$$S_{\text{obs}}(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_{\text{obs}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

- The MLE is obtained by solving $S_{\text{obs}}(\theta) = 0$ for θ .

Alternative approach

- **Motivation:** Wish to find the MLE without computing the marginal density $f(y, \delta)$.
- Can we directly use the score equation for the original observation?

$$S_{\text{com}}(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$$

- **Idea** (by R.A. Fisher): Use the conditional expectation of $S_{\text{com}}(\theta)$ given the observed data. Note that

$$E(t_i \mid y_i, \delta_i) = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } \delta_i = 1 \\ y_i + 1/\theta & \text{if } \delta_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Check:

$$\frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n E(t_i \mid y_i, \delta_i) = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

Motivation

- The observed likelihood is the marginal density of $(\mathbf{y}_{obs}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$.
- The observed likelihood is

$$L_{obs}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{y}_{obs}, \boldsymbol{\delta})} f(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) P(\boldsymbol{\delta} | \mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\phi}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) = \int f(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) P(\boldsymbol{\delta} | \mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\phi}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}_{mis})$$

where \mathbf{y}_{mis} is the missing part of \mathbf{y} and $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi})$.

- Observed score function:

$$S_{obs}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}} \log L_{obs}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$$

- Computing the observed score function can be computationally challenging because the observed likelihood is an integral form.

3 Mean Score Approach

Theorem 2.5: Mean Score Theorem (Fisher, 1922)

Under some regularity conditions, the observed score function equals to the mean score function. That is,

$$S_{obs}(\eta) = E_{\eta}\{S_{com}(\eta)|\mathbf{y}_{obs}, \delta\} := \bar{S}(\eta)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{com}(\eta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log f(\mathbf{y}, \delta; \eta), \\ f(\mathbf{y}, \delta; \eta) &= f(\mathbf{y}; \theta)P(\delta|\mathbf{y}; \phi). \end{aligned}$$

- The mean score function is computed by taking the conditional expectation of the complete-sample score function given the observation.
- The mean score function is easier to handle than the observed score function.

Proof of Theorem 2.5

Example 2.5

- ① Suppose that the study variable y follows from a normal distribution with mean $\mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and variance σ^2 . The score equations for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and σ^2 under complete response are

$$S_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i / \sigma^2 = \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$S_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -n/(2\sigma^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 / (2\sigma^4) = 0.$$

- ② Assume that y_i are observed only for the first r elements and the MAR assumption holds. In this case, the mean score function reduces to

$$\bar{S}_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^r (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i / \sigma^2$$

and

$$\bar{S}_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -n/(2\sigma^2) + \sum_{i=1}^r (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 / (2\sigma^4) + (n - r)/(2\sigma^2).$$

Example 2.5 (Cont'd)

- ③ The maximum likelihood estimator obtained by solving the mean score equations is

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i y_i$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \hat{\beta} \right)^2.$$

Thus, the resulting estimators can be also obtained by simply ignoring the missing part of the sample, which is consistent with the result in Example 2.3.

Discussion of Example 2.5

- We are interested in estimating θ for the conditional density $f(y \mid x; \theta)$.
- Under MAR, the observed likelihood for θ is

$$L_{obs}(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^r f(y_i \mid x_i; \theta) \times \prod_{i=r+1}^n \int f(y \mid x_i; \theta) dy = \prod_{i=1}^r f(y_i \mid x_i; \theta).$$

- The same conclusion can follow from the mean score theorem. Under MAR, the mean score function is

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^r S(\theta; x_i, y_i) + \sum_{i=r+1}^n E_{\theta}\{S(\theta; x_i, Y) \mid x_i\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r S(\theta; x_i, y_i)\end{aligned}$$

where $S(\theta; x, y)$ is the score function for θ and the second equality follows from Theorem 2.3 (Bartlett identity).

Remark: Alternative proof for Theorem 2.5

- Since

$$L_{\text{obs}}(\eta) = f(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\delta}; \eta) / f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}} \mid \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta}; \eta),$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln L_{\text{obs}}(\eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln f(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\delta}; \eta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}} \mid \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta}; \eta). \quad (2)$$

- Taking conditional expectation of the above equation over the conditional distribution of $(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ given $(\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln L_{\text{obs}}(\eta) &= E \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln L_{\text{obs}}(\eta) \mid \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta} \right\} \\ &= E \{ S_{\text{com}}(\eta) \mid \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta} \} - E \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}} \mid \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta}; \eta) \mid \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- The last term is equal to zero by Theorem 2.3 applied to the conditional distribution, and the reference distribution in this case is the conditional distribution of \mathbf{y}_{mis} given $(\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$.

Example 2.4

- 1 Suppose that the study variable y is randomly distributed with Bernoulli distribution with probability of success p_i , where

$$p_i = p_i(\beta) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \beta)}$$

for some unknown parameter β and \mathbf{x}_i is a vector of the covariates in the logistic regression model for y_i . We assume that 1 is in the column space of \mathbf{x}_i .

- 2 Under complete response, the score function for β is

$$S_1(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - p_i(\beta)) \mathbf{x}_i.$$

and the score function for ϕ is

$$S_2(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \pi_i(\phi)) (\mathbf{x}_i', y_i)'.$$

Example 2.4 (Cont'd)

- ③ Let δ_i be the response indicator function for y_i with distribution $Bernoulli(\pi_i)$ where

$$\pi_i = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \phi_0 + y_i \phi_1)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \phi_0 + y_i \phi_1)}.$$

We assume that x_i is always observed, but y_i is missing if $\delta_i = 0$.

- ④ Under missing data, the mean score function for β is

$$\bar{S}_1(\beta, \phi) = \sum_{\delta_i=1} \{y_i - p_i(\beta)\} \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{\delta_i=0} \sum_{y=0}^1 w_i(y; \beta, \phi) \{y - p_i(\beta)\} \mathbf{x}_i, \quad (3)$$

where $w_i(y; \beta, \phi)$ is the conditional probability of $y_i = y$ given \mathbf{x}_i and $\delta_i = 0$:

$$w_i(y; \beta, \phi) = \frac{P_\beta(y_i = y | \mathbf{x}_i) P_\phi(\delta_i = 0 | y_i = y, \mathbf{x}_i)}{\sum_{z=0}^1 P_\beta(y_i = z | \mathbf{x}_i) P_\phi(\delta_i = 0 | y_i = z, \mathbf{x}_i)} \quad (4)$$

Thus, $\bar{S}_1(\beta, \phi)$ is also a function of ϕ .

Example 2.4 (Cont'd)

- ⑤ If the response mechanism is MAR so that $\phi_1 = 0$, then

$$w_i(y; \beta, \phi) = \frac{P_\beta(y_i = y \mid \mathbf{x}_i)}{\sum_{z=0}^1 P_\beta(y_i = z \mid \mathbf{x}_i)} = P_\beta(y_i = y \mid \mathbf{x}_i)$$

and so

$$\bar{S}_1(\beta, \phi) = \sum_{\delta_i=1} \{y_i - p_i(\beta)\} \mathbf{x}_i = \bar{S}_1(\beta).$$

- ⑥ If MAR does not hold, then $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\phi})$ can be obtained by solving $\bar{S}_1(\beta, \phi) = 0$ and $\bar{S}_2(\beta, \phi) = 0$ jointly, where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_2(\beta, \phi) &= \sum_{\delta_i=1} \{\delta_i - \pi(\phi; \mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\} (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\delta_i=0} \sum_{y=0}^1 w_i(y; \beta, \phi) \{\delta_i - \pi_i(\phi; \mathbf{x}_i, y)\} (\mathbf{x}_i, y). \end{aligned}$$

Remark (on Example 2.4)

- The mean score function $\bar{S}_1(\beta, \phi)$ in (3) can be expressed as a weighted average of the score function for each possible value of y_i for $\delta_i = 0$.
- The weight function in (4) is a function of unknown parameters. If the weights are known, then the solution to $\bar{S}_1(\beta, \phi) = 0$.
- One way to resolve the problem is to update the weights iteratively using the current parameter values. It is closely related to EM by weighting (Ibrahim, 1990).

REFERENCES

- Fisher, R. A. (1922), 'On the mathematical foundations of theoretical statistics', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A* **222**, 309–368.
- Ibrahim, J. G. (1990), 'Incomplete data in generalized linear models', *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **85**, 765–769.