## Mothern Review

Q1. (a) 1244 is NOT concave. (Second cleriostries are all postare. Formally, the Hessian matrix is postare semidefinite.) 2+6× 1, 18:28 from ≤ 46.28 (1/2) + 16:38 (1/2) pro(18:18) red (21/2) = 46.28 MDG. Set x,24, 5x2,92, and let 0 = x,24, - x2,25, 50  $\lambda x_{3}^{2}y_{4}^{2} + (-\lambda) x_{3}^{2}y_{4}^{2} = \lambda (x_{3}^{2}y_{3}^{2} + \Delta) + (-\lambda) x_{3}^{2}y_{4}^{2} = \lambda \Delta + x_{3}^{2}y_{4}^{2} > x_{3}^{2}y_{4}^{2}.$ (b) manetone transformation of  $U \Rightarrow \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (ch)-logics)  $\chi^{n} = \frac{W}{2P_{n}} U^{n} U^{n}$  $4(l_x l_y . w) = -\frac{3V(2)l_y}{3V(2)w} = -\frac{4V(l_y)}{6V(w)} = \frac{24V(w)}{3(0)(1)(1)(1)} = \frac{1}{3(0)(1)} = \frac{1}$  $Q_{\mathcal{D}}(Q) \in (P, V(P, M)) = m = \frac{2PP}{P+P} V(P, M) \Rightarrow V(P, M) = \frac{P+P}{P+P} m = \frac{M}{2}(P+P)$   $\chi_{1}(P, M) = -\frac{2V(2P)}{2V(P)} = -\frac{P+P}{2P(P)} = \frac{P(P+P)}{P(P+P)} = \frac{P+P}{P(P+P)} \qquad \chi_{2}(P, m) = \text{Similarly} = \frac{P(M)}{P(P+P)}$ (b) Let m=1.  $U(x_1, x_2) = m_{\overline{x}} V(p_1, p_2, 1)$  Set  $p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = 1$ .  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2p_1} + \frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2p_1} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$   $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2p_2} + \lambda \left( p_{1/1} + p_{1/2} - 1 \right).$  $00 \Rightarrow \frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}} = \frac{\sqrt{\chi_{0}}}{\sqrt{\chi_{0}}} \Rightarrow p^{*} = \frac{\sqrt{\chi_{0}}}{\sqrt{\chi_{0}}} + \frac{\sqrt{\chi_{0}}}{\sqrt{\chi_{0}}} = 1 \Rightarrow p^{*} = \frac{\chi_{0}}{\sqrt{\chi_{0}}} = 1 \Rightarrow p^{*} = 1 \Rightarrow p^{*}$  $P_{2}^{*} = \int_{A}^{A} \cdot \overline{P_{1}}(\overline{P_{1}}(\overline{P_{1}}) = \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}) = \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}) = \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}) = \overline{P_{2}}(\overline{P_{1}}(\overline{P_{1}}) + \overline{P_{2}})$ ( Simpler algebra if you set m=1.)  $(3) \quad (3) \quad (3) \quad (3) \quad (4) \quad (4)$ 

⇒ W(Q(X) > W(QM) by the given property

(b)  $e(p,u) = m\bar{n} p \cdot x \text{ s.t. } u(x) = \bar{u} = m\bar{n} p \cdot x \text{ s.t. } u(x) = 1.$ relabel to as 4. thus M= TV. = mm Pv. 3 st. u(4)=1 = e(pv. 1)

Since e(p, u) is  $k \cdot d \mid \forall v \text{ price} \cdot e(p \overline{u} \cdot l) = \overline{u} \cdot e(p, l)$ .

(c)  $m = e(p, u(p, m)) = u(p, m) \cdot e(p, l) \implies u(p, m) = \frac{1}{e(p, l)} m$ . if yes, linear in m.

Of (a) WARP is satisfied. x' is revealed preferred to  $x^2$  because  $P' \cdot x^2 \leq P' \cdot x'$  but  $P^2 \cdot x' > P^2 \cdot x^2$   $x' > P^2 \cdot x' > P^2 \cdot x$ 

but 132 EPA

(c) Not possible to recover preference. Symmetry of the Slutsky materia is not guaranteed

QS(Q) <u>WTS</u>) For  $\lambda \in (0.1)$  and m', m'',  $\lambda \vee (P, m') + (I-\lambda) \vee (P, m'') < \vee (P, \lambda m' + (I+\lambda) m'')$  $\chi' = arg \max_{x} u(x) \text{ st. } \forall x \in m' \qquad v(p, m') = u(x')$   $\chi'' = arg \max_{x} u(x) \text{ st. } \forall x \in m'' \qquad v(p, m') = u(x'')$ :XX+(+X)71 is IN the budget get Since U(n) is shirtly increasing,  $\chi' \neq \chi^2$  . Strict concounty with λm'+(+λ)m". (b) since V(P,m) is strictly concave in m, and S is a MPS of D.  $E_S(V(P,m)) < E_D(V(P,m))$  Thus recommend D.  $00. (0) \text{ For } \lambda > 1, \quad (\lambda L^{jk} + (\lambda K)^{jk})^{\frac{1}{16}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{16}} (L^{jk} + K^{jk})^{\frac{1}{16}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{16}} 0. \implies \text{TRTS if } A > 1$   $00. (0) \text{ For } \lambda > 1, \quad (\lambda L^{jk} + (\lambda K)^{jk})^{\frac{1}{16}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{16}} (L^{jk} + K^{jk})^{\frac{1}{16}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{16}} 0. \implies \text{TRTS if } A > 1$  $k_{k} = \left(\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{k}}\right)_{k} = 0$   $\lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_{k} = 0$   $\lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_{k}$  $:= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$   $:= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$   $= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$   $= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$   $= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$   $= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$   $= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$   $= \frac{CO_{QQ}}{CO_{QQ}}$ 

(c) COLYX TS CONVEX IN Q TH B-X
IMEOUR IN Q TH B-X
CONVOINE IN Q TH BXX

(b) DRTC  $\iff$  continuition is convex  $\iff$  discrementes of scale. IRTS & erono Mies of scale