

ECON4002 Midterm, Suggested Sol (sketch)

Fall 2024

1. [10 points] Consider an economy with two goods, x and y . Utility function is given by $u(x, y) = x^2 y^4$. Income is denoted as m , the price vector is (p_x, p_y) .

(a) Check whether the utility function is concave, and it is quasiconcave.

\Rightarrow It is convex. x^2 is convex, y^4 is convex, and its multiplication is convex. (Some wrote, "the Hessian matrix is positive semidefinite." How did you show it?)

It is quasiconcave. We want to show that for any (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) , $\lambda x_1^2 y_1^4 + (1-\lambda)x_2^2 y_2^4 \geq \min\{x_1^2 y_1^4, x_2^2 y_2^4\}$. Without loss of generality, assume $x_1^2 y_1^4 \geq x_2^2 y_2^4$. Let $\Delta = x_1^2 y_1^4 - x_2^2 y_2^4 \geq 0$. $\lambda x_1^2 y_1^4 + (1-\lambda)x_2^2 y_2^4 = \lambda(x_2^2 y_2^4 + \Delta) + (1-\lambda)x_2^2 y_2^4 = \lambda\Delta + x_2^2 y_2^4 \geq x_2^2 y_2^4 = \min\{x_1^2 y_1^4, x_2^2 y_2^4\}$.

(b) Find the Marshallian demand $(x(p_x, p_y, m), y(p_x, p_y, m))$ and the indirect utility function $v(p_x, p_y, m)$.

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{3} \frac{m}{p_x}, y = \frac{2}{3} \frac{m}{p_y}, v(p, m) = \frac{2^4}{3^6} \frac{m^6}{p_x^2 p_y^4}.$$

(c) Verify Roy's identity in this economy.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial v(p, m)}{\partial m} = \frac{6}{m} \frac{2^4}{3^6} \frac{m^6}{p_x^2 p_y^4}, \frac{\partial v(p, m)}{\partial p_x} = -\frac{2}{p_x} \frac{2^4}{3^6} \frac{m^6}{p_x^2 p_y^4}, -\frac{\partial v(p, m)/\partial p_x}{\partial v(p, m)/\partial m} = \frac{2/p_x}{6/m} = \frac{m}{3p_x}. \text{ (y is analogous.)}$$

2. [20 points] Suppose that a consumer has the following expenditure function: $e(p_1, p_2, u) = \frac{2p_1 p_2}{p_1 + p_2} u$.

(a) Find an indirect utility function and Marshallian demand function of this consumer.

$$\Rightarrow e(p, v(p, m)) = m = \frac{2p_1 p_2}{p_1 + p_2} v(p, m) \Rightarrow v(p, m) = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2p_1 p_2} m = \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \right).$$

$$\text{By Roy's identity, } x_1(p, m) = -\frac{\partial v(p, m)/\partial p_1}{\partial v(p, m)/\partial m} = (\text{After some algebra}) = \frac{p_2 m}{p_1(p_1 + p_2)}.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } x_2(p, m) = \frac{p_1 m}{p_2(p_1 + p_2)}.$$

(b) Recover a utility function $u(x_1, x_2)$ that rationalizes this consumer's demand behavior.

\Rightarrow Let $m = 2$. $u(x_1, x_2) = \min_p v(p, 2)$ subject to $p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = 2$. The Lagrangian is $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \lambda(p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - 2)$. The first order conditions with respect to p_1 and p_2 are $p_1^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda x_1}}$ and $p_2^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda x_2}}$. After some algebra, you get $\frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*} = \frac{\sqrt{x_2}}{\sqrt{x_1}}$, or $p_2^* = \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{x_2}} p_1^*$. Plugging it to $p_1^* x_1 + p_2^* x_2 = 2$, (after some algebra) $p_1^* = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x_1}(\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2})}$. Plugging this result into $p_2^* = \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{x_2}} p_1^*$, $p_2^* = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x_2}(\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2})}$.

$$\text{Thus, } v(p_1^*, p_2^*, 2) = \frac{\sqrt{x_1}(\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2})}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{x_2}(\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2})}{2} = (\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2})^2.$$

3. [20 points] A consumer's preferences \succeq on \mathbb{R}_+^L can be represented by the utility function $u: \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with the property that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^L$ and $\alpha > 0$, $u(\alpha x) = \alpha u(x)$.

(a) Show that this consumer has homothetic preference, that is, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^L$, $x \succeq y$ if and only if $\alpha x \succeq \alpha y$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

$$\Rightarrow x \succ y \Leftrightarrow u(x) > u(y) \Leftrightarrow \alpha u(x) > \alpha u(y) \text{ for } \alpha > 0 \Leftrightarrow u(\alpha x) > u(\alpha y) \Leftrightarrow \alpha x \succ \alpha y.$$

The second to the last \Leftrightarrow is by the given property.

- (b) Show that this consumer's expenditure function is such that $e(p, u) = ue(p, 1)$ for any $u > 0$ and prices p . [Hint: First show $e(p, u) = e(up, 1)$, and then use the property of the expenditure function.]

\Rightarrow First, we want to show $e(p, u) = e(up, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} e(p, \bar{u}) &= \min p \cdot x \text{ s.t. } u(x) = \bar{u} \\ &= \min p \cdot x \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{\bar{u}} u(x) = u\left(\frac{x}{\bar{u}}\right) = 1 \\ &= \min p \cdot \bar{u}y \text{ s.t. } u(y) = 1 \quad (\text{Relabel } x/\bar{u} \text{ as, say, } y, \text{ so that } x = \bar{u}y) \\ &= e(\bar{u}p, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $e(p, u)$ is homogeneous of degree 1 in p , $e(p\bar{u}, 1) = \bar{u}e(p, 1)$.

- (c) Is this consumer's indirect utility function linear in wealth? Explain.

\Rightarrow Yes, $m = e(p, v(p, m)) = v(p, m)e(p, 1)$, where the last equality is from the property derived in part (b). $v(p, m) = \frac{1}{e(p, 1)}m$. Thus, $v(p, m)$ is linear in m .

4. [15 points] Consider three revealed price-commodity pairs given by

$$\begin{aligned} p^1 &= (1, 1, 2), & x^1 &= (1, 0, 0) \\ p^2 &= (2, 1, 1), & x^2 &= (0, 1, 0) \\ p^3 &= (1, 2, 1 + \varepsilon), & x^3 &= (0, 0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is very small.

- (a) Check if it satisfies WARP.

\Rightarrow The observations satisfy WARP if $p^0 \cdot x^1 \leq p^0 \cdot x^0$, then we must have $p^1 \cdot x^0 > p^1 \cdot x^1$. If $1 = p^1 x^2 \leq p^1 x^1 = 1$, then $2 = p^2 x^1 > p^2 x^2 = 1$. If $1 = p^2 x^3 \leq p^2 x^2 = 1$, then $2 = p^3 x^2 > p^3 x^3 = 1 + \varepsilon$. If $1 = p^3 x^1 \leq p^3 x^3 = 1 + \varepsilon$, then $2 = p^1 x^3 > p^1 x^1 = 1$. So it satisfies WARP.

- (b) Check if it satisfies GARP.

\Rightarrow It does not. x^1 is revealed preferred to x^2 , x^2 is revealed preferred to x^3 , but x^3 is revealed preferred to x^1 , which violates GARP.

- (c) Discuss the possibility of recovering preference from those observations.

\Rightarrow We can't recover preferences as the symmetry of the Slutsky Matrix is not guaranteed. In other words, because of the preference cycle, the observed choices cannot be rationalized.

5. [20 points] Suppose there are three consumers in the market. Consumers' utility functions are $u_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{1/2} x_2^{1/2}$, $u_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{1/3} x_2^{2/3}$, and $u_3(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{2/3} x_2^{1/3}$. Their incomes are 10, 20, and 30, respectively. The price of good 1 is normalized to 1 for simplicity.

- (a) Derive demand functions of the three consumers.

$$\Rightarrow x_1^1 = \frac{10}{2} = 5, x_2^1 = \frac{10}{2p} = \frac{5}{p}. \quad x_1^2 = \frac{20}{3}, x_2^2 = \frac{40}{3p}. \quad x_1^3 = \frac{60}{3} = 20, x_2^3 = \frac{30}{3p} = \frac{10}{p}.$$

- (b) Describe the aggregate demand for goods 1 and 2.

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = 5 + \frac{20}{3} + 20 = \frac{95}{3}. \quad X_2 = \frac{5}{p} + \frac{40}{3p} + \frac{10}{p} = \frac{15+40+30}{3p} = \frac{85}{3p}.$$

- (c) Examine whether a representative consumer exists. If so, describe the utility function of the representative consumer with incomes of 60. If not, explain why a representative consumer cannot exist.

\Rightarrow Since everyone's indirect utility function has a Gorman form, a rep. consumer exists. The representative consumer has the utility function of $u_R(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{19/36} x_2^{17/36}$. (Check by yourself $x_1(p, 60) = \frac{19}{36}60 = \frac{19}{3}5 = \frac{95}{3}$ and $x_2(p, 60) = \frac{17}{36} \frac{60}{p} = \frac{85}{3p}$).

6. [15 points] Consider an economy with L goods. Dennis in this economy has a strictly increasing and strictly concave utility function, $u : \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) Show that Dennis' indirect utility function $v(p, m)$ must be strictly concave with respect to income m .

\Rightarrow We want to show for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and two different m and m' , $\lambda v(p, m) + (1 - \lambda)v(p, m') < v(p, \lambda m + (1 - \lambda)m')$. Let x and x' denote the maximizing arguments of the utility maximization problem with income m and m' , respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(p, m) + (1 - \lambda)v(p, m') &= \lambda u(x) + (1 - \lambda)u(x') \\ &< u(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x') \quad \because \text{Jensen's inequality} \\ &\leq v(p, \lambda m + (1 - \lambda)m'), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is from the fact that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x'$ is affordable with income $\lambda m + (1 - \lambda)m'$. (That is, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x'$ is one of the consumption bundles inside of the budget set, while $v(p, \lambda m + (1 - \lambda)m')$ takes the utility-maximizing best bundle.)

- (b) Dennis has two career choices, doctor and scientist. Doctor's income is drawn from distribution D and scientist's income is from distribution S . Two distributions have the same mean. If D second-order stochastically dominates S , recommend one career to Dennis, and explain why you recommend so, by using the fact in (a).

\Rightarrow Since $v(p, m)$ is strictly concave in m , and S is an MPS of D , $E_S(v(p, m)) < E_D(v(p, m))$. Thus, recommend D.